



JOB No.: TCS01321/23

CEDD SERVICE CONTRACT NO. EDO 12/2023
ENVIRONMENTAL TEAM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF
ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE – SITE FORMATION
AND ASSOCIATED INFRASTRUCTURE WORKS

MONTHLY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT
REPORT (DECEMBER 2024)

PREPARED FOR
CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
(CEDD)

| Date | Reference No. | Prepared By | Certified By |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--|---|
| 14 January 2025 | TCS01321/23/600/R0732v1 |  |  |
| | | Nicola Hon (Environmental Consultant) | Tam Tak Wing (Environmental Team Leader) |

| Version | Date | Remarks |
|---------|-----------------|------------------|
| 1 | 14 January 2025 | First submission |
| | | |
| | | |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- ES01 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting (AUES) has been awarded the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) Service Contract No. EDO 8/2022 - Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works (hereinafter called “the Service Contract) on 15 September 2023. As notifying by AECOM Asia Company Limited (Engineer’s Representative) subsequently, the commencement date of the Service Contract is on 22 September 2023 for the Contract Period of 22 months.
- ES02 The previous service contract nos. NTE/07/2016 and EDO 8/2022, covering the environmental monitoring and audit (EM&A) service for the Development of Anderson Quarry Site (ARQ) for Contracts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 was completed in September 2022 and September 2023 respectively.
- ES03 The Services under the Service Contract is to provide EM&A services for the Works Contracts pursuant to the requirement of Environmental Team (ET) under the EM&A manual to ensure that the environmental performance of the Works Contracts comply with the requirement specified in the EM&A Manual and EIA Report of Development of ARQ and other relevant statutory requirements.
- ES04 To facilitate the project management and implementation, the ARQ project involved five major infrastructure works CEDD contracts, the commencement date and anticipated completion date of the five works contracts are summarized in below table.

| Contract | Commencement date | Anticipated completion date |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| NE/2016/01 (Contract 1) | December 2016 | September 2023 |
| NE/2016/05 (Contract 2) | March 2017 | September 2023 |
| NE/2017/03 (Contract 3) | May 2018 | January 2025 |
| ED/2020/02 (Contract 4) | July 2021 | September 2025 |
| ED/2019/02 (Contract 5) | March 2021 | January 2025 |

- ES05 As notified by AECOM, the certificate of completion of the last section of the works have been issued for Contract 1 and Contract 2 on 30 June 2023 and 15 May 2023 respectively. In view of the completion of major construction works, the EM&A service for Contract 1 and Contract 2 under service contract no. EDO 8/2022 was ceased in late September 2023 and the relevant monitoring stations have been handover to current contract no. EDO 8/2022.
- ES06 This is the monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for Contracts 3, 4 and 5 for the period from **1 to 31 December 2024** (hereinafter ‘the Reporting Period’).

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING AND AUDIT ACTIVITIES

- ES07 Environmental monitoring activities under the EM&A programme in the Reporting Period are summarized in the following table.

| Environmental Aspect | Environmental Monitoring Parameters / Inspection | Reporting Period | |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | Number of Active Monitoring Locations | Total Occasions |
| Air Quality | 1-hour TSP | 7 | 105 |
| | 24-hour TSP | 4 | 24 |
| Construction Noise | L _{eq(30min)} Daytime for Contract NE/2016/01 | 8 | 32 |
| | L _{eq(30min)} Daytime for Contract NE/2017/03 | 1 | 4 |

BREACH OF ACTION AND LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

ES08 No exceedance of air quality was recorded in the Reporting Period. For construction noise monitoring, no Limit Level exceedance was recorded and no noise complaint (which triggered Action Level) was received in the reporting period. The environmental exceedance, NOE issued and investigation of exceedance are summarized in the following table.

| Environmental Aspect | Monitoring Parameters | Action Level | Limit Level | Event & Action | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | | | | NOE Issued | Investigation | Corrective Actions |
| Air Quality | 1-hour TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| | 24-hour TSP | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |
| Construction Noise | $L_{eq(30min)}$ Daytime | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA |

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT

ES09 In the reporting period, one (1) environmental complaint was received regarding to dust and muddy water for Contract 3 in the Reporting Period.

NOTIFICATION OF SUMMONS AND SUCCESSFUL PROSECUTIONS

ES10 No environmental summons or successful prosecutions for the Project were recorded in the Reporting Period.

REPORTING CHANGE

ES11 There is no reporting change in the Reporting Period.

SITE INSPECTION

ES12 In this Reporting Period, joint site inspections to evaluate the site environmental performance for **Contract 3** were carried out by the RE, ET and Contractor on **6, 11, 20 and 27 December 2024** in which IEC joined the site inspection with SSEMC on **11 December 2024**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

ES13 In this Reporting Period, joint site inspections to evaluate the site environmental performance for **Contract 4** were carried out by the RE, ET and Contractor on **4, 12, 18 and 24 December 2024** in which IEC joined the site inspection with SSEMC on **12 December 2024**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

ES14 In this Reporting Period, joint site inspections to evaluate the site environmental performance for **Contract 5** were carried out by the RE, ET and Contractor on **5, 12, 20 and 27 December 2024** in which IEC joined the site inspection on **20 December 2024**. No non-compliance was noted during the site inspection.

FUTURE KEY ISSUES

ES15 The Contractor are reminded to pay special attention on water quality mitigation measures and should fully implement the measures as recommended in the EM&A Manual, in particular to prevent muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface overflow to public area should be properly maintained.

ES16 Since construction site is highly visible to the resident at nearby estates, the Contractors should pay special attention on potential environmental impact generated by the site activities and adhere implement adequate air quality and noise mitigation measures as far as practicable to reduce the impact to the public.

- ES17 Construction noise is one of the key environmental issues during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants and noise barriers shall be implemented where practicable according to the EM&A manual.

- ES18 In addition, the Contractors should ensure all effluent discharge shall be fulfilled the Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or relevant discharge license requirement.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 PROJECT BACKGROUND | 1 |
| 1.2 REPORT STRUCTURE | 2 |
| 2. PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS | 3 |
| 2.1 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING | 3 |
| 2.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION | 4 |
| 2.3 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS | 4 |
| 3. SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | 7 |
| 3.1 GENERAL | 7 |
| 3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS | 7 |
| 3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS | 7 |
| 3.4 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD | 9 |
| 3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT | 10 |
| 3.6 MONITORING METHODOLOGY | 10 |
| 3.7 DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS | 12 |
| 3.8 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL | 13 |
| 4. AIR QUALITY MONITORING | 14 |
| 4.1 GENERAL | 14 |
| 4.2 RESULTS OF AIR QUALITY MONITORING | 14 |
| 5. CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING | 17 |
| 5.1 GENERAL | 17 |
| 5.2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS IN REPORTING MONTH | 17 |
| 6. WASTE MANAGEMENT | 19 |
| 6.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT | 19 |
| 6.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES | 19 |
| 7. SITE INSPECTION | 21 |
| 7.1 REQUIREMENTS | 21 |
| 7.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH | 21 |
| 8. ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE | 23 |
| 8.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION | 23 |
| 9. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES | 25 |
| 9.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS | 25 |
| 9.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH | 25 |
| 9.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH | 26 |
| 10. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 27 |
| 10.1 CONCLUSIONS | 27 |
| 10.2 RECOMMENDATIONS | 27 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|-----------|--|
| TABLE 2-1 | STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS OF THE CONTRACT 3 |
| TABLE 2-2 | STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS OF THE CONTRACT 4 |
| TABLE 2-3 | STATUS OF ENVIRONMENTAL LICENSES AND PERMITS OF THE CONTRACT 5 |
| TABLE 3-1 | SUMMARY OF EM&A REQUIREMENTS |
| TABLE 3-2 | IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - AIR QUALITY |
| TABLE 3-3 | IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS - CONSTRUCTION NOISE |
| TABLE 3-4 | ADDITIONAL IMPACT MONITORING STATIONS – CONSTRUCTION NOISE |
| TABLE 3-5 | AIR QUALITY MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| TABLE 3-6 | CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING EQUIPMENT |
| TABLE 3-7 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR AIR QUALITY MONITORING |
| TABLE 3-8 | ACTION AND LIMIT LEVELS FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE |
| TABLE 4-1 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS (AMS-1) |
| TABLE 4-2 | SUMMARY OF 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS (AMS-2) |
| TABLE 4-3 | SUMMARY OF 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS (AMS-3) |
| TABLE 4-4 | SUMMARY OF 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS (AMS-4) |
| TABLE 4-5 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS (AMS-5) |
| TABLE 4-6 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS (AMS-6) |
| TABLE 4-7 | SUMMARY OF 24-HOUR AND 1-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULTS (AMS-7) |
| TABLE 5-1 | SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS |
| TABLE 5-2 | SUMMARY OF CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING RESULTS |
| TABLE 6-1 | SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF INERT C&D MATERIALS |
| TABLE 6-2 | SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES OF C&D WASTES |
| TABLE 7-1 | SITE OBSERVATIONS OF CONTRACT 3 |
| TABLE 7-2 | SITE OBSERVATIONS OF CONTRACT 4 |
| TABLE 7-3 | SITE OBSERVATIONS OF CONTRACT 5 |
| TABLE 8-1 | STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINTS |
| TABLE 8-2 | STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMONS |
| TABLE 8-3 | STATISTICAL SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROSECUTION |
| TABLE 9-1 | ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| | |
|------------|---|
| APPENDIX A | LAYOUT PLAN OF THE PROJECT |
| APPENDIX B | PROJECT ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE |
| APPENDIX C | THREE-MONTHS ROLLING CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMME |
| APPENDIX D | MONITORING LOCATIONS FOR IMPACT MONITORING |
| APPENDIX E | CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE OF MONITORING EQUIPMENT AND HOKLAS-ACCREDITATION CERTIFICATE OF THE TESTING LABORATORY |
| APPENDIX F | EVENT AND ACTION PLAN |
| APPENDIX G | IMPACT MONITORING SCHEDULE |
| APPENDIX H | DATABASE OF MONITORING RESULT |
| APPENDIX I | GRAPHICAL PLOTS FOR MONITORING RESULT |
| APPENDIX J | METEOROLOGICAL DATA |
| APPENDIX K | WASTE FLOW TABLE |
| APPENDIX L | IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION MEASURES |
| APPENDIX M | COMPLAINT LOG |

APPENDIX N IMPLEMENTATION STATUS FOR WATER QUALITY MITIGATION MEASURES

1. INTRODUCTION

PROJECT BACKGROUND

- 1.1.1 Development of Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) is to provide land and the associated infrastructures for the proposed land used at the existing ARQ Site at the North-eastern of East Kowloon according to the final Recommended Outline Development Plan (hereinafter named as the Project Works).
- 1.1.2 To facilitate the project management and implementation, the ARQ project involved five major infrastructure works CEDD contracts, the commencement date and anticipated completion date of the five works contracts are summarized in below table.

| Contract | Commencement date | Anticipated completion date |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| NE/2016/01 (Contract 1) | December 2016 | September 2023 |
| NE/2016/05 (Contract 2) | March 2017 | September 2023 |
| NE/2017/03 (Contract 3) | May 2018 | January 2025 |
| ED/2020/02 (Contract 4) | July 2021 | September 2025 |
| ED/2019/02 (Contract 5) | March 2021 | January 2025 |

- 1.1.3 Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting (AUES) has been awarded the Civil Engineering and Development Department (CEDD) Service Contract No. EDO 8/2022 - Environmental Team for Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Site Formation and Associated Infrastructure Works (hereinafter called “the Service Contract”) on 15 September 2023. As notifying by AECOM Asia Company Limited (Engineer’s Representative) subsequently, the commencement date of the Service Contract is on 22 September 2023 for the Contract Period of 22 months.
- 1.1.4 The Services under the Service Contract is to provide EM&A services for the Works Contracts pursuant to the requirement of Environmental Team (ET) under the EM&A manual to ensure that the environmental performance of the Works Contracts comply with the requirement specified in the EM&A Manual and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report of Development of Anderson Road Quarry and other relevant statutory requirements.
- 1.1.5 The previous service contract nos. NTE/07/2016 and EDO 8/2022, covering the EM&A services for the Development of ARQ site for Contracts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 was completed in September 2022 and September 2023 respectively.
- 1.1.6 As notified by AECOM, the certificate of completion of the last section of the works have been issued for Contract 1 and Contract 2 on 30 June 2023 and 15 May 2023 respectively. In view of the completion of major construction works, the EM&A service for Contract 1 and Contract 2 under service contract no. EDO 8/2022 was ceased in late September 2023 and the relevant monitoring stations have been handover to current contract no. EDO 8/2022.
- 1.1.7 According to the Approved EM&A Manual, air quality and noise monitoring are required to be monitored during the construction phase of the Project. As part of the EM&A program, baseline monitoring is required to determine the ambient environmental conditions. Baseline monitoring including air quality and noise conducted between *January* and *April 2019* at all designated monitoring locations were before construction work commencement. Furthermore, the Baseline Monitoring Report which verified by the Independent Environmental Checker (hereinafter referred as “the IEC”) has been submitted to Environmental Protection Department (EPD) on *9 May 2017* for endorsement.
- 1.1.8 This is the monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for Contracts 3, 4 and 5 for the period from **1 to 31 December 2024** (hereinafter ‘the Reporting Period’).

REPORT STRUCTURE

1.2.1 The monthly EM&A Report is structured into the following sections:-

- Section 1* *Introduction*
- Section 2* *Project Organization and Construction Progress*
- Section 3* *Summary of Impact Monitoring Requirements*
- Section 4* *Air Quality Monitoring*
- Section 5* *Construction Noise Monitoring*
- Section 6* *Waste Management*
- Section 7* *Site Inspections*
- Section 8* *Environmental Complaints and Non-Compliance*
- Section 9* *Implementation Status of Mitigation Measures*
- Section 10* *Conclusions and Recommendations*

2. PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

2.1 CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT PACKAGING

2.1.1 To facilitate the project management and implementation, the Project was divided by 5 works contracts as described in following. The details of each contract are summarized below and the delineation of each contract is shown in [Appendix A](#).

Contract 1 (Contract No. NE/2016/01)

2.1.2 Commencement date of Contract 1 was in late December 2016 and the major construction work was completed in June 2023. The major scope of work of Contract 1 is listed below:

- Formation of about 40 hectares (ha) of land platforms at the ARQ site and the associated geotechnical works;
- Road works including construction of approximately 3-kilometer long vehicular roads, footpaths, cycle tracks, an approximately 130-meter long underpass at the southern end and a public transport terminus at the northern end at the ARQ site;
- Provision of and improvement to water supply, drainage and sewerage systems as well as landscaping works; and
- Construction of proposed subway structures and lift tower structures of pedestrian connectivity facilities.

Contract 2 (Contract No. NE/2016/05)

2.1.3 Commencement date of Contract 2 was in March 2017 and the major construction work was completed in May 2023. The major Scope of Work of the Contract 2 is listed below:

- (i) Construction of the following pedestrian connectivity facilities with covered elevated walkways, covered at grad walkways, escalators, lift towers with associated staircase and lifts:-
 - (a) Linking Hiu Kwong street with Hiu Ming Street (E1)
 - (b) Linking the proposed “Footbridge Link at Sau Ming Road” with Hiu Ming Street (E2, C1 and E3)
 - (c) Linking the proposed bus-to-bus interchange at Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Toll Plaza with Lin Tak Road (E12)
- (ii) Construction of bus-to-bus interchange (BBI) at Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Toll Plaza;
- (iii) Associated landscape works

Contract 3 (Contract No. NE/2017/03)

2.1.4 The commencement date of Contract 3 was in May 2018 and the tentative completion date in September 2023. The major Scope of Work of the Contract 3 is listed below:

- (i) Site formation and road works in the following sections:-
 - (a) at junction of Clear Water Bay Road (CWBR) and On Sau Road constructed under the Development at Anderson Road (DAR) project including the provision of U-turn facility and noise mitigation measures (RIW1);
 - (b) at New Clear Water Bay Road (NCWBR) near Shun Lee Tsuen Road including the road widening works at NCWBR, modification of existing subway structure and provision of noise mitigation measures (RIW2); and
 - (c) at the junction of Lin Tak Road and Sau Mau Ping Road, construction of flyover above Tseung Kwan O Road, provision of loading and unloading bays along Lin Tak Road and noise mitigation measures (RIW3).
- (ii) Construction of the following pedestrian connectivity facilities with covered elevated walkways, escalators and lift towers with associated staircases and lifts:-
 - (a) linking Anderson Road Quarry site with the DAR Site (except the works covered under Contract 1) (System A and System B);
 - (b) linking Hiu Ming Street with Hiu Yuk Path (E8); and

- (c) linking the proposed bus-bus interchange at Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Toll Plaza with Sau Mau Ping Road (E11).
- (iii) Associated landscape works.

Contract 4 (Contract No. ED/2020/02)

2.1.5 The commencement date of Contract 4 is in July 2021 and tentative completion date in December 2023. The major Scope of Work of the Contract 4 is listed below:

- Hard landscaping and other ancillary works (e.g. paver footpath, planter walls, benches, lighting etc.)
- Soft landscaping works; landscape deck, emergency vehicular access, access road:
- Park lighting system;
- Electrical and mechanical engineering works for underground water treatment facilities and pumping system for Artificial Flood Attenuation Lake; and
- Potential slope enhancement requested by GEO.

Contract 5 (Contract No. ED/2019/02)

2.1.6 The commencement date of Contract 5 in March 2021 and tentative completion data in April 2024. The major Scope of Work of the Contract 5 is listed below:

- Construction pedestrian connectivity facility with covered elevated walkway, covered at grade walkway and escalators linking Sau Mau Ping Road with the existing covered elevated walkway to Po Tat Estate (E5);
- Construction a pedestrian connectivity facility with covered elevated walkway, covered at grade walkway and escalators linking Sau Mau Ping South Estate with the existing covered walkway to Sau Mau Ping Road (E6);
- Construction a pedestrian connectivity facility with covered elevated walkway, elevated walkway, lift tower with associated staircase and lifts linking Hiu Kwong Street with podium of Sau Ming House, Sau Mau Ping Estate, provision of at grade staircase (E7)
- Construction a pedestrian connectivity facility with covered elevated walkway, lift tower with associated staircase and lifts linking podium of Po Tat Estate to Sau Mau Ping Road (E10); and
- Ancillary works including electrical and mechanical, slope stabilization, drainage, utilities and landscaping works.

2.2 PROJECT ORGANIZATION

2.2.1 The project organization and contact details for Contracts 3, 4 and 5 are shown in [Appendix B](#).

2.3 CONSTRUCTION PROGRESS

2.3.1 The 3-month rolling construction programme for Contracts 3, 4 and 5 are shown in [Appendix C](#). The major construction activities conducted in the Reporting Period are summarized in below.

Contract 3 (NE/2017/03)

Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities Systems B (PC-SYB)

- Touch-up works at PC-System B
- T&C works at lifts, escalators and E&M works at PC-System B
- Reinstatement works at PC-System B

Contract 4 (ED/2020/02)

- Excavation work for Drainage Works at Portion 1a, 2a, 6, 8 & 12
- Drainage works at Portion 1a, 2a, 6, 8, 9 & 12
- Construction of E&M works at Portion 1a, 2a, 6, 8, 12
- Construction of Planter at Portion 6, 8, 12

- Construction of hard landscape at Portion 6, 8, 12
- Construction of slab planter on elevated walkway at Portion 13b
- Backfilling works for B3 & B4 at Portion 13b
- Sewerage and Road works at G2-Site at Portion 13b
- Installation of rock mesh at Portion 10
- Repair works at Portion 10 and Portion 17
- Construction of Footpath at Portion 9

Contract 5 (ED/2019/02)

Portion 1 & 2

- Installation of Railing
- Construction the concrete base of playground area
- Reinstate the drainage system
- Laying Paver at E6 Area

Portion 3

- Footpath Reinstatement at E7-Lift
- Construct staircase beside lift tower at E7-Lift Tower
- Remaining drainage at E7-Lift Tower

Portion 4

- Footpath Reinstatement for E10
- Drainage & Slope Reinstatement at E10 Lift Tower

2.3.2 Summary of the relevant permits, licenses, and/or notifications on environmental protection for the Project of contracts 3, 4 and 5 are presented in **Tables 2-1, 2-2 and 2-3**.

Table 2-1 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits of the Contract 3

| Item | Description | License/Permit Status | | | |
|------|---|---|--------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Permit no./ account no./ Ref. no. | Valid Period | | Status |
| | | | From | To | |
| 1 | Form NA – Notification pursuant to Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | EPD ref. no. 434186 | 31-May-18 | NA | Valid |
| 2 | Chemical Waste Producer Registration | For Area System A Registration no. WPN: 5213-292-C4239-06 | 6-Aug-18 | End of Project | Valid |
| | | For Area System B Registration no. WPN 5213-293-C4239-05 | 6-Aug-18 | End of Project | Valid |
| | | For Area E8 Registration no. WPN 5213-294-C4239-03 | 6-Aug-18 | End of Project | Valid |
| 3 | Water Pollution Control Ordinance – Discharge License | For Area System B WT10003239-2024 | 26-Jun-24 | 30-Jun-29 | Valid |
| 4 | Waste Disposal Regulation – Billing Account for Disposal of | Account no.7031075 | 20-Jun-18 | End of project | Valid |

| Item | Description | License/Permit Status | | | |
|------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|----|--------|
| | | Permit no./ account no./ Ref. no. | Valid Period | | Status |
| | | | From | To | |
| | Construction Waste | | | | |

Table 2-2 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits of the Contract 4

| Item | Description | License/Permit Status | | | |
|------|---|--|--------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Permit no./ account no./ Ref. no. | Valid Period | | Status |
| | | | From | To | |
| 1 | Form NA – Notification pursuant to Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | EPD ref. no. 470496 | 19-Aug-21 | NA | Valid |
| 2 | Waste Disposal Regulation – Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste | Account no. 7041336 | 6-Sep-21 | NA | Valid |
| 3 | Chemical Waste Producer Registration | Registration no. WPN 5213-296-C1206-12 | 14-Sep-21 | End of project | Valid |
| 4 | Water Pollution Control Ordinance – Discharge License | WT00043000-2003 | 30-Jan-23 | 31-Jan-28 | Valid |

Table 2-3 Status of Environmental Licenses and Permits of the Contract 5

| Item | Description | License/Permit Status | | | |
|------|---|--|--------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Permit no./ account no./ Ref. no. | Valid Period | | Status |
| | | | From | To | |
| 1 | Form NA – Notification pursuant to Air Pollution Control (Construction Dust) Regulation | EPD ref. no. 466255 | NA | NA | Valid |
| 2 | Chemical Waste Producer Registration | Registration no. WPN 5298-293-W3611-01 | 12-May-21 | End of project | Valid |
| 3 | Water Pollution Control Ordinance – Discharge License | WT00039694-2021 | 16-Nov-21 | 30-Nov-26 | Valid |
| | | WT00040919-2022 | 5-May-22 | 31-May-27 | Valid |
| | | WT00041457-2022 | 30-June-22 | 30-June-27 | Valid |
| | | WT00040670-2022 | 28-Mar-22 | 31-Mar-27 | Valid |
| 4 | Waste Disposal Regulation – Billing Account for Disposal of Construction Waste | Account no. 7040359 | 3-May-21 | NA | Valid |

3. SUMMARY OF IMPACT MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

3.1 GENERAL

3.1.1 The Environmental Monitoring and Audit requirements are set out in the Approved EM&A manual. Environmental issues such as air quality, construction noise and water quality were identified as the key issues during the construction phase of the Project.

3.1.2 A summary of construction phase EM&A requirements are presented in the sub-sections below.

3.2 MONITORING PARAMETERS

3.2.1 The EM&A program of construction phase monitoring shall cover the following environmental issues:

- Air quality; and
- Construction noise

3.2.2 A summary of the monitoring parameters is presented in *Table 3-1*.

Table 3-1 Summary of EM&A Requirements

| Environmental Issue | Parameters |
|---------------------|--|
| Air Quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1-hour TSP by Real-Time Portable Dust Meter; and • 24-hour TSP by High Volume Air Sampler |
| Noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Leq(30min) in normal working days (Monday to Saturday) 07:00-19:00 except public holiday • Supplementary information for data auditing, statistical results such as L₁₀ and L₉₀ shall also be obtained for reference. |

3.3 MONITORING LOCATIONS

3.3.1 According to the EM&A Manual Section 4.6, seven (7) most representative and affected air sensitive receivers (ASR) were selected as air monitoring stations (AQM). During site visit at the subject site before the baseline monitoring, it was noted that some planned ASRs identified in the EM&A Manual are still under construction/ has not yet constructed and there were no suitable location to set up the high volume sampler to carry out the baseline 24-hour TSP monitoring. Therefore, a proposed change for the baseline monitoring programme was submitted and agreed by EPD before the baseline monitoring. The impact air quality monitoring locations are listed in *Table 3-2* and illustrated in *Appendix D*.

Table 3-2 Impact Monitoring Stations – Air Quality

| ID | ASR ID in EIA | Location in the EM&A Manual | Identified Location during Site Visit | Status |
|------------|---------------|--|--|--------------------|
| AMS-1 | ACYC-01 | Chi Yum Ching She | Ground of Chi Yum Ching facing the project site | Replaced by AMS-1a |
| AMS-1a (*) | ACYC-01 | Tan Shan Village No. 5 - 6 | Ground of Tan Shan Village No. 5 - 6 facing the project site | Active |
| AMS-2 (#) | DARB-13 | Block 8, Site B | Ground of Fung Tai House of On Tai Estate | Active |
| AMS-3 (:) | DARC-16 | Planned Clinic and Community Centre, Site C2 | Ground of Planned Clinic and Community Centre facing Anderson Road (Ancillary Facilities Building) | Active |
| AMS-4 (:) | DARC-26 | Planned School, Site C2 ^{Note 1} | Ground of Active | Active |
| AMS-5 | DARE-06 | Block 5, DAR Site E | Main roof of Oi Tat House of On Tat Estate facing the project site | Active |
| AMS-6 | DARE-17 | Block 9, Site E | Main roof of Hau Tat House of | Active |

| ID | ASR ID in EIA | Location in the EM&A Manual | Identified Location during Site Visit | Status |
|-------|---------------|-----------------------------|---|--------|
| | | | On Tat Estate facing the project site | |
| AMS-7 | AMYT-04 | Ma Yau Tong Village | Balcony at 2 nd floor of Village House Anderson Road No. 1 facing the project site | Active |

Note 1: The ASR is under construction.

(#) AMS-2 was activated on 26 November 2018 since Fung Tai House became an air sensitive receiver. 1-hour TSP monitoring was commenced on 26 November 2018 while installation of HVS for 24-hour TSP was pending approval from Housing Authority.

(*) 24-hour TSP monitoring at AMS1 was abandoned since May 2019 due to lack of power supply and the landlord was unreachable. The alternation location of AMS1a was activated on 15 June 2019 for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring. The proposal was agreed by EPD on 9 Aug 2019.

(:) AMS-3 was effective on 3 December 2019 and AMS-4 was effective on 4 January 2023

Construction Noise

- 3.3.2 According to the EM&A Manual Section 5.5, three (3) most representative and affected noise sensitive receivers (NSR) were selected as monitoring stations. As recommended by the RE and agreed by IEC, one (1) additional noise monitoring location is proposed to add in Oi Tat House of On Tat Estate (hereafter “NMS-4”) to oversee the possible noise impact pose to the resident in On Tat Estate, which is an existing NSR close to the major works activities. Moreover, review of impact monitoring location was proposed to IEC in view of the current site condition and it was agreed by all parties. The details of noise monitoring location are listed in **Table 3-3** and illustrated in **Appendix D**.

Table 3-3 Impact Monitoring Stations – Construction Noise

| ID | NSR ID in EIA | Location | Status |
|----------|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| NMS-1(:) | Site C2 – School 05 ^{Note 1} | Ground of Maryknoll Secondary School | Active |
| NMS-2(:) | Site E – School | Rooftop of S.K.H. St. John’s Tsang Shiu Tim Primary School, where 1m from the exterior of the building facing the project site | Active |
| NMS-3(:) | Site C2 – R102– | Ground of Ancillary Facilities Building facing the project site | Active |
| NMS-4* | Oi Tat House | 1m from the exterior of ground floor façade of Oi Tat House of On Tat Estate facing the project site | Suspended |
| NMS-4a# | Oi Tat House | Rooftop of Oi Tat House where 1m from the exterior of Oi Tat House facing the project site | Active |
| NMS-5# | Hau Tat House | 22/F, refuge floor of Hau Tat House where 1m from the exterior of Hau Tat House facing the project site. | Active |
| NMS-6~ | Yung Tai House of On Tai Estate | Rooftop of Yung Tai House where 1m from the exterior of the building facing the project site) | Active |
| NMS-7~ | Chi Tai House of On Tai Estate | Rooftop of Chi Tai House where 1m from the exterior of the building facing the project site | Active |
| NMS-8^ | No. 3-4 Ma Yau Tong Village | 1m from the exterior of the building façade and facing the construction site | Active |

| ID | NSR ID in EIA | Location | Status |
|----|---------------|----------|--------|
|----|---------------|----------|--------|

Note 1: Construction of the NSR is not yet commenced.

- (*) Additional noise monitoring location was recommended by RE and agreed by IEC. It was temporary suspended and the monitoring location is relocated to NMS4a with effective on 15 Nov 2017.
- (:) NMS-2 was effective on 15 November 2019, NMS-3 was effective on 3 December 2019 and NMS-1 was effective on 4 January 2023.
- (#) Review of noise monitoring locations was proposed by ET and NMS-5 was effective on 15 November 2017.
- (~) Review of noise monitoring locations was proposed by ET and NMS-6 and NMS-7 were effective on 28 Feb 2018.
- (^) Review of noise monitoring locations was proposed by ET and NMS-8 was effective on 18 April 2018. Noise monitoring at NMS-8 was started on 3 May 2018 upon commencement of construction at relevant section.

Addition Construction Noise Monitoring Location

3.3.3 A Work Instruction was issued from AECOM to AUES in November 2018 for installing three additional noise monitoring stations under Contract 3. According to the Work Instruction, one noise monitoring station was proposed to install at System A Area and two station monitoring points were proposed to install at E8 Area. The noise monitoring locations are shown in **Table 3-4** below and illustrated in **Appendix D**.

Table 3-4 Additional Impact Monitoring Stations – Construction Noise

| ID | Location | Description |
|------|-------------------------------|---|
| CN1* | Holm Glad College | Ground floor of Holm Glad College, where 1m from the exterior of the building facing E8 |
| CN2* | Leung Shek Chee College | Ground floor of Leung Shek Chee College, where 1m from the exterior of the building facing E8 |
| CN3 | Oi Tat House of On Tat Estate | Ground floor of Oi Tat House of On Tat Estate, where 1m from the exterior of the building facing System A |

Note 1: Construction of the NSR is not yet commenced.

- (*) Additional noise monitoring location was terminated by RE as the construction work at E8 was completed in September 2022. The last monitoring for CN1 & CN2 was on 15 September 2022.

3.4 MONITORING FREQUENCY AND PERIOD

3.4.1 The requirements of impact monitoring in the approved *EM&A Manual* and presented as follows.

Air Quality Monitoring

3.4.2 Frequency of impact air quality monitoring is as follows:

- 1-hour TSP 3 times every six days during course of works throughout the construction period
- 24-hour TSP Once every 6 days during course of works throughout the construction period

Noise Monitoring

3.4.3 Noise monitoring will be to conduct at the all available designated monitoring stations. The monitoring frequency shall depend on the scale of the construction activities. The following is an initial guide on the regular monitoring frequency for each station on a weekly basis when noise generating activities are underway:

- one set of Leq_(30min) measurements between 07:00 and 19:00 hours on normal weekdays

3.5 MONITORING EQUIPMENT

Air Quality Monitoring

- 3.5.1 The 24-hour and 1-hour TSP levels shall be measured by following the standard high volume sampling method as set out in the *Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Chapter 1 (Part 50), Appendix B*. If the ET proposes to use a direct reading dust meter to measure 1-hour TSP levels, it shall submit sufficient information to the IEC to prove that the instrument is capable of achieving a comparable results to the HVS. The instrument should be calibrated regularly, and the 1-hour sampling shall be determined on yearly basis by the HVS to check the validity and accuracy of the results measured by direct reading method. The filter paper of 24-hour TSP measurement shall be determined by HOKLAS accredited laboratory.
- 3.5.2 All equipment to be used for air quality monitoring is listed in *Table 3-5*.

Table 3-5 Air Quality Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | | Model |
|-------------|-------------------------|--|
| 24-hour TSP | High Volume Air Sampler | TISCH High Volume Air Sampler, HVS Model TE-5170 |
| | Calibration Kit | TISCH Model TE-5025A |
| 1- hour TSP | Portable Dust Meter | Sibata LD-3B Laser Dust Monitor |

Noise Monitoring

- 3.5.3 Sound level meter in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979 (Type 1) and 804: 1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. The sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator. The wind speed shall be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in ms-1.
- 3.5.4 Noise equipment as perform for construction phase monitoring is listed in *Table 3-6*.

Table 3-6 Construction Noise Monitoring Equipment

| Equipment | Model |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Integrating Sound Level Meter | Bruel & Kjaer 2238, Rion NL-31 |
| Calibrator | Bruel & Kjaer 4231, NC-73, NC-75 |
| Portable Wind Speed Indicator | Anemometer AZ Instrument 8908 |

3.6 MONITORING METHODOLOGY

1-hour TSP

- 3.6.1 The 1-hour TSP monitor was a brand named “Sibata LD-3 Laser Dust monitor Particle Mass Profiler & Counter” which is a portable, battery-operated laser photometer. The 1-hour TSP meter provides a real time 1-hour TSP measurement based on 90° light scattering. The 1-hour TSP monitor consists of the following:
- A pump to draw sample aerosol through the optic chamber where TSP is measured;
 - A sheath air system to isolate the aerosol in the chamber to keep the optics clean for maximum reliability; and
 - A built-in data logger compatible with Windows based program to facilitate data collection, analysis and reporting.
- 3.6.2 The 1-hour TSP meter to be used will be within the valid period, calibrated by the manufacturer prior to purchasing. Zero response of the instrument will be checked before and after each monitoring event.

24-hour TSP

- 3.6.3 The equipment used for 24-hour TSP measurement is Thermo Andersen Model GS2310 TSP

high volume air sampling system, which complied with *EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50*. The High Volume Air Sampler (HVS) consists of the following:

- (a.) An anodized aluminum shelter;
- (b.) A 8"x10" stainless steel filter holder;
- (c.) A blower motor assembly;
- (d.) A continuous flow/pressure recorder;
- (e.) A motor speed-voltage control/elapsed time indicator;
- (f.) A 7-day mechanical timer, and
- (g.) A power supply of 220v/50 Hz

3.6.4 For HVS for 24-hour TSP monitoring, the HVS is mounted in a metallic cage with a top for protection and also it is sat on the existing ground or the roof of building. The flow rate of the HVS between 0.6m³/min and 1.7m³/min will be properly set in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction to within the range recommended in *EPA Code of Federal Regulation, Appendix B to Part 50*. Glass Fiber Filter 8" x 10" of TE-653 will be used for 24-Hour TSP monitoring and would be supplied by laboratory. The general procedures of sampling are described as below:-

- A horizontal platform with appropriate support to secure the samples against gusty wind should be provided;
- No two samplers should be placed less than 2 meters apart;
- The distance between the sampler and an obstacle, such as building, must be at least twice the height that the obstacle protrudes above the sample;
- A minimum of 2 meters of separation from any supporting structure, measured horizontally is required;
- Before placing any filter media at the HVS, the power supply will be checked to ensure the sampler work properly;
- The filter paper will be set to align on the screen of HVS to ensure that the gasket formed an air tight seal on the outer edges of the filter. Then filter holder frame will be tightened to the filter hold with swing bolts. The holding pressure should be sufficient to avoid air leakage at the edge;
- The mechanical timer will be set for a sampling period of 24 hours (00:00 mid-night to 00:00 mid-night next day). Information will be recorded on the field data sheet, which would be included the sampling data, starting time, the weather condition at current and the filter paper ID with the initial weight;
- After sampling, the filter paper will be collected and transfer from the filter holder of the HVS to a sealed envelope and sent to a local HOKLAS accredited laboratory for quantifying.

3.6.5 All the sampled 24-hour TSP filters will be kept in normal air conditioned room conditions, i.e. 70% HR (Relative Humidity) and 25°C, for six months prior to disposal.

3.6.6 The HVS used for 24-hour TSP monitoring will be calibrated before the commencement for sampling, and after in two months interval for 1 point checking of maintenance and six months interval for five points calibrate in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction using the NIST-certified standard calibrator (Tisch Calibration Kit Model TE-5025A) to establish a relationship between the follow recorder meter reading in cfm (cubic feet per minute) and the standard flow rate, Qstd, in m³/min. Motor brushes of HVS will be regularly replaced of about five hundred hours per time. The calibration certificates of all monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in the Reporting Period and the HOKLAS accredited certificate of laboratory are attached in [Appendix E](#).

Noise Monitoring

3.6.7 As referred to in the Technical Memorandum (TM) issued under the NCO, sound level meters in compliance with the International Electrotechnical Commission Publications 651: 1979

(Type 1) and 804:1985 (Type 1) specifications shall be used for carrying out the noise monitoring. Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter shall be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements may be accepted as valid only if the calibration levels from before and after the noise measurement agree to within 1.0 dB.

- 3.6.8 All noise measurements will be performed with the meter set to FAST response and on the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound pressure level (Leq). Leq_(30 min) in six consecutive Leq_(5 min) measurements will be used as the monitoring parameter for the time period between 07:00-19:00 hours on weekdays throughout the construction period.
- 3.6.9 The sound level meter will be mounted on a tripod at a height of 1.2 m and placed at the assessment point and oriented such that the microphone is pointed to the site with the microphone facing perpendicular to the line of sight. The windshield will be fitted for all measurements. Where a measurement is to be carried out at a building, the assessment point would normally be at a position 1 m from the exterior of the building façade. Where a measurement is to be made for noise being received at a place other than a building, the assessment point would be at a position 1.2 m above the ground in a free-field situation, i.e. at least 3.5 m away from reflective surfaces such as adjacent buildings or walls.
- 3.6.10 Immediately prior to and following each noise measurement the accuracy of the sound level meter will be checked using an acoustic calibrator generating a known sound pressure level at a known frequency. Measurements will be accepted as valid only if the calibration level from before and after the noise measurement agrees to within 1.0 dB.
- 3.6.11 Noise measurements will not be made in fog, rain, wind with a steady speed exceeding 5m/s or wind with gusts exceeding 10m/s. The wind speed will be checked with a portable wind speed meter capable of measuring the wind speed in m/s.
- 3.6.12 The sound level meter and calibrator are calibrated and certified by a laboratory accredited under HOKLAS or any other international accreditation scheme at yearly basis. The calibration certificates of all monitoring equipment used for the impact monitoring program in the Reporting Period is attached in [Appendix E](#).

Meteorological Information

- 3.6.13 The meteorological information including wind direction, wind speed, humidity, rainfall, air pressure and temperature etc. during baseline monitoring is extracted from the closest Hong Kong Observatory Station. To obtain the most appropriate meteorological information where available, the data of temperature is extracted from the Kwun Tong Observatory Station; the data of wind speed and wind direction are extracted from Kai Tak Observatory Station and the data of humidity is extracted from King’s Park Station.

3.7 DERIVATION OF ACTION/LIMIT (A/L) LEVELS

- 3.7.1 The baseline results form the basis for determining the environmental acceptance criteria for the impact monitoring. According to the approved Environmental Monitoring and Audit Manual, the air quality, construction noise were set up, namely Action and Limit levels are listed in **Tables 3-7 and 3-8**.

Table 3-7 Action and Limit Levels for Air Quality Monitoring

| Monitoring Station | Action Level (µg /m ³) | | Limit Level (µg/m ³) | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP |
| AMS-1 | 313 | 154 | 500 | 260 |
| AMS-1a(*) | 313 | 154 | 500 | 260 |
| AMS-2 | 319 | 165 | 500 | 260 |
| AMS-3 | 319 | 165 | 500 | 260 |
| AMS-4 | 315 | 165 | 500 | 260 |

| Monitoring Station | Action Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | Limit Level ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | |
|--------------------|---|-------------|--|-------------|
| | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP | 1-hour TSP | 24-hour TSP |
| AMS-5 | 299 | 166 | 500 | 260 |
| AMS-6 | 303 | 168 | 500 | 260 |
| AMS-7 | 307 | 156 | 500 | 260 |

(*) 24-hour TSP monitoring at AMS1 was abandoned since May 2019 due to lack of power supply and the landlord was unreachable. The alternation location of AMS1a was activated on 15 June 2019 for 1-hour and 24-hour TSP monitoring. The proposal was agreed by EPD on 9 Aug 2019.

Table 3-8 Action and Limit Levels for Construction Noise

| Monitoring Location | Action Level | Limit Level in dB(A) |
|---------------------|---|---|
| | Time Period: 0700-1900 hours on normal weekdays | |
| NMS-1 | When one or more documented complaints are received | 70 dB(A) ^{Note 1} / 65 dB(A) ^{Note 1} |
| NMS-2(@) | | 75 dB(A) |
| NMS-3(:) | | 75 dB(A) |
| NMS-4* | | 75 dB(A) |
| NMS-4a# | | 75 dB(A) |
| NMS-5# | | 75 dB(A) |
| NMS-6~ | | 75 dB(A) |
| NMS-7~ | | 75 dB(A) |
| NMS-8^ | | 75 dB(A) |
| CN1+ | | 70 dB(A) ^{Note 1} / 65 dB(A) ^{Note 1} |
| CN2+ | | 70 dB(A) ^{Note 1} / 65 dB(A) ^{Note 1} |
| CN3+ | | 75 dB(A) |

Note 1: Noise Limit Levels for school is 70dB(A) and should be reduced to 65dB(A) during examination period.

Note: If works are to be carried out during restricted hours, the conditions stipulated in the construction noise permit issued by the Noise Control Authority have to be followed.

Remark: (*) Additional noise monitoring location was recommended by RE and agreed by IEC. It was temporary suspended and the monitoring location is relocated to NMS4a with effective on 15 Nov 2017.

(@) NMS-2 was effective on 15 November 2019.

(:) NMS-3 was effective on 3 December 2019

(#) Review of noise monitoring locations was proposed by ET and NMS-5 was effective on 15 Nov 2017.

(~) Review of noise monitoring locations was proposed by ET and NMS-6 and NMS-7 were effective on 28 Feb 2018.

(^) Review of noise monitoring locations was proposed by ET and NMS-8 was effective on 18 April 2018. Noise monitoring at NMS-8 was started on 3 May 2018 upon commencement of construction at relevant section.

(+) Additional noise monitoring locations as instructed by AECOM which effective in Dec 18.

3.7.2 Should non-compliance of the environmental quality criteria occurs, remedial actions will be triggered according to the Event and Action Plan which presented in [Appendix F](#).

3.8 DATA MANAGEMENT AND DATA QA/QC CONTROL

3.8.1 All monitoring data will be handled by the ET's in-house data recording and management system. The monitoring data recorded in the equipment will be downloaded directly from the equipment at the end of each monitoring day. The downloaded monitoring data will input into a computerized database properly maintained by the ET. The laboratory results will be input directly into the computerized database and checked by personnel other than those who input the data.

3.8.2 For monitoring parameters that require laboratory analysis, the local laboratory shall follow the QA/QC requirements as set out under the HOKLAS scheme for the relevant laboratory tests.

4 AIR QUALITY MONITORING

4.1 GENERAL

- 4.1.1 In the Reporting Period, air quality monitoring was performed at the active designated monitoring locations AMS-1a, AMS-2, AMS-3, AMS-4, AMS-5, AMS-6 and AMS-7. Since installation of HVS for 24-hour TSP at AMS-2, AMS-3 and AMS-4 were pending approval from relevant departments, only 1-hour TSP monitoring was conducted at AMS-2, AMS-3 and AMS-4. Liaise with the Maryknool Secondary School of AMS-4 for installation of monitoring equipment at rooftop is in progress.
- 4.1.2 The air quality monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix G* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

4.2 RESULTS OF AIR QUALITY MONITORING

- 4.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **105** events of 1-hour TSP monitoring and **24** events of 24-hours TSP were carried out and the monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 4-1 to 4-5*. The detailed 24-hour TSP monitoring data are presented in *Appendix H* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix I*.

Table 4-1 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results (AMS-1a)

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 2-Dec-24 | 25 | 6-Dec-24 | 9:10 | 43 | 39 | 35 |
| 7-Dec-24 | 18 | 12-Dec-24 | 9:15 | 47 | 52 | 45 |
| 13-Dec-24 | 32 | 18-Dec-24 | 9:00 | 41 | 46 | 46 |
| 19-Dec-24 | 32 | 24-Dec-24 | 9:10 | 42 | 47 | 46 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 27 | 28-Dec-24 | 9:00 | 53 | 57 | 55 |
| 30-Dec-24 | 37 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Average (Range) | 29 (18 – 37) | Average (Range) | | 46 (35 – 57) | | |

Table 4-2 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results (AMS-2)

| 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 6-Dec-24 | 9:20 | 62 | 64 | 60 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 9:30 | 67 | 65 | 62 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 9:30 | 60 | 64 | 62 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 9:45 | 60 | 58 | 65 |
| 28-Dec-24 | 9:40 | 55 | 52 | 56 |
| Average (Range) | | 61 (52 – 67) | | |

Table 4-3 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results (AMS-3)

| 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 6-Dec-24 | 13:00 | 46 | 48 | 50 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 13:10 | 43 | 41 | 46 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 13:00 | 48 | 41 | 50 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 13:15 | 70 | 63 | 63 |
| 28-Dec-24 | 13:00 | 53 | 56 | 58 |
| Average (Range) | | 52 (41 – 70) | | |

Table 4-4 Summary of 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results (AMS-4)

| 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 6-Dec-24 | 13:10 | 66 | 62 | 66 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 13:10 | 69 | 65 | 66 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 13:00 | 62 | 67 | 64 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 13:10 | 67 | 65 | 70 |
| 28-Dec-24 | 13:25 | 55 | 62 | 65 |
| Average (Range) | | 65 (55 – 70) | | |

Table 4-5 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results (AMS-5)

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 2-Dec-24 | 53 | 6-Dec-24 | 9:10 | 54 | 56 | 61 |
| 7-Dec-24 | 57 | 12-Dec-24 | 9:10 | 54 | 56 | 61 |
| 13-Dec-24 | 56 | 18-Dec-24 | 9:15 | 51 | 54 | 63 |
| 19-Dec-24 | 76 | 24-Dec-24 | 9:00 | 57 | 64 | 66 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 75 | 28-Dec-24 | 9:20 | 82 | 76 | 86 |
| 30-Dec-24 | 96 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Average (Range) | 69 (53 – 96) | Average (Range) | | 63 (51 – 86) | | |

Table 4-6 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results (AMS-6)

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 2-Dec-24 | 57 | 6-Dec-24 | 9:50 | 56 | 52 | 54 |
| 7-Dec-24 | 57 | 12-Dec-24 | 9:45 | 56 | 64 | 71 |
| 13-Dec-24 | 20 | 18-Dec-24 | 9:45 | 59 | 58 | 73 |
| 19-Dec-24 | 29 | 24-Dec-24 | 9:40 | 61 | 64 | 67 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 48 | 28-Dec-24 | 10:00 | 60 | 54 | 56 |
| 30-Dec-24 | 22 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Average (Range) | 39 (20 – 57) | Average (Range) | | 60 (52 – 73) | | |

Table 4-7 Summary of 24-hour and 1-hour TSP Monitoring Results (AMS-7)

| Date | 24-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | 1-hour TSP ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) | | | | |
|-----------------|--|---|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | Date | Start Time | 1 st reading | 2 nd reading | 3 rd reading |
| 2-Dec-24 | 15 | 6-Dec-24 | 14:05 | 75 | 62 | 58 |
| 7-Dec-24 | 42 | 12-Dec-24 | 13:10 | 56 | 63 | 59 |
| 13-Dec-24 | 10 | 18-Dec-24 | 14:00 | 56 | 60 | 62 |
| 19-Dec-24 | 18 | 24-Dec-24 | 13:00 | 67 | 69 | 63 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 16 | 28-Dec-24 | 14:00 | 61 | 66 | 63 |
| 30-Dec-24 | 26 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Average (Range) | 21 (10 – 42) | Average (Range) | | 63 (56 – 75) | | |

4.2.2 As shown in *Tables 4-1 to 4-6*, all the 1-hour TSP and 24-hour TSP monitoring results in the Reporting Period were below the Action and Limit Levels. No Notification of Exceedance

(NOE) was issued in this Reporting Period.

4.2.3 The meteorological data during the impact monitoring days are summarized in *Appendix J*.

5 CONSTRUCTION NOISE MONITORING

5.1 GENERAL

- 5.1.1 In the Reporting Period, noise monitoring was performed at designated monitoring locations NMS1, NMS2 and NMS3 and the additional monitoring locations NMS4a, NMS5, NMS6, NMS7 and NMS8.
- 5.1.2 In addition, a Work Instruction was issued from AECOM to AUES in November 2018 for installing three additional noise monitoring stations, i.e., CN1, CN2 and CN3 for Contract 3. Impact noise monitoring was performed at the three additional noise monitoring locations since December 2018. Additional noise monitoring location was terminated by RE as the construction work at E8 was completed in September 2022. The last monitoring for CN1 & CN2 was on 15 September 2022.
- 5.1.3 The noise monitoring schedule is presented in *Appendix G* and the monitoring results are summarized in the following sub-sections.

5.2 NOISE MONITORING RESULTS IN REPORTING MONTH

- 5.2.1 In the Reporting Period, a total of **32** events noise measurements were carried out at the designated locations under Contract 1. The noise monitoring results at the designated locations are summarized in *Tables 5-1*. The detailed noise monitoring data are presented in *Appendix H* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix I*.

Table 5-1 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results for Contract 1

| Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------|----------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Date | NMS1 | NMS2 | NMS3 | NMS4a | NMS5 | NMS6 | NMS7 | NMS8 |
| 6-Dec-24 | 70 | 57 | 58 | 63 | 64 | 58 | 60 | 61 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 70 | 58 | 61 | 57 | 63 | 67 | 65 | 61 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 70 | 67 | 62 | 64 | 57 | 60 | 64 | 62 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 69 | 68 | 63 | 59 | 62 | 65 | 60 | 67 |
| Limit Level | 70 dB(A) / 65 dB(A) ^{Note 1} | | 75 dB(A) | | | | | |

Note 1: Noise Limit Levels for school is 70dB(A) and should be reduced to 65dB(A) during examination period

Note 2: NMS1 Examination period: 6 to 19 December 2024. No examination on NMS2

- 5.2.2 As shown in above table, the noise measurement result at NMS1 on 6, 11 and 18 December 2024 were 70 dB(A), which exceeded the Limit Level. The baseline noise level measured at NMS1 was 69.0 dB(A), and baseline noise correction should be applied to the impact monitoring result, where exceedance occurred. With reference to the baseline, the corrected construction noise level at NMS1 on 6, 11 and 18 December 2024 are 63.1 dB(A) which fall within the Limit Level.
- 5.2.3 For the additional noise monitoring under Contract 3, a total of **4** events noise measurements were performed for the Contract. The noise monitoring results are summarized in *Tables 5-2*. The detailed noise monitoring data are presented in *Appendix H* and the relevant graphical plots are shown in *Appendix I*.

Table 5-2 Summary of Construction Noise Monitoring Results for Contract 3

| Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A) | |
|---|-----|
| Date | CN3 |
| 6-Dec-24 | 62 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 63 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 62 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 62 |

| Construction Noise Level ($L_{eq30min}$), dB(A) | |
|---|----------|
| Date | CN3 |
| Limit Level | 75 dB(A) |

Note 1: Noise Limit Levels for school is 70dB(A) and should be reduced to 65dB(A) during examination period.

- 5.2.4 As shown in **Tables 5-1 and 5-2**, no Limit Level exceedance was recorded in this Reporting Period. No noise complaint (which triggered Action level exceedance) was received under the Project.

6 WASTE MANAGEMENT

6.1 GENERAL WASTE MANAGEMENT

6.1.1 Waste management was carried out by an on-site Environmental Officer or an Environmental Supervisor from time to time.

6.2 RECORDS OF WASTE QUANTITIES

6.2.1 All types of waste arising from the construction work are classified into the following:

- Construction & Demolition (C&D) Material;
- Chemical Waste;
- General Refuse; and
- Excavated Soil.

6.2.2 The quantities of waste for disposal in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Tables 6-1* and *6-2* and the Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table is shown in *Appendix K*. Whenever possible, materials were reused on-site as far as practicable.

Table 6-1 Summary of Quantities of Inert C&D Materials

| Type of Waste | Contract 3 | | Contract 4 | | Contract 5 | |
|---|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Quantity | Disposal Location | Quantity | Disposal Location | Quantity | Disposal Location |
| Total generated Inert C&D Materials ('000m ³) (#) | 0.479 | - | 7.176 | - | 0.019 | - |
| Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete ('000m ³) | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0.019 | - |
| Reused in this Contract (Inert) ('000m ³) | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Reused in other Projects (Inert) ('000m ³) | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Disposal as Public Fill (Inert) ('000m ³) | 0.479 | TKO 137 | 7.176 | TKO 137 | 0.019 | TKO 137 |

Remark (#): The total generated inert C&D materials will not take account for the hard rock and large broken concrete.

() Approved alternative disposal ground.*

Table 6-2 Summary of Quantities of C&D Wastes

| Type of Waste | Contract 3 | | Contract 4 | | Contract 5 | |
|---|------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | Quantity | Disposal Location | Quantity | Disposal Location | Quantity | Disposal Location |
| Recycled Metal ('000kg) | 0 | Licensed collector | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Recycled Paper / Cardboard Packing ('000kg) | 0 | Licensed collector | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Recycled Plastic ('000kg) | 0 | Licensed collector | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Chemical Wastes ('000kg) | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| General Refuses ('000m ³) | 0.071 | SENT | 0.152 | - | 0.123 | SENT |

7 SITE INSPECTION

7.1 REQUIREMENTS

7.1.1 According to the approved EM&A Manual, the environmental site inspection shall be formulation by ET Leader. Weekly environmental site inspections should be carried out to confirm the environmental performance.

7.2 FINDINGS / DEFICIENCIES DURING THE REPORTING MONTH

Contract 3

7.2.1 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspections for Contract 3 to evaluate site environmental performance were carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on **6, 11, 20 and 27 December 2024** in which IEC joined the site inspection with SSEMC on **11 December 2024**. No non-compliance was noted. The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 3** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 7-1**.

Table 7-1 Site Observations of Contract 3

| Date | Findings / Deficiencies | Follow-Up Status |
|------------------|---|------------------|
| 6 December 2024 | • No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | • NA |
| 11 December 2024 | • No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | • NA |
| 20 December 2024 | • No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | • NA |
| 27 December 2024 | • No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | • NA |

Contract 4

7.2.2 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspections for Contract 4 to evaluate site environmental performance were carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on **4, 12, 18 and 24 December 2024** in which IEC joined the site inspection with SSEMC on **12 December 2024**. No non-compliance was noted. The findings / deficiencies of **Contract 4** that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in **Table 7-2**.

Table 7-2 Site Observations of Contract 4

| Date | Findings / Deficiencies | Follow-Up Status |
|------------------|--|--|
| 4 December 2024 | • Dusty area should be spray with water to maintain air quality. | • Dusty area was sprayed with water to maintain air quality. |
| 12 December 2024 | • No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | • NA |
| 18 December 2024 | • No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | • NA |
| 24 December 2024 | • No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | • NA |

Contract 5

7.2.3 In the Reporting Period, joint site inspections for Contract 5 to evaluate site environmental performance were carried out by the RE, ET and the Contractor on **5, 12, 20 and 27 December 2024** in which IEC joined the site inspection on **20 December 2024**. No non-compliance was

noted. The findings / deficiencies of *Contract 5* that observed during the weekly site inspection are listed in *Table 7-3*.

Table 7-3 Site Observations of Contract 5

| Date | Findings / Deficiencies | Follow-Up Status |
|------------------|---|--|
| 5 December 2024 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | <ul style="list-style-type: none">NA |
| 12 December 2024 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | <ul style="list-style-type: none">NA |
| 20 December 2024 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | <ul style="list-style-type: none">NA |
| 27 December 2024 | <ul style="list-style-type: none">No environmental issue was observed during site inspection. | <ul style="list-style-type: none">NA |

8 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT AND NON-COMPLIANCE

8.1 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLAINT, SUMMONS AND PROSECUTION

8.1.1 In the Reporting Period, one (1) environmental complaint was received. Besides, no summons and prosecution under the EM&A Programme was lodged for the project.

8.1.2 The complaint log is shown in [Appendix M](#).

8.1.3 The statistical summary table of environmental complaint, summons and prosecution is presented in [Tables 8-1, 8-2 and 8-3](#).

Table 8-1 Statistical Summary of Environmental Complaints

| Reporting Period | Contract no. | Environmental Complaint Statistics | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | | Frequency | Cumulative | Complaint Nature |
| 31 May 2018 – 30 November 2024 | 3 | 0 | 8 | NA |
| 27 Sep 2021 – 30 November 2024 | 4 | 0 | 11 | NA |
| 30 Mar 2021 – 30 November 2024 | 5 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 1 – 31 December 2024 | 1 | 0 | 68 | NA |
| | 2 | 0 | 10 | NA |
| | 3 | 1 | 9 | Dust and Muddy Water |
| | 4 | 0 | 11 | NA |
| | 5 | 0 | 0 | NA |

Table 8-2 Statistical Summary of Environmental Summons

| Reporting Period | Contract no. | Environmental Summons Statistics | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | | Frequency | Cumulative | Summons Nature |
| 31 May 2018 – 30 November 2024 | 3 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 27 Sep 2021 – 30 November 2024 | 4 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 30 Mar 2021 – 30 November 2024 | 5 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 1 – 31 December 2024 | 1 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| | 2 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| | 3 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| | 4 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| | 5 | 0 | 0 | NA |

Table 8-3 Statistical Summary of Environmental Prosecution

| Reporting Period | Contract no. | Environmental Prosecution Statistics | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | | Frequency | Cumulative | Prosecution Nature |
| 31 May 2018 – 30 November 2024 | 3 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 27 Sep 2021 – 30 November 2024 | 4 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 30 Mar 2021 – 30 November 2024 | 5 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| 1 – 31 December 2024 | 1 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| | 2 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| | 3 | 0 | 0 | NA |
| | 4 | 0 | 0 | NA |

| Reporting Period | Contract no. | Environmental Prosecution Statistics | | |
|------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------------|
| | | Frequency | Cumulative | Prosecution Nature |
| | 5 | 0 | 0 | NA |

9 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF MITIGATION MEASURES

9.1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 9.1.1 The environmental mitigation measures that recommended in the Implementation Schedule for Environmental Mitigation Measures (ISEMM) in the approved EM&A Manual covered the issues of dust, noise, water and waste and they are summarized presented in *Appendix L*.
- 9.1.2 All contracts under the Project shall be implementing the required environmental mitigation measures according to the approved EM&A Manual as subject to the site condition. Environmental mitigation measures generally implemented in this Reporting Period are summarized in *Table 9-1*.

Table 9-1 Environmental Mitigation Measures

| Issues | Environmental Mitigation Measures |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Water Quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wastewater to be treated by filtration system; such as, silt curtain or sedimentation tank before discharge. • Replace silt curtain materials if necessary |
| Air Quality | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain damp / wet surface on access road • Keep slow speed in the sites • All vehicles must use wheel washing facility before off site • All vehicles must use wheel washing facility before off site • Sprayed water during breaking works |
| Noise | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restrain operation time of plants from 07:00 to 19:00 on any working day except for Public Holiday and Sunday. • Keep good maintenance of plants • Place noisy plants away from residence or school • Provide noise barriers or hoarding to enclose the noisy plants or works • Shut down the plants when not in used. |
| Waste and Chemical Management | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On-site sorting prior to disposal • Follow requirements and procedures of the “Trip-ticket System” • Predict required quantity of concrete accurately • Collect the unused fresh concrete at designated locations in the sites for subsequent disposal |
| General | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site was generally kept tidy and clean. |

9.2 TENTATIVE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES IN THE COMING MONTH

Contract 3 (NE/2017/03)

Pedestrian Connectivity Facility System B (PC-SYB)

- Touch-up works at PC-System B.
- T&C works at lifts, escalators and E&M works at PC-System B.
- Reinstatement works at PC-System B.

Contract 4 (ED/2020/02)

- Excavation work for Drainage Works at Portion 1a, 2a, 6 ,8 & 12
- Drainage works at Portion 1a, 2a, 6 ,8, 9 & 12
- Construction of E&M works at Portion 1a, 2a, 6, 8, 12
- Construction of Planter at Portion 6, 8, 12
- Construction of hard landscape at Portion 6, 8, 12
- Construction of slab planter on elevated walkway at Portion 13b
- Backfilling works for B3 &B4 at Portion 13b
- Sewerage and Road works at G2-Site at Portion 13b
- Installation of rock mesh at Portion 10
- Repair works at Portion 10 and Portion 17

- Construction of Footpath at Portion 9

Contract 5 (ED/2019/02)

Portion 1 & 2

- Installation of Railing
- Construct the concrete base of playground area
- Reinstate the drainage system
- Laying Paver at E6 Area

Portion 4

- Footpath Reinstatement for E10 Opening

9.3 KEY ISSUES FOR THE COMING MONTH

- 9.3.1 Key issues to be considered in the coming month include:
- Implementation of dust suppression measures at all times;
 - Potential wastewater quality impact due to surface runoff;
 - Potential fugitive dust quality impact due from the dry/loose/exposure soil surface/dusty material;
 - Disposal of empty engine oil containers within site area;
 - Ensure dust suppression measures are implemented properly;
 - Sediment catch-pits and silt removal facilities should be regularly maintained;
 - Management of chemical wastes;
 - Discharge of site effluent to the nearby wetland, stockpiling or disposal of materials, and any dredging or construction area at this area are prohibited;
 - Follow-up of improvement on general waste management issues; and
 - Implementation of construction noise preventative control measures
- 9.3.2 During dry season, the Contractor should fully implement air quality mitigation measures to reduce construction dust emission as far as practicable. Furthermore, since construction site is highly visible to the resident at nearby estates, noise mitigation measures such as using of quiet plants should be implemented in accordance with the EM&A requirement.
- 9.3.3 The Contractors should pay special attention on water quality mitigation measures and fully implement according to the ISEMM of the EM&A Manual, in particular to prevent muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface overflow to public area should be properly maintained. The implementation of water quality mitigation measures conducted by the Contractor is shown in [Appendix N](#).

10 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1 CONCLUSIONS

- 10.1.1 This is 93rd monthly EM&A report presenting the monitoring results and inspection findings for the Reporting Period from 1 to 31 December 2024.
- 10.1.2 The previous service contractor nos. NTE/07/2016 and EDO 8/2022, covering the EM&A service for the Development ARQ for Contracts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 was completed in September 2022 and September 2023 respectively. In view of the completion of major construction works, the EM&A service for Contract 1 and Contract 2 under service contract no. EDO 8/2022 was ceased in late September 2023 and the relevant monitoring stations have been handover to current contract no. EDO 8/2022.
- 10.1.3 No 24-hour or 1-hour TSP monitoring and noise monitoring results that triggered the Action or Limit Levels were recorded. No NOEs or the associated corrective actions were therefore issued.
- 10.1.4 In the Reporting Period, no exceedance was recorded and no Notification of Exceedance was issued. Moreover, no noise complaints (which triggered Action Level) were received for the Project.
- 10.1.5 In the Reporting Period, one (1) environmental complaint was received regarding to dust and muddy water for Contract 3.
- 10.1.6 No notification of summons or successful prosecution was received under the Project.
- 10.1.7 During the Reporting Period, weekly joint site inspection by the RE, ET with the relevant Main-contractor was carried out for Contracts 3, 4 and 5 in accordance with the EM&A Manual stipulation whereas IEC performed monthly site inspection for both contracts. No non-compliance observed during the site inspection.


10.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.2.1 The Contractors are reminded to pay special attention on water quality mitigation measures and should fully implement the measures as recommended in the EM&A Manual, in particular to prevent muddy water or other water pollutants from site surface overflow to public area should be properly maintained.
- 10.2.2 Since construction site is highly visible to the resident at nearby estates, the Contractors should pay special attention on potential environmental impact generated by the site activities and adhere implement adequate air quality and noise mitigation measures as far as practicable to reduce the impact to the public.
- 10.2.3 Construction noise is one of the key environmental issues during construction work of the Project. Noise mitigation measures such as using quiet plants and noise barriers shall be implemented where practicable according to the EM&A manual.
- 10.2.4 In addition, the Contractors should ensure all effluent discharge shall be fulfilled the Technical Memorandum of Effluent Discharged into Drainage and Sewerage Systems, inland and Coastal Waters criteria or relevant discharge license requirement.
- 10.2.5 Mosquito control measures should be continued to prevent mosquito breeding on site.


Appendix A

Layout plan of the Project




Contract Packages



Layout plan of Contract 3 (NE/2017/03)
(Non-Designated Area)



| | | |
|---|---|---|
| <p>圖則名稱 Drawing Title</p> <p>行人連繫設施(巴士轉乘站、E11及E12) - 平面圖及構思圖 Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities (Bus-to-Bus Interchange, E11 and E12) - Layout Plan and Artist's Impression</p> | <p>項目編號 Item No.</p> <p>765CL</p> | <p>辦事處 Office</p> <p>新界東拓展處 NEW TERRITORIES EAST DEVELOPMENT OFFICE</p> |
| | <p>比例 Scale</p> | |
| | <p>圖則編號 Drawing No.</p> <p>附件五 Appendix 5</p> | <p>土木工程拓展署 CIVIL ENGINEERING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT</p>  |



NOTES:
 1. FOR NOTES AND LEGEND REFER TO DRAWING NO. 60328348/R&P/1001.
 2. THIS DRAWING TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH DRAWING NOS. 60328348/R&P/1001 TO 1008.



PROJECT
 項目
DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - INVESTIGATION, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

CONTRACT TITLE
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS AND PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY FACILITIES WORKS PHASE 2A

CLIENT
 業主
 土木工程拓展署
 Civil Engineering and Development Department

CONSULTANT
 工程顧問公司
 AECOM Asia Company Ltd.
 www.aecom.com

SUB-CONSULTANTS
 分判工程顧問公司

ISSUE/REVISION
 修訂


| I/R | DATE | DESCRIPTION | CHK. |
|-----|---------|-----------------------|------|
| A | NOV. 17 | TENDER ADDENDUM NO. 1 | YC |
| - | OCT. 17 | TENDER DRAWING | AWYC |

STATUS
 階段

SCALE
 比例
 A1 1:500

DIMENSION UNIT
 尺寸單位
 METRES

KEY PLAN A1 1:60000
 索引圖



PROJECT NO.
 項目編號
 60328348


CONTRACT NO.
 合約編號
 NE/2017/03

SHEET TITLE
 圖紙名稱
 GENERAL LAYOUT

SHEET NUMBER
 圖紙編號
 60328348/R&P/1008A

This drawing has been prepared for the use of AECOM's client. It may not be used, modified, reproduced or relied upon by third parties, except as agreed by AECOM or as required by law. AECOM accepts no responsibility, and denies any liability whatsoever, to any party that uses or relies on this drawing without AECOM's express written consent. Do not scale this document. All measurements must be obtained from the stated dimensions.

Layout plan of Contract 4 (ED/2020/02)



LEGEND:

--- SITE BOUNDARY

AECOM

PROJECT
 項目
 DEVELOPMENT OF
 ANDERSON ROAD
 QUARRY SITE - INVESTIGATION,
 DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

CONTRACT TITLE
 合約名稱
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD
 QUARRY SITE - INFRASTRUCTURE,
 GREENING AND LANDSCAPE WORKS

CLIENT
 客戶
 土木工程拓展署
 Civil Engineering and
 Development Department

CONSULTANT
 顧問公司
 AECOM Asia Company Ltd.
 www.aecom.com

SUB-CONSULTANTS
 分判工程顧問公司

ISSUE/REVISION
 修訂

| I/R | DATE | DESCRIPTION | CHK. |
|-----|---------|----------------|------------|
| - | MAR. 21 | TENDER DRAWING | YC AWYC |

STATUS
 階段

SCALE
 比例
 A1 1: 6000

DIMENSION UNIT
 尺寸單位
 METRES

KEY PLAN
 索引圖

PROJECT NO.
 項目編號
 60328348

CONTRACT NO.
 合約編號
 ED/2020/02

SHEET TITLE
 圖紙名稱

KEY PLAN


SHEET NUMBER
 圖紙編號

60328348/LS/1000

This drawing has been prepared for the use of AECOM's client. It may not be used, modified, reproduced or relied upon by third parties, except as required by law. AECOM accepts no responsibility, and disclaims any liability whatsoever, for any party that uses or relies on this drawing without AECOM's express written consent. Do not scale this document. All measurements must be obtained from the stated dimensions.

Layout plan of Contract 5 (ED/2019/02)

PKG File by: Tszky 25/11/2019
 Path: C:\PROJECTS\60328348\Drawing\CONTRACT\RPC\1000\RPC_1000.dwg
 Project Management In-charge: Designer: TSTA Checked: AWYC Approved: HKT
 ISO A1 841mm x 1189mm



AECOM

PROJECT
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - INVESTIGATION, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

CONTRACT TITLE
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - REMAINING PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY FACILITIES WORKS

CLIENT
 土木工程拓展署
 Civil Engineering and Development Department

CONSULTANT
 T0009124
 AECOM Asia Company Ltd.
 www.aecom.com

SUB-CONSULTANTS
 T0009124

ISSUE/REVISION

| NO. | DATE | DESCRIPTION | CHK. |
|-----|---------|----------------|------|
| 1 | NOV. 20 | TENDER DRAWING | AWYC |

STATUS
 R4/0


SCALE **DIMENSION UNIT**
 A1 1 : 1000 METRES

KEY PLAN
 R7/0


PROJECT NO. **CONTRACT NO.**
 60328348 ED/2019/02

SHEET TITLE
 KEY PLAN

SHEET NUMBER
 60328348/RPC/1000



NOTES:
 1. FOUNDATION INDICATIVE ONLY.
 2. ALL LEVELS INDICATED ARE STRUCTURAL FLOOR LEVEL UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.



AECOM

PROJECT
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - INVESTIGATION, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

CONTRACT TITLE
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - REMAINING PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY FACILITIES WORKS

CLIENT
 土木工程拓展署
 Civil Engineering and Development Department

CONSULTANT
 AECOM Asia Company Ltd.
 www.aecom.com

SUB-CONSULTANTS

ISSUE/REVISION


| NO. | DATE | DESCRIPTION | CHK. |
|-----|----------|----------------|------|
| 1 | NOV 20 | TENDER DRAWING | AWYC |
| 2 | 11/11/20 | REVISED | HK |

STATUS
 R/F

SCALE
 1:1,250

DIMENSION UNIT
 METRES

KEY PLAN A1:1,500




PROJECT NO.
 60328348

CONTRACT NO.
 ED/2019/02

SHEET TITLE
 GENERAL LAYOUT - E5 & E6

SHEET NUMBER
 60328348/RPC/1001

11/12/2020
 Pkg File by: Tsui W
 25/11/2019
 11/12/2020
 Project Management In-charge: Designer: TSYA
 Check-out: AWYC
 Approved: HKT
 YC
 ISO A1 564mm x 841mm



- NOTES:**
1. STAIRCASE AND FOUNDATION INDICATIVE ONLY.
 2. DOOR OPENING TO BE IN THE SAME DIRECTION ON DIFFERENT FLOORS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
 3. ALL LEVELS INDICATED ARE STRUCTURAL FLOOR LEVEL UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

- LEGEND:**
- SITE BOUNDARY
 - LIFT
 - STAIRCASE
 - DOOR OPENING DIRECTION OF LIFT
 - EXISTING GROUND LEVEL
 - PROPOSED LEVEL

AECOM

PROJECT NO. 60328348

DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - INVESTIGATION, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

CONTRACT TITLE
DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - REMAINING PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY FACILITIES WORKS

CLIENT
CEDD
土木工程拓展署
Civil Engineering and Development Department

CONSULTANT
AECOM Asia Company Ltd.
www.aecom.com


SUB-CONSULTANTS

ISSUE/REVISION

| NO. | DATE | DESCRIPTION | CHK. |
|-----|------|-------------|------|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |

STATUS

| SCALE | DIMENSION UNIT |
|----------|----------------|
| A1 1:250 | METRES |




| PROJECT NO. | CONTRACT NO. |
|-------------|--------------|
| 60328348 | ED/2019/02 |

SHEET TITLE
GENERAL LAYOUT - E7

| SHEET NUMBER |
|--------------------|
| 60328348/RPC/2001A |

Project Management Initials: YC
 Designer: TSTA
 Checker: AMYC
 Approved: HKT
 ISO AT 9546m x 841mm
 Plot File by: VengPC
 2020/12/11
 Path: C:\Users\amyc\Documents\Projects\60328348\RPC\3001A\RPC_3001A.dwg



- NOTES:**
1. STAIRCASE AND FOUNDATION INDICATIVE ONLY.
 2. DOOR OPENING TO BE IN THE SAME DIRECTION ON DIFFERENT FLOORS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
 3. COVERS TO WALKWAYS NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY.
 4. THE SETTING OUT OF COVERS TO ESCALATORS AND WALKWAYS ARE NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY.
 5. ALL LEVELS INDICATED ARE STRUCTURAL FLOOR LEVEL UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.

- LEGEND:**
- [Symbol] SITE BOUNDARY
 - [Symbol] LIFT
 - [Symbol] STAIRCASE
 - [Symbol] DOOR OPENING DIRECTION OF LIFT
 - [Symbol] EXISTING GROUND LEVEL
 - [Symbol] PROPOSED LEVEL

AECOM

PROJECT
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - INVESTIGATION, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

CONTRACT TITLE
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - REMAINING PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY FACILITIES WORKS

CLIENT
 CEDD 土木工程發展署
 Civil Engineering and Development Department

CONSULTANT
 AECOM Asla Company Ltd.
 www.aecom.com

SUB-CONSULTANTS

ISSUE/REVISION


| NO. | DATE | DESCRIPTION | CHK. |
|-----|---------|----------------------|------|
| A | DEC. 20 | TENDER ADDENDUM NO.1 | AW/C |
| - | NOV. 20 | TENDER DRAWING | AW/C |
| BN | 11M | DESCRIPTION | CHK. |

STATUS
 RA1

SCALE **DIMENSION UNIT**

A1 1:250 METRES

KEY PLAN A1 1:5000



PROJECT NO. **CONTRACT NO.**
 60328348 ED/2019/02


SHEET TITLE
 GENERAL LAYOUT - E10

SHEET NUMBER
 60328348/RPC/3001A

Appendix B

Project Organization Structure

Project Organization Structure



Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 3 –NE/2017/03

| Organization | Project Role | Name of Key Staff | Tel No. | Fax No. |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| CEDD | Engineer | Mr Leung Chi Foon | 3842 7087 | 2739 0076 |
| AECOM | Chief Resident Engineer | Lee, Yu Ching Paul | 5723 6880 | 2473 3221 |
| AECOM | Senior Resident Engineer | Brad Chan | 5506 0068 | 2473 3221 |
| ANEWR | Independent Environmental Checker | James Choi | 2618 2836 | 3007 8648 |
| CW – CMGC - JV | Construction Manager | Lau Kwai Ming | 9845 4251 | 3965 9900 |
| CW – CMGC - JV | Site Agent | Leung, Tak Yu | 9026 3897 | 3965 9900 |
| CW – CMGC - JV | Environmental Officer | Diana Lee | 9124 5619 | 3965 9900 |
| CW – CMGC - JV | Environmental Supervisor | Zero Choi | 5300 3643 | 3965 9900 |
| AUES | Environmental Team Leader | T. W. Tam | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Nicola Hon | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Ben Tam | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |

Legend:*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department**AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.**CW – CMGC - JV (Main Contractor) – Chun Wo- CMGC - Joint Venture**ANEWR (IEC) –ANewR Consulting Limited**AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 4 –ED/2020/02

| Organization | Project Role | Name of Key Staff | Tel No. | Fax No. |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| CEDD | Engineer | Mr Leung Chi Foon | 3842 7087 | 2739 0076 |
| AECOM | Chief Resident Engineer | Lee, Yu Ching Paul | 5723 6880 | 2473 3221 |
| AECOM | Senior Resident Engineer | Li, Ling Tommy | 9389 8792 | 2473 3221 |
| ANEWR | Independent Environmental Checker | James Choi | 2618 2836 | 3007 8648 |
| CIWEC | Project Director | Kevin, Chan Ka Shing | 6159 9750 | 2508 0987 |
| CIWEC | Site Agent | John Dan | 9463 3062 | 2508 0987 |
| CIWEC | Environmental Officer | Man Chun Ning | 6299 8850 | 2508 0987 |
| CIWEC | Environmental Supervisor | Chloe Ching | 6728 2805 | 2508 0987 |
| AUES | Environmental Team Leader | T. W. Tam | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Nicola Hon | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Ben Tam | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |

Legend:

CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department

AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.

CIWEC (Main Contractor) –China International Water & Electric Corporation

ANEWR (IEC) –ANewR Consulting Limited

AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting

Contact Details of Key Personnel for Contract 5 –ED/2019/02

| Organization | Project Role | Name of Key Staff | Tel No. | Fax No. |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| CEDD | Engineer | Mr Leung Chi Foon | 3842 7087 | 2739 0076 |
| AECOM | Chief Resident Engineer | Lee, Yu Ching Paul | 9824 7016 | 2473 3221 |
| AECOM | Senior Resident Engineer | Bill Hon | 5599 1486 | 2473 3221 |
| ANEWR | Independent Environmental Checker | James Choi | 2618 2836 | 3007 8648 |
| WL-UJV | Construction Manager | PH Ho | 9464 1392 | 2983 6640 |
| WL-UJV | Site Agent | Lee Chi Wai | 9255 7014 | 2983 6640 |
| WL-UJV | Environmental Officer | Guo Liming | 5723 9883 | 2983 6640 |
| AUES | Environmental Team Leader | T. W. Tam | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Nicola Hon | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |
| AUES | Environmental Consultant | Ben Tam | 2959 6059 | 2959 6079 |

Legend:*CEDD (Employer) – Civil Engineering and Development Department**AECOM (Engineer) – AECOM Asia Co. Ltd.**WL–UJV (Main Contractor) – Wing Lee – Univic Joint Venture**ANEWR (IEC) –ANewR Consulting Limited**AUES (ET) – Action-United Environmental Services & Consulting*

Appendix C

Construction Programme


- (a) Contract 3 (NE/2017/03)**
- (b) Contract 4 (ED/2020/02)**
- (c) Contract 5 (ED/2019/02)**

Contract 3 (NE/2017/03)

| Activity ID | Activity Name | Duration | Start | Finish | 2024 | | | | 2025 | | | | | |
|--|--|----------|---------------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | Dec 84 | Jan 85 | Feb 85 | Mar 87 | Apr 88 | | | | | |
| NE2017/03 - ARQ PHASE 2A - Monthly Programme Update (202412)-0_241227 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Road Improvement Works Location 1 (RIW1) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON10810 | Construct RW wall (RWC2 type 3, stage 2), 1 team | 60 | 22-Jul-2024 A | 06-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON106561 | TTA & construct 1200mm dia & 1050mm dia drainage pipe along NCWBR | 90 | 22-Jul-2024 A | 30-Dec-2024 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON11532 | Construct piling foundation on CT6 Type 2 (21nos, 4.3d/no, 1 team) | 90 | 01-Aug-2024 A | 24-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12140 | Backfilling for Retaining Wall RWC2 Type 6 - 8 | 75 | 26-Sep-2024 A | 18-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON11712 | Temporary works for FE1 "a" construction | 72 | 31-Oct-2024 A | 25-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON11330 | Construct CT5 piling foundation (15nos, 7.2d/no, 1 team + setup) | 83 | 05-Nov-2024 A | 15-Feb-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12170 | Drainage, utilities works & backfilling (RWC2 type 1a, 1, 2) | 60 | 08-Nov-2024 A | 23-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12250 | Drainage, utilities works & backfilling (RWC2 type 3) | 45 | 13-Nov-2024 A | 13-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12136 | Road works (RWC2 type 6, 7, 8) (Stage 2) | 40 | 29-Nov-2024 A | 17-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON10514 | Construct RW footing (RWC2 type 3a Bay 37 to Bay 31) | 36 | 07-Dec-2024 A | 21-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12230 | Road works (RWC2 type 5) | 36 | 13-Dec-2024 A | 27-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12270 | Road works (RWC2 type 3) | 30 | 14-Jan-2025 | 20-Feb-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12138 | Construct street furniture & lighting (RWC2 type 4, 6, 7, 8) | 30 | 20-Jan-2025 | 26-Feb-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12240A | Drainage, utilities works & backfilling (RWC2 type 3a) (Stage 2) | 34 | 22-Jan-2025 | 05-Mar-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12190 | Road works (RWC2 type 1a, 1, 2) | 60 | 24-Jan-2025 | 08-Apr-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12198 | Construct street furniture & lighting (RWC2 type 1a, 1, 2) | 30 | 24-Jan-2025 | 03-Mar-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12274 | Install stone facing for wall (RWC2 type 3) | 30 | 21-Feb-2025 | 27-Mar-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12278 | Construct street furniture & lighting (RWC2 type 3) | 30 | 21-Feb-2025 | 27-Mar-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON10490 | Slope reinstatement works (RWC2 type 4, 5, 6, 7, 8) | 30 | 27-Feb-2025 | 02-Apr-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON10670 | Slope reinstatement works (RWC2 type 1a, 1, 2) | 30 | 04-Mar-2025 | 08-Apr-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12240B | Road works (RWC2 type 3a) | 30 | 06-Mar-2025 | 10-Apr-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON12240D | Construct street furniture & lighting (RWC2 type 3a) | 30 | 06-Mar-2025 | 10-Apr-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON11872 | ELS works (FE1-F4a to FE1-F7a, 1 team) | 42 | 11-Mar-2025 | 03-May-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON11350 | Construct NB pile cap (CT5-PC1 - CT5-PC3) | 30 | 31-Mar-2025 | 10-May-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Road Improvement Works Location 2 (RIW2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Works in Slope C3 (Portion B) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON21150 | Construct hard landscape works at Portion B (Part 1) | 60 | 21-Sep-2024 A | 16-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON21170 | Construct hard landscape works at Portion B (Part 2) | 60 | 21-Sep-2024 A | 16-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON21190 | Construct hard landscape works at Portion B (Part 3) | 60 | 21-Sep-2024 A | 16-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Noise Semi-Enclosure SE2 (Portion C) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON22150 | Excavate trial trench, SLG meeting & UU protection works (SE2 PC5 to PC6) | 30 | 15-Nov-2024 A | 03-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON22152 | Pre-drill works (SE2 PC5 to PC6) | 36 | 04-Jan-2025 | 18-Feb-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON21830 | Construct mini pile (PC1 to PC4, 50nos, 2 teams) | 90 | 18-Jan-2025 | 13-May-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON22590 | Road lighting, irrigation system & utilities works | 210 | 05-Feb-2025 | 18-Oct-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON22570 | Slope improvement Works (pit-by-pit method) (CT4 & SE2 fount part, 250nos) | 120 | 05-Feb-2025 | 03-Jul-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON22610 | Application for power supply & energization (RIW2) | 156 | 05-Feb-2025 | 14-Aug-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON22170 | Construct mini pile works (SE2 PC5 to PC6, 40nos, 2 teams) | 54 | 19-Feb-2025 | 26-Apr-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Road Improvement Works Location 3 (RIW3) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON32810 | Road works (RWD2 remaining) | 42 | 05-Jun-2023 A | 28-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| (CE No. 595) Acceleration for Works in RIW3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON60930 | Completion of Contract C5 works then handover back the site boundary to C3 | 270 | 01-Dec-2023 A | 14-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61650 | (NCE297) DN225 Drainage + Gullies | 120 | 30-Jul-2024 A | 14-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61770 | Berm 4 (the lowest berm) upto CH185 | 138 | 30-Jul-2024 A | 14-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61790 | Berm 3 (the middle lower berm) upto CH195 | 138 | 30-Jul-2024 A | 14-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61810 | Berm 2 (the middle upper berm) upto CH210 | 138 | 30-Jul-2024 A | 14-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61830 | Berm 1 (the highest berm) upto CH225 | 138 | 30-Jul-2024 A | 14-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61630 | (NCE262) additional Slope works | 120 | 31-Jul-2024 A | 15-Jan-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON36410 | Fabrication of Form Traveler 2 on site (E11) | 38 | 21-Dec-2024 | 10-Feb-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61870 | DN225 Drainage + Gullies | 70 | 27-Dec-2024 | 22-Mar-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON60870 | No fine concrete & planter at slope | 280 | 06-Jan-2025 | 13-Dec-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61150 | Berm 3 (the middle lower berm) upto CH195 | 220 | 14-Jan-2025 | 11-Oct-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61190 | Berm 1 (the highest berm) upto CH210 | 220 | 14-Jan-2025 | 11-Oct-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61130 | Berm 4 (the lowest berm) upto CH185 | 220 | 15-Jan-2025 | 13-Oct-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61170 | Berm 2 (the middle upper berm) upto CH210 | 220 | 15-Jan-2025 | 13-Oct-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON34770 | Mini Piles piling (2nos./day) | 57 | 15-Jan-2025 | 25-Mar-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON60970 | SE1 & CT1 RC works | 200 | 18-Feb-2025 | 20-Oct-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON61510 | New Footpath, UU & street furniture | 60 | 25-Feb-2025 | 12-May-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pedestrian Connectivity Facility System B (SYB) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Construction Works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON52890 | T&C and Statutory Inspection to 2nos lift _SYB | 30 | 30-Oct-2024 A | 30-Dec-2024 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON52970 | T&C and Statutory Inspection to 6nos escalator _SYB | 30 | 01-Nov-2024 A | 30-Dec-2024 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON53070 | E&M works @ steel frame footbridge P2 to P1 & Remaining E&M works at PC | 48 | 04-Nov-2024 A | 30-Dec-2024 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON52852 | Remaining ABWF works at PC-System B | 38 | 14-Nov-2024 A | 30-Dec-2024 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON51530 | Slope works - slope B1 (Remaining part) | 36 | 21-Dec-2024 | 07-Feb-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON51550 | Slope works - slope B2 | 36 | 21-Dec-2024 | 07-Feb-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |
| CON51590 | Slope reinstatement works for additional access near PC3 | 36 | 21-Dec-2024 | 07-Feb-2025 | | | | | | | | | | |

- Actual Work
- Remaining Work
- Milestone

Contract 4 (ED/2020/02)



Task ■ Critical Task ■ Milestone ◆ Summary ■ Progress ■

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | 15/12 | 22/12 | 29/12 | 5/1 | 12/1 | 19/1 | 26/1 | 2/2 | 9/2 | 16/2 | 23/2 | | | | |
| 59 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Thu 31/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 587FF,58 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | Portion 5 | 551 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 61 | Access date | 0 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Sun 27/2/22 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 | Construction Duration | 458 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Tue 30/5/23 | 61 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63 | Potential EOT due to Inclement weather and CEs | 93 days | Wed 31/5/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 62 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Thu 31/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 591FF,63 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | Section of Works 3A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 3 of the Works | 365 days | Fri 1/9/23 | Fri 30/8/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Tue 28/5/24 | Tue 28/5/24 | 44FS+365 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67 | Commencement of Establishment Work | 0 days | Fri 1/9/23 | Fri 1/9/23 | 68SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 68 | Establishment Work Duration | 365 days | Fri 1/9/23 | Fri 30/8/24 | 54,49,59,64 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 69 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 30/8/24 | Fri 30/8/24 | 68FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | Section of Works 4 - Portions 6, 12 | 1785 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 16/7/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Tue 13/6/23 | Tue 13/6/23 | 4FS+683 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72 | Portion 6 | 1127 days | Sat 29/1/22 | Fri 28/2/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 77 | Portion 12 | 1785 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 16/7/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 82 | Section of Works 4A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 4 of the Works | 1101 days | Wed 12/6/24 | Wed 15/9/27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87 | Section of Works 5A - Portions 9, 10 | 1359 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 18/4/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 88 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Wed 28/6/23 | Wed 28/6/23 | 4FS+698 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 89 | Portion 9 | 1298 days | Wed 29/9/21 | Fri 18/4/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | Access date | 0 days | Wed 29/9/21 | Wed 29/9/21 | 4FS+61 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 91 | Construction Duration | 638 days | Wed 29/9/21 | Wed 28/6/23 | 90 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 92 | Potential EOT due to Inclement weather and CEs | 460 days | Thu 29/6/23 | Mon 30/9/24 | 91 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 93 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 18/4/25 | Fri 18/4/25 | 92,745FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 94 | Portion 10 | 1320 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 10/3/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95 | Access date for Portion | 0 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 30/7/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96 | Construction Duration for Portion | 699 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 28/6/23 | 95 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 97 | Potential EOT due to Inclement weather and CEs | 460 days | Thu 29/6/23 | Mon 30/9/24 | 96 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 98 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Mon 10/3/25 | Mon 10/3/25 | 779FF,97 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 99 | Section of Works 5A1 - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 5A of the Works | 661 days | Wed 26/6/24 | Wed 6/5/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Wed 26/6/24 | Wed 26/6/24 | 88FS+365 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 | Commencement of Establishment Work | 0 days | Sat 19/4/25 | Sat 19/4/25 | 102SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 102 | Establishment Work Duration | 365 days | Sat 19/4/25 | Wed 6/5/26 | 93,98 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Wed 6/5/26 | Wed 6/5/26 | 102FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 104 | Section of Works 5B - Portion 11 | 1105 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Fri 7/3/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Tue 27/6/23 | Tue 27/6/23 | 4FS+697 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 106 | Access date | 0 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Sun 27/2/22 | 4FS+211 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 107 | Construction Duration | 487 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Wed 28/6/23 | 106SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 108 | Potential EOT due to Inclement weather and CEs | 460 days | Thu 29/6/23 | Mon 30/9/24 | 107 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 109 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 7/3/25 | Fri 7/3/25 | 108,870FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 110 | Section of Works 6 - Portion 7 | 494 days | Tue 29/11/22 | Fri 5/4/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 111 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Tue 28/11/23 | Tue 28/11/23 | 4FS+851 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112 | Access date | 0 days | Tue 29/11/22 | Tue 29/11/22 | 4FS+487 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 113 | Construction Duration | 365 days | Tue 29/11/22 | Tue 28/11/23 | 112 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 114 | Deferred possession (CE 067) | 90 days | Wed 29/11/23 | Mon 26/2/24 | 113 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 115 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 5/4/24 | Fri 5/4/24 | 877FF,114 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 116 | Section of Works 6A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 6 of the Works | 365 days | Sat 6/4/24 | Sat 5/4/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 117 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Wed 27/11/24 | Wed 27/11/24 | 111FS+365 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 118 | Commencement of Establishment Work | 0 days | Sat 6/4/24 | Sat 6/4/24 | 119SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 119 | Establishment Work Duration | 365 days | Sat 6/4/24 | Sat 5/4/25 | 115 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Sat 5/4/25 | Sat 5/4/25 | 119FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 121 | Section of Works 7A - Portions 13a, 14 (DELETED) | 669 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 29/5/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | Access date for Portion 13a | 0 days | Sat 29/1/22 | Sat 29/1/22 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 123 | Construction Duration for Portion 13a | 486 days | Sat 29/1/22 | Mon 29/5/23 | 122 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 124 | Completion of Works in Portion 13a | 0 days | Mon 29/5/23 | Mon 29/5/23 | 123,908 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 125 | Access date for Portion 14 | 0 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 30/7/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 126 | Construction Duration for Portion 14 | 669 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 29/5/23 | 125 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 127 | Completion of Works in Portion 14 | 0 days | Mon 29/5/23 | Mon 29/5/23 | 126,920,919 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task █ Critical Task █ Milestone ◆ Summary █ Progress █

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------------|------|------|-------------------------|--|-------|-----|----------------------|--|------|-----|----------------------|--|------|--|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | December 15/12 22/12 | | 29/12 | 5/1 | January 12/1 19/1 | | 26/1 | 2/2 | February 9/2 16/2 | | 23/2 | |
| 128 | Section of Works 7AI - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 7A of the Works (DELETED) | 365 days | Mon 29/5/23 | Tue 28/5/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 129 | Commencement of Establishment Work for Section 7A | 0 days | Mon 29/5/23 | Mon 29/5/23 | 127 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 130 | Establishment Work Duration for Section 7A | 365 days | Tue 30/5/23 | Tue 28/5/24 | 129 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 131 | Completion of Works in Section 7A | 0 days | Tue 28/5/24 | Tue 28/5/24 | 130,925 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 132 | Section of Works 7B - Portions 13b, 15 | 1211 days | Sat 26/2/22 | Fri 20/6/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 133 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 29/12/23 | Fri 29/12/23 | 4FS+882 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 134 | Portion 13b | 1211 days | Sat 26/2/22 | Fri 20/6/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 135 | Access date | 0 days | Sat 26/2/22 | Sat 26/2/22 | 4FS+211 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 136 | Construction Duration | 671 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Fri 29/12/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 137 | Potential EOT due to Inclement weather and CEs up to Jan 2023 | 300 days | Sat 30/12/23 | Thu 24/10/24 | 136 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 138 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 20/6/25 | Fri 20/6/25 | 926FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 139 | Portion 15 | 1210 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Fri 20/6/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 140 | Access date | 0 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Sun 27/2/22 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 141 | Construction Duration | 671 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Fri 29/12/23 | 140 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 142 | Potential EOT due to Inclement weather and CEs | 300 days | Sat 30/12/23 | Thu 24/10/24 | 141 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 143 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 20/6/25 | Fri 20/6/25 | 926FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 144 | Section of Works 7BI - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 7B of the Works | 540 days | Fri 27/12/24 | Sat 18/7/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 145 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 27/12/24 | Fri 27/12/24 | 133FS+365 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 146 | Commencement of Establishment Work | 0 days | Sat 21/6/25 | Sat 21/6/25 | 147SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 147 | Establishment Work Duration | 365 days | Sat 21/6/25 | Sat 18/7/26 | 138,143 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 148 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Sat 18/7/26 | Sat 18/7/26 | 147FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 149 | Section of Works 8 - Portion 16 | 564 days | Thu 16/6/22 | Sun 31/12/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 150 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Wed 28/6/23 | Wed 28/6/23 | 4FS+698 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 151 | Access date | 0 days | Thu 16/6/22 | Thu 16/6/22 | 4FS+321 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 152 | Construction Duration | 378 days | Thu 16/6/22 | Wed 28/6/23 | 151 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 153 | Potential EOT due to Inclement weather and CEs | 186 days | Thu 29/6/23 | Sun 31/12/23 | 152 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 154 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Sun 31/12/23 | Sun 31/12/23 | 153,1100FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 155 | Section of Works 8A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 8 of the Works | 365 days | Mon 1/1/24 | Mon 30/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 156 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Thu 27/6/24 | Thu 27/6/24 | 150FS+365 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 157 | Commencement of Establishment Work | 0 days | Mon 1/1/24 | Mon 1/1/24 | 158SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 158 | Establishment Work Duration | 365 days | Mon 1/1/24 | Mon 30/12/24 | 154 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 159 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Mon 30/12/24 | Mon 30/12/24 | 158FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 160 | Section of Works 9 - Portion 17 | 1098 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Fri 28/2/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 161 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 29/12/23 | Fri 29/12/23 | 4FS+882 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 162 | Access date | 0 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Sun 27/2/22 | 4FS+212 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 163 | Construction Duration | 671 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Fri 29/12/23 | 162 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 164 | Potential EOT due to Inclement weather and CEs | 306 days | Sat 30/12/23 | Wed 30/10/24 | 163 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 165 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 28/2/25 | Fri 28/2/25 | 164,1116FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 166 | Section of Works 9A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 9 of the Works | 427 days | Sat 28/12/24 | Tue 10/3/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 167 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Sat 28/12/24 | Sat 28/12/24 | 161FS+365 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 168 | Commencement of Establishment Work | 0 days | Fri 28/2/25 | Fri 28/2/25 | 165SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 169 | Establishment Work Duration | 365 days | Sat 1/3/25 | Tue 10/3/26 | 165 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 170 | Anticipated Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 28/2/25 | Fri 28/2/25 | 165FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 171 | Section of Works 10 - All Tree Protection and Preservation Works | 1202 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Tue 12/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 172 | Original Completion Date | 0 days | Fri 29/12/23 | Fri 29/12/23 | 133FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 173 | Commencement of All Tree Protection and Preservation Work | 0 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 30/7/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 174 | All Tree Protection and Preservation Work | 883 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 29/12/23 | 173 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 175 | Potential EOT due to Inclement weather and CE | 319 days | Sat 30/12/23 | Tue 12/11/24 | 174 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 176 | Completion of All Tree Protection and Preservation Work | 0 days | Tue 12/11/24 | Tue 12/11/24 | 175,1193FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 177 | Preliminaries | 1567 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 12/11/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 178 | Establishment of Commercial/Organization | 370 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 3/8/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 179 | Inform Contractor of the name and delegated authorities of the PMD (ER) | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 180 | Confirmation and arrangement of the method of payment | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 181 | Issue forms to CIC& PCFB | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 182 | Submission of MPF form to MPFSA | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 183 | Notification to Labour Department/Marine Department of the commencement date and other details of the contract | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task Critical Task Milestone ◆ Summary Progress

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|------|------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|------|------|----------|-----|------|------|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | December | | 29/12 | 5/1 | January | | 2/2 | February | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 15/12 | 22/12 | | | 12/1 | 19/1 | 26/1 | | 9/2 | 16/2 | 23/2 |
| 184 | Submission of Summary Details of Contract to the Departmental Safety and Environmental | 21 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 19/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 185 | Nominate a Labour Officer | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 186 | Set up Site Liaison Group (SLG) | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 187 | Professional video production company and a competent video director | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 188 | Surveyor, Key People | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 189 | Traffic Consultant, Traffic Engineer | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 190 | Particulars of Independent service provider for Digital Works Supervision Syst | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 191 | Contractor's Management Team | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 192 | BIM team | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 193 | Competent member of the sites supervisory staff to oversee and supervise tree works related to arboricultural operations and preservation of trees within | 21 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 19/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 194 | Content of Contract Webpage (Monthly update afterwards) | 21 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 19/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 195 | Particulars of the assigned person (competent member with arboriculture knowledge of the site supervisory for tree preservation) | 21 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 19/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 196 | Details of Geotechnical monitoring team | 21 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 19/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 197 | Design of the CRE Site Office certified by an accepted ICE | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 198 | Design Architect | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 199 | Specially required staff | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 200 | Public Relation Officer | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 201 | Site Safety Committee (SSC) Meeting (monthly afterwards) | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 202 | Meeting of the SSMC (monthly afterwards) | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 203 | Professional Indemnity Insurance in respect of Contractor's Design | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 204 | Proposed gasket material for waterworks | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 205 | 7 days advance notice of the date on which workers begin to wear Site uniform; Provide uniforms within 5 days after the design is accepted by PM | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 206 | 2 Engineering Graduates & 3 Technician apprentices | 90 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 27/10/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 207 | Commissioning of DWSS | 90 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 27/10/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 208 | Agree on the content and presentation of the dashboard of DWSS | 90 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 27/10/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 209 | Monthly collaboration and information exchange of BIM | 90 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 27/10/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 210 | Combined Services Drawing (CSD) and CBWD generated from BIM model | 90 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 27/10/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 211 | Video script for Project Video Film | 180 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Tue 25/1/22 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 212 | Employment of Construction Industry Council's Graduates (min. 4 graduates) | 180 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Tue 25/1/22 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 213 | Nomination of Treatment process specialist, Design Engineer, and Independent Checking Engineer (ICE) | 34 days | Fri 1/7/22 | Wed 3/8/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 214 | Plan & Proposals | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 215 | Preparation and submission of Noise Mitigation Plan (3 hard copies, 2 electronic copies) | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 216 | Preparation and submission of Waste Management Plan (WMP) | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 217 | Preparation and submission of Draft Construction Health and Safety Plan (3 copies) | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 218 | Preparation and submission of Quality Policy statement and quality plan | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 219 | Preparation and submission of Draft Environmental Management Plan (EMP) 3 copies | 4 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 2/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 220 | Tender requirements for suppliers of Plant and Materials, Equipment and Insurance Proposal | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 221 | Preparation of Proposal for arrangement for placement of storage compartments/ drinking water facilities/ toilet/ hand-wash facilities/ showering/ rubbishbin/ working shelter on Site | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 222 | Preparation Proposal for security system | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 223 | Preparation and submission of DWSS proposal | 21 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 19/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 224 | Preparation and submission of Subcontractor Management Plan (SMP) | 21 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 19/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 225 | Preparation and submission of Construction Health and Safety Plan (6 copies) | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 226 | Weather protection scheme | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 227 | Proposal of COBie information requirements | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 228 | Preparation and submission of Final Environmental Management Plan (EMP) 3 copies | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 229 | Preparation of Proposed Plans for submission of each Release of construction and Project Video Films | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 230 | Preparation and submission of Site Traffic Safety Management Plan (STSMP), (monthly update) | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 231 | Preparation and submission of Site Management Plan for TTS | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 232 | Preparation and submission of BIM Execution Plan accordance with the PSA 1.14D | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 233 | Public Relation (PR) Company, PR plan | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 234 | Preparation and submission of Temporary drainage management plan | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 235 | Procurements of Major Materials | 411 days | Thu 16/3/23 | Mon 29/4/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|------|------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|------|----------|-----|-----|------|------|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | December | | 29/12 | 5/1 | January | | February | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 15/12 | 22/12 | | | 12/1 | 19/1 | 26/1 | 2/2 | 9/2 | 16/2 | 23/2 |
| 236 | Procurement & material submission of bearing for elevated walkway | 45 days | Thu 16/3/23 | Sat 29/4/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 237 | Design, manufacturing and FAT of bearing for elevated walkway | 115 days | Sun 30/4/23 | Tue 22/8/23 | 236 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 238 | Deliveries and site inspection of bearing for elevated walkway etc. | 15 days | Wed 23/8/23 | Wed 6/9/23 | 237 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 239 | Procurement & material submission of movement jointst for elevated walkway | 45 days | Thu 16/3/23 | Sat 29/4/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 240 | Design, manufacturing and FAT of movement jointst for elevated walkway | 115 days | Sun 30/4/23 | Tue 22/8/23 | 239 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 241 | Deliveries and site inspection of movement jointst for elevated walkway etc. | 15 days | Wed 23/8/23 | Wed 6/9/23 | 240 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 242 | Procurement of Raise Planter Type A&B | 60 days | Mon 1/1/24 | Thu 29/2/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 243 | Manufacturing, FAT & delivery of Raise Planter Type A&B | 60 days | Fri 1/3/24 | Mon 29/4/24 | 242 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 244 | Procurement of Balustrade Wall BW1-2 | 60 days | Mon 1/1/24 | Thu 29/2/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 245 | Manufacturing, FAT & delivery of Balustrade Wall BW1-2 | 60 days | Fri 1/3/24 | Mon 29/4/24 | 244 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 246 | Procurement of Children Play Areas & water play area Park Facilities | 60 days | Mon 1/1/24 | Thu 29/2/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 247 | Design, Manufacturing, FAT & delivery of Children Play Areas & water play area Park Facilities | 60 days | Fri 1/3/24 | Mon 29/4/24 | 246 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 248 | Procurement of Adult fitness Area Park Facilities | 60 days | Mon 1/1/24 | Thu 29/2/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 249 | Design Manufacturing, FAT & delivery of Adult fitness Area Park Facilities | 60 days | Fri 1/3/24 | Mon 29/4/24 | 248 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 250 | Procurement of Elderly fitness Area Park Facilities | 60 days | Mon 1/1/24 | Thu 29/2/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 251 | Design, Manufacturing, FAT & delivery of Elderly fitness Area Park Facilities | 60 days | Fri 1/3/24 | Mon 29/4/24 | 250 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 252 | Programme | 1537 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 13/10/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 253 | Preparation & Submission of First Works Program | 6 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 4/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 254 | Preparation & Submission of Three Months Rolling Program | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 255 | Program Review and Acceptance of First Program | 14 days | Thu 5/8/21 | Wed 18/8/21 | 253 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 256 | Preparation and Submission of Detailed Works Program | 60 days | Thu 19/8/21 | Sun 17/10/21 | 255,254 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 257 | Program Review and Acceptance of Works Program | 14 days | Mon 18/10/21 | Sun 31/10/21 | 256 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 258 | Implementation of Programme Management and Monthly Reporting | 1443 days | Mon 1/11/21 | Mon 13/10/25 | 257 | 10% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 259 | Permit and Licences | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 260 | Detailed construction sequences with associated traffic diversion schemes and obtain endorsement in principle from the relevant authorities and the | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 261 | Risk Assessment for slope works | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 262 | Welfare facilities for workers in accordance with requirements in PS Clause 1. | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 263 | UU detection equipment brand/model | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 264 | Certified calibration certificates | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 265 | Contract Computer Facilities, Electronic Document Management System, Site Record Information System, Digital Works Supervision System and other | 6 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 4/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 266 | Name of the designated bank and all related arrangement details for payment of wages to all the Site Workers | 6 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 4/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 267 | Site Cleanliness and Tidiness | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 268 | 3 sets of coloured record photos in SR size (recording existing building/ street furniture.....) | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 269 | Contract Cars | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 270 | Design of uniform for site workers | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 271 | Survey Equipment for Initial survey | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 272 | Inclinometer access tubes - suppliers, material specification and samples of the tubes and couplings | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 273 | Payment of Wages System for Site Workers | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 274 | Tree survey record | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 275 | Supply of Survey Equipment for PM use | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 276 | Complete setting up and begin to operate the Security System | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 277 | Initial Survey | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 278 | Assessment for the risk resulting from working in hot weather | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 279 | Contractor's Design | 653 days | Fri 1/7/22 | Sat 13/4/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 280 | Architectural & Structural | 183 days | Fri 1/7/22 | Fri 30/12/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 281 | Prepare & Submission | 31 days | Fri 1/7/22 | Sun 31/7/22 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 282 | Internal Review & Submission | 15 days | Mon 1/8/22 | Mon 15/8/22 | 281 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 283 | PM Review & AIP | 16 days | Tue 16/8/22 | Wed 31/8/22 | 282 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 284 | Re-submission | 30 days | Thu 1/9/22 | Fri 30/9/22 | 283 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 285 | Design Checker Review & Endorsement | 7 days | Sat 1/10/22 | Fri 7/10/22 | 284 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 286 | DDA Submission (circulation to Government Authorities) | 8 days | Sat 8/10/22 | Sat 15/10/22 | 285 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 287 | Time risk allowance for DDA processing | 7 days | Sun 16/10/22 | Sat 22/10/22 | 286 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 288 | Vetting Process and Approval by Government Authorities and PM | 69 days | Sun 23/10/22 | Fri 30/12/22 | 287 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 289 | Park lighting, irrigation system, smart system etc. | 341 days | Mon 14/11/22 | Fri 20/10/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 290 | Covered walkway | 150 days | Thu 16/11/23 | Sat 13/4/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 291 | Prepare | 90 days | Thu 16/11/23 | Tue 13/2/24 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |


Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|------|------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|------|------|----------|------|------|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | December | | 29/12 | 5/1 | January | | 2/2 | February | | |
| | | | | | | | | 15/12 | 22/12 | | | 12/1 | 19/1 | 26/1 | 9/2 | 16/2 | 23/2 |
| 292 | Internal review, ICE, CSD and submission | 30 days | Wed 14/2/24 | Thu 14/3/24 | 291 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 293 | AIP | 30 days | Fri 15/3/24 | Sat 13/4/24 | 292 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 294 | Contractor's Design [Enhancement on Architectural Design & Associated Works] | 1036 days | Fri 14/1/22 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 295 | Engagement of Design Architectural Firm (CE 005) | 0 days | Fri 14/1/22 | Fri 14/1/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 296 | Enhancement on Architectural Design & Associated Works at Portions 1a, 2a and 2b (Quarry Lake) (CE 070) | 0 days | Tue 4/4/23 | Tue 4/4/23 | 295 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 297 | AIP and approvals | 275 days | Fri 1/7/22 | Sat 1/4/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 298 | Schematic Landscape Master Plan (LMP), Design AIP, GBP approval | 153 days | Fri 1/7/22 | Wed 30/11/22 | 295 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 299 | Production of AIP Drawings | 92 days | Sat 31/12/22 | Sat 1/4/23 | 298 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 300 | DSD's AIP approval | 0 days | Sat 1/4/23 | Sat 1/4/23 | 299 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 301 | Detailed Design Submission Schedule | 473 days | Mon 31/7/23 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 302 | Statutory submission | 92 days | Wed 30/8/23 | Thu 30/11/23 | 300 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 303 | FSD submission for GBP | 0 days | Thu 30/11/23 | Thu 30/11/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 304 | WWO542 document | 0 days | Wed 30/8/23 | Wed 30/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 305 | Civil | 46 days | Wed 30/8/23 | Sun 15/10/23 | 300 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 306 | Underground rain water drainage | 0 days | Sun 15/10/23 | Sun 15/10/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 307 | Underground watermain | 0 days | Wed 30/8/23 | Wed 30/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 308 | Underground sewerage | 0 days | Sat 30/9/23 | Sat 30/9/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 309 | Irrigation | 0 days | Wed 30/8/23 | Wed 30/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 310 | Landscape and Miscellaneous | 101 days | Mon 21/8/23 | Thu 30/11/23 | 300 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 311 | Landscape | 56 days | Mon 21/8/23 | Sun 15/10/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 312 | Smart weir system | 0 days | Mon 30/10/23 | Mon 30/10/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 313 | Flood warning system | 0 days | Thu 30/11/23 | Thu 30/11/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 314 | Building | 473 days | Mon 31/7/23 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 315 | A1: Lavatories | 473 days | Mon 31/7/23 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 316 | Architecture | 32 days | Mon 31/7/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 317 | Structure | 150 days | Sat 7/10/23 | Mon 4/3/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 318 | E&M | 316 days | Thu 4/1/24 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 319 | A2: Management Office Building | 458 days | Tue 15/8/23 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 320 | Architecture | 17 days | Tue 15/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 321 | Structure | 220 days | Sat 14/10/23 | Mon 20/5/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 322 | E&M | 214 days | Mon 15/4/24 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 323 | B1: Multi-Purpose Building | 458 days | Tue 15/8/23 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 324 | Architecture | 17 days | Tue 15/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 325 | Structure | 224 days | Sat 28/10/23 | Fri 7/6/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 326 | E&M | 251 days | Sat 9/3/24 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 327 | B2: TX Room/Lavatories | 458 days | Tue 15/8/23 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 328 | Architecture | 29 days | Tue 15/8/23 | Tue 12/9/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 329 | Structure | 199 days | Thu 21/12/23 | Sat 6/7/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 330 | E&M | 263 days | Mon 26/2/24 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 331 | C2: Water Treatment Plant Room | 458 days | Tue 15/8/23 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 332 | Architecture | 17 days | Tue 15/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 333 | Structure | 271 days | Sat 7/10/23 | Wed 3/7/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 334 | E&M | 196 days | Fri 3/5/24 | Thu 14/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 335 | Schedule of Accommodation (SoA) Submission | 141 days | Sun 2/4/23 | Mon 21/8/23 | 300 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 336 | Stage 1 | 56 days | Sun 2/4/23 | Sat 27/5/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 337 | Agree SoA with DSD | 14 days | Sun 2/4/23 | Sat 15/4/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 338 | Workshop | 8 days | Sun 16/4/23 | Sun 23/4/23 | 337 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 339 | GPA submission and approval | 34 days | Mon 24/4/23 | Sat 27/5/23 | 338 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 340 | Stage 2 | 63 days | Mon 19/6/23 | Mon 21/8/23 | 339 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 341 | Submission | 0 days | Mon 19/6/23 | Mon 19/6/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 342 | approval | 0 days | Mon 21/8/23 | Mon 21/8/23 | 341 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 343 | DSD's VCAB submission | 183 days | Fri 7/4/23 | Fri 6/10/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 344 | Stage 1 - AIP | 28 days | Fri 7/4/23 | Thu 4/5/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 345 | Submission and presentation | 8 days | Fri 7/4/23 | Fri 14/4/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 346 | Approval | 20 days | Sat 15/4/23 | Thu 4/5/23 | 345 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 347 | Stage 2 - Detailed design | 67 days | Tue 1/8/23 | Fri 6/10/23 | 346 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 348 | Submission and presentation | 0 days | Tue 1/8/23 | Tue 1/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 349 | VCAB meeting | 0 days | Thu 7/9/23 | Thu 7/9/23 | 348 | | | | | | | | | | | | |


Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------|------|------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|------|------|----------|-----|------|------|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | December | | 29/12 | 5/1 | January | | 2/2 | February | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 15/12 | 22/12 | | | 12/1 | 19/1 | 26/1 | 2/2 | 9/2 | 16/2 | 23/2 |
| 350 | Approval | 30 days | Thu 7/9/23 | Fri 6/10/23 | 349 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 351 | Sub-letting (Cost Trimming Scheme) | 211 days | Wed 1/3/23 | Wed 27/9/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 352 | Drawings for cost estimation | 30 days | Wed 1/3/23 | Thu 30/3/23 | 300FS-32 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 353 | Tender approval | 11 days | Fri 31/3/23 | Mon 10/4/23 | 352 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 354 | Tender addendum | 8 days | Mon 17/4/23 | Mon 24/4/23 | 353 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 355 | Sub-letting Period | 25 days | Tue 4/4/23 | Fri 28/4/23 | 354FS-21 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 356 | Tender Assessment & approval | 12 days | Sat 29/4/23 | Wed 10/5/23 | 355 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 357 | PMI preparation | 58 days | Thu 11/5/23 | Fri 7/7/23 | 356 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 358 | Recost trimming by DSD | 21 days | Sat 8/7/23 | Fri 28/7/23 | 357 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 359 | Resubmission of detailed design | 30 days | Tue 8/8/23 | Wed 6/9/23 | 358 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 360 | Retendering | 21 days | Thu 7/9/23 | Wed 27/9/23 | 359 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 361 | Material submission | 181 days | Thu 28/9/23 | Tue 26/3/24 | 360 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 362 | Method Statements & Temporary Works | 792 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 29/9/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 363 | Preparation & submission of generic method statement for site formation work | 60 days | Tue 1/11/22 | Fri 30/12/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 364 | Preparation & submission of generic method statement for earth slope works | 60 days | Tue 1/11/22 | Fri 30/12/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 365 | Preparation & submission of generic method statement for retaining wall construction | 60 days | Wed 1/6/22 | Sat 30/7/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 366 | Preparation & submission of generic method statement for G.I works | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 367 | Preparation & Submission of generic method statement for drainage works | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 368 | Preparation and submission of generic method statement of road works | 60 days | Tue 1/11/22 | Fri 30/12/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 369 | Preparation & submission of generic method statement of elevated walkway construction | 60 days | Thu 1/6/23 | Sun 30/7/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 370 | Temporary Work for cut/fill slope works | 60 days | Tue 1/11/22 | Fri 30/12/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 371 | Temporary Work for retaining wall construction | 60 days | Wed 1/6/22 | Sat 30/7/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 372 | Temporary Work for elevated walkway construction | 60 days | Tue 1/8/23 | Fri 29/9/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 373 | Temporary Work for road and drainage works | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 374 | BIM Deliverable | 1567 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 12/11/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 375 | Submission of COBie Information Requirements for Asset Management | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 376 | Submission of BIM Execution Plan in accordance with the PS Appendix 1.14D | 60 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 377 | Submission of Combined Services Drawings | 90 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 27/10/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 378 | Submission of proposal for BIM training plan | 90 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 27/10/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 379 | Nomination of staff or subcontractor to attend BIM skill training courses under the pre approved list of the CITF managed by the CIC | 120 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 26/11/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 380 | Collaboration and Model Sharing | 60 days | Thu 28/10/21 | Sun 26/12/21 | 376FS+30 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 381 | Monthly Coordination meeting& Submission of monthly BIM progress reports & Submission of 4D Simulation | 1417 days | Mon 27/12/21 | Wed 12/11/25 | 380 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 382 | Submission of COBie data deliverables | 30 days | Sun 14/9/25 | Mon 13/10/25 | 381FS-60 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 383 | Submission of a Fully Coordinated BIM Model with field verified in LOD 500 | 30 days | Thu 2/10/25 | Fri 31/10/25 | 381FS-42 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 384 | Submission of O&M Manuals, Product Catalogues and Operating Data | 30 days | Thu 2/10/25 | Fri 31/10/25 | 381FS-42 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 385 | Submission of As-built drawings | 30 days | Thu 2/10/25 | Fri 31/10/25 | 381FS-42 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 386 | Submission of Asset Data | 30 days | Thu 2/10/25 | Fri 31/10/25 | 381FS-42 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 387 | Work Area | 1572 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Mon 17/11/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 388 | CRE Site Office Design & ICE Endorsement | 30 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sat 28/8/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 389 | CRE Site office Design Review and Acceptance | 30 days | Sun 29/8/21 | Mon 27/9/21 | 388 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 390 | CRE Site office Construction Works | 90 days | Tue 28/9/21 | Sun 26/12/21 | 389 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 391 | Completion of CRE Site office Construction Works | 0 days | Mon 24/1/22 | Mon 24/1/22 | 390 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 392 | CRE Site office Mobilization & Maintenance | 1394 days | Mon 24/1/22 | Mon 17/11/25 | 390,391 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 393 | Access for Works Area | 0 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 30/7/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 394 | Maintenance Duration for Works Area | 1566 days | Sat 31/7/21 | Wed 12/11/25 | 393FS+1 day | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 395 | Vacate / Handover Works Area | 0 days | Wed 12/11/25 | Wed 12/11/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 396 | Setting up Contractor's Project office | 90 days | Tue 28/9/21 | Sun 26/12/21 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 397 | Contractor Site office Maintenance | 1389 days | Mon 24/1/22 | Wed 12/11/25 | 396 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 398 | Construction Works | 1786 days? | Thu 29/7/21 | Thu 16/7/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 399 | Section of Works 1A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 1 of the Works | 365 days | Thu 29/7/21 | Thu 28/7/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 400 | Commencement of Establishment Work for Section 1 | 0 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 30/7/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 401 | Establishment Work Duration for Section 1 | 365 days | Thu 29/7/21 | Thu 28/7/22 | 400SS-1 day | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 402 | Completion of Works in Section 1 | 0 days | Thu 28/7/22 | Thu 28/7/22 | 401 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 403 | Section of Works 2 - Portion 8 | 1371 days? | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 30/4/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 404 | Portion 8 | 1371 days? | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 30/4/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 405 | Provision of site access [on starting date as per Contract] | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 34SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 406 | Mobilization& Site Clearance | 14 days | Fri 6/8/21 | Thu 19/8/21 | 405 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress




Task [Blue bar] Critical Task [Red bar] Milestone [Green diamond] Summary [Pink bar] Progress [Green dashed bar]



Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------|------|----------|--|-------|-----|---------|--|-----|----------|--|------|--|--|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | December | | 29/12 | 5/1 | January | | 2/2 | February | | 23/2 | | |
| 525 | Pillar Box | 60 days | Mon 2/12/24 | Thu 30/1/25 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 526 | Irrigation system | 30 days | Mon 2/12/24 | Tue 31/12/24 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 527 | Retaining Wall | 671 days | Tue 30/8/22 | Sun 30/6/24 | | 31/12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 546 | Staircase D1 | 30 days | Tue 2/7/24 | Wed 31/7/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 547 | Staircase D2 & D3 | 30 days | Wed 2/10/24 | Thu 31/10/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 548 | Planter(community garden) | 41 days | Mon 4/11/24 | Sat 14/12/24 | | 14/12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 549 | Edge | 60 days | Mon 4/11/24 | Thu 2/1/25 | | 2/1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 550 | Planter/Seat | 28 days | Thu 2/1/25 | Wed 29/1/25 | | 2/1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 551 | Soil replacement | 30 days | Fri 3/1/25 | Sat 15/2/25 | 550 | 3/1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 552 | irrigation | 30 days | Mon 13/1/25 | Tue 11/2/25 | | 13/1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 553 | pavement | 30 days | Sat 1/2/25 | Sun 2/3/25 | | 1/2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 554 | Finishing to planter wall, seat wall and planter kerb | 30 days | Mon 17/2/25 | Tue 18/3/25 | | 17/2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 555 | Soft landscaping works | 30 days | Sat 1/2/25 | Sun 2/3/25 | | 1/2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 556 | Railing/fence and signage | 60 days | Mon 20/1/25 | Thu 20/3/25 | | 20/1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 557 | Energyization | 60 days | Mon 2/12/24 | Thu 30/1/25 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 558 | DLP ducting and energization | 60 days | Mon 2/12/24 | Thu 30/1/25 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 559 | Section of Works 2A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 2 of the Works | 365 days | Fri 21/3/25 | Thu 2/4/26 | | 30/1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 560 | Commencement of Establishment Work for Section 2 | 0 days | Fri 21/3/25 | Fri 21/3/25 | 512FF+1 day | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 561 | Establishment Work Duration for Section 2 | 365 days | Fri 21/3/25 | Thu 2/4/26 | 560SS-1 day | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 562 | Completion of Works in Section 2 | 0 days | Thu 2/4/26 | Thu 2/4/26 | 561 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 563 | Section of Works 3 - Portions 1b, 3, 4, 5 | 763 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 564 | Portion 1b | 276 days | Tue 29/11/22 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 565 | Provision of site access [487 days after starting date as per Contract] | 7 days | Tue 29/11/22 | Mon 5/12/22 | 46SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 566 | Mobilization& Site Clearance | 14 days | Tue 6/12/22 | Mon 19/12/22 | 565 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 567 | Time Risk Allowance | 7 days | Tue 20/12/22 | Mon 26/12/22 | 566 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 568 | PMI 066 | 50 days | Thu 13/7/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 569 | Sewerage pipes and manholes | 50 days | Thu 13/7/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 567 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 570 | Greywater pipes and manholes | 50 days | Thu 13/7/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 569SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 571 | Laying of 75mm thick milled asphalt chips | 7 days | Fri 25/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 570FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 572 | Lighting | 163 days | Wed 22/3/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 573 | Application for electricity power supply | 83 days | Wed 22/3/23 | Mon 12/6/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 574 | Lighting design | 140 days | Wed 22/3/23 | Tue 8/8/23 | 573SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 575 | Installation including ducting, draw pit and lighting | 23 days | Wed 9/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 574,570FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 576 | Portion 3 | 702 days | Wed 29/9/21 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 577 | Access date | 0 days | Wed 29/9/21 | Wed 29/9/21 | 51SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 578 | Deferred possession (CE 004 & 006) | 61 days | Wed 29/9/21 | Sun 28/11/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 579 | Provision of site access | 7 days | Mon 29/11/21 | Sun 5/12/21 | 578 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 580 | Mobilization& Site Clearance | 14 days | Mon 6/12/21 | Sun 19/12/21 | 579 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 581 | Preparation& submission of MS, Temp works, associated plans & docs | 52 days | Mon 20/12/21 | Wed 9/2/22 | 580 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 582 | Engineer AIP of MS, Temp works, plans& associated docs | 21 days | Thu 10/2/22 | Wed 2/3/22 | 581 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 583 | Installation of chain link fencing | 92 days | Thu 1/6/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 582 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 584 | Soft landscaping works - hydroseeding | 30 days | Wed 2/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 585 | GI works (PMI 006) | 7 days | Mon 3/10/22 | Sun 9/10/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 586 | Additional drainage works (PMI 075) | 30 days | Wed 2/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 583FF,584FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 587 | Portion 4 | 763 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 588 | Provision of site access [on starting date as per Contract] | 7 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 5/8/21 | 56SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 589 | Soft landscaping works - hydroseeding | 30 days | Wed 2/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 584FF,593FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 590 | GI works (PMI 006) | 10 days | Mon 10/10/22 | Wed 19/10/22 | 585 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 591 | Portion 5 | 551 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 592 | Provision of site access [212 days after starting date as per Contract] | 7 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Sat 5/3/22 | 61SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 593 | Soft landscaping works - hydroseeding | 30 days | Wed 2/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 594 | Installation of chain link fencing | 31 days | Tue 1/8/23 | Thu 31/8/23 | 593FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 595 | Section of Works 3A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 3 of the Works | 365 days | Fri 1/9/23 | Fri 30/8/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 599 | Section of Works 4 - Portions 6, 12 | 1786 days? | Thu 29/7/21 | Thu 16/7/26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 600 | Portion 6 | 1127 days? | Sat 29/1/22 | Fri 28/2/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 601 | Provision of site access [183 days after starting date as per Contract] | 0 days | Sat 29/1/22 | Sat 29/1/22 | 73SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 602 | Deferred possession | 81 days | Sat 29/1/22 | Tue 19/4/22 | 601 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 603 | Mobilization& Site Clearance | 14 days | Wed 20/4/22 | Tue 3/5/22 | 602 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress




Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------|------|------|----------|-------|-------|-----|---------|------|----------|-----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | December | | 29/12 | 5/1 | January | | February | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 15/12 | 22/12 | | | 12/1 | 19/1 | 26/1 | 2/2 | 9/2 | 16/2 | 23/2 | |
| 897 | Completion of Works in Section 6 | 0 days | Fri 5/4/24 | Fri 5/4/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 898 | Section of Works 7A - Portions 13a, 14 (DELETED) | 479 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Sun 20/11/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 922 | Section of Works 7AI - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 7A of the Works (DELETED) | 365 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 29/7/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 923 | Commencement of Establishment Work for Section 7A | 0 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 30/7/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 924 | Establishment Work Duration for Section 7A | 365 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 29/7/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 925 | Completion of Works in Section 7A | 0 days | Fri 29/7/22 | Fri 29/7/22 | 924 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 926 | Section of Works 7B - Portions 13b, 15 | 1211 days | Sat 26/2/22 | Fri 20/6/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 927 | Portion 13b & 15 | 1211 days | Sat 26/2/22 | Fri 20/6/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 928 | Provision of site access [212 days after starting date as per Contr | 7 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Sat 5/3/22 | 135 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 929 | Deferred possession | 52 days | Sat 26/2/22 | Mon 18/4/22 | 135SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 930 | Mobilization & Site Clearance | 21 days | Tue 19/4/22 | Mon 9/5/22 | 929 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 931 | Time Risk Allowance | 15 days | Tue 10/5/22 | Tue 24/5/22 | 930,365 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 932 | Portion 13b | 1123 days | Wed 25/5/22 | Fri 20/6/25 | 931 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 933 | Elevated walkway | 1015 days | Wed 25/5/22 | Tue 4/3/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 934 | Modification of existing retaining wall RWA10 (PMI 033) | 60 days | Wed 25/5/22 | Sat 23/7/22 | 930,365 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 935 | Modification of existing retaining wall RWA9 & 10 | 447 days | Sun 24/7/22 | Fri 13/10/23 | 930,365,931,934 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 936 | Wall RWA10 | 447 days | Sun 24/7/22 | Fri 13/10/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 937 | Excavation | 100 days | Sun 24/7/22 | Mon 31/10/22 | 934 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 938 | Cutting away existing coping by wire sawing machine | 75 days | Tue 1/11/22 | Sat 14/1/23 | 937 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 939 | Hacking away existing wall stem by hydraulic breaker (existing vertical bar to be retained for | 45 days | Sun 15/1/23 | Tue 28/2/23 | 938 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 940 | Construction of new RC wall stem | 86 days | Mon 17/7/23 | Tue 10/10/23 | 939 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 941 | Backfilling | 4 days | Tue 10/10/23 | Fri 13/10/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 942 | Wall RWA9 | 165 days | Thu 16/3/23 | Sun 27/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 943 | Excavation | 15 days | Thu 16/3/23 | Thu 30/3/23 | 939FS+15 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 944 | Hacking away existing wall stem by hydraulic breaker (existing vertical bar to be retained for | 60 days | Fri 31/3/23 | Mon 29/5/23 | 943 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 945 | Construction of new RC wall stem | 75 days | Sat 10/6/23 | Wed 23/8/23 | 944 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 946 | Backfilling | 4 days | Thu 24/8/23 | Sun 27/8/23 | 945 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 947 | Bearing | 252 days | Thu 16/3/23 | Wed 22/11/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 948 | Material submission for approval | 30 days | Thu 16/3/23 | Fri 14/4/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 949 | Fabrication | 106 days | Sat 15/4/23 | Sat 29/7/23 | 948 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 950 | Testing | 29 days | Sun 30/7/23 | Sun 27/8/23 | 949 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 951 | Installation | 7 days | Wed 1/11/23 | Tue 7/11/23 | 950,941,946 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 952 | Grouting to bearing bases and curing | 15 days | Wed 8/11/23 | Wed 22/11/23 | 951 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 953 | Precast beams | 536 days | Wed 7/6/23 | Sat 23/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 954 | Submission for approval | 78 days | Wed 7/6/23 | Wed 23/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 955 | Fabrication | 58 days | Wed 4/10/23 | Thu 30/11/23 | 954 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 956 | Post-tensioning and grouting | 59 days | Tue 31/10/23 | Thu 28/12/23 | 955FS-31 days | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 957 | Capping ends | 3 days | Fri 29/12/23 | Sun 31/12/23 | 956 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 958 | Installation | 10 days | Mon 15/1/24 | Wed 24/1/24 | 957,952 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 959 | Grouting to bearing tops and curing | 15 days | Thu 25/1/24 | Thu 8/2/24 | 958 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 960 | Fabrication of permanent formwork | 30 days | Fri 1/3/24 | Sat 30/3/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 961 | Installation of permanent formwork (stage 1) | 31 days | Sun 31/3/24 | Tue 30/4/24 | 960 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 962 | Casting of in-situ tie beams & slab (Stage 1) | 15 days | Wed 1/5/24 | Wed 15/5/24 | 961 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 963 | Removal of Formwork (Stage 1) | 7 days | Thu 16/5/24 | Wed 22/5/24 | 962 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 964 | Edge beam painting suspended due to inclement weather | 3 days | Wed 19/6/24 | Fri 21/6/24 | 963 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 965 | Edge beam painting (Stage 1) | 3 days | Sat 22/6/24 | Mon 24/6/24 | 964 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 966 | Stage 2 TTA & Falsework | 13 days | Fri 19/7/24 | Wed 31/7/24 | 965 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 967 | Installation of permanent formwork (stage 2) | 21 days | Thu 1/8/24 | Wed 21/8/24 | 966 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 968 | Casting of in-situ tie beams & slab (Stage 2) | 28 days | Thu 1/8/24 | Wed 28/8/24 | 966 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 969 | Removal of Formwork (Stage 2) | 4 days | Thu 29/8/24 | Sun 1/9/24 | 968 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 970 | Edge beam painting (Stage 2) | 3 days | Mon 23/9/24 | Wed 25/9/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 971 | Removal of Falsework and TTA | 6 days | Wed 25/9/24 | Mon 30/9/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 972 | U-channels | 21 days | Mon 23/12/24 | Sun 12/1/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 973 | movement joint | 7 days | Mon 13/1/25 | Sun 19/1/25 | 972 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 974 | Planters design submission | 55 days | Mon 7/10/24 | Sat 30/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 975 | Planters construction | 45 days | Sun 1/12/24 | Tue 14/1/25 | 974 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 976 | Finishing on planters | 7 days | Wed 15/1/25 | Tue 21/1/25 | 975 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 977 | soft lanscape | 7 days | Wed 22/1/25 | Tue 28/1/25 | 976 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |



Task █ Critical Task █ Milestone ◆ Summary █ Progress █



Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|------|----------------|-------|-------|-----|--------------|------|------|-----|--------------|------|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | December 15/12 | 22/12 | 29/12 | 5/1 | January 12/1 | 19/1 | 26/1 | 2/2 | February 9/2 | 16/2 |
| 1095 | Section of Works 7B1 - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 7B of the Works | 1580 days? | Thu 29/7/21 | Mon 24/11/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1096 | Commencement of Establishment Work for Section 7B | 0 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 30/7/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1097 | Establishment Work Duration for Section 7B | 365 days | Thu 29/7/21 | Thu 28/7/22 | 1096SS-1 day | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1098 | Completion of Works in Section 7B | 0 days | Thu 28/7/22 | Thu 28/7/22 | 1097 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1099 | Section of Works 8 - Portion 16 | 556 days | Thu 16/6/22 | Sat 23/12/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1100 | Portion 16 | 556 days | Thu 16/6/22 | Sat 23/12/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1101 | Site access date [321 days after starting date as per Contract] | 0 days | Thu 16/6/22 | Thu 16/6/22 | 151SS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1102 | Time Risk Allowance | 24 days | Thu 16/6/22 | Sat 9/7/22 | 1101 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1103 | Late handover of site by others | 350 days | Thu 16/6/22 | Wed 31/5/23 | 1102 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1104 | Mobilization & Site Clearance | 4 days | Thu 1/6/23 | Sun 4/6/23 | 1103 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1105 | Removal of existing rock slope | 45 days | Mon 5/6/23 | Wed 19/7/23 | 1104 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1106 | Construction of fill slope A7 | 90 days | Thu 20/7/23 | Tue 17/10/23 | 1105 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1107 | Construction of fill slope A8 | 80 days | Sun 30/7/23 | Tue 17/10/23 | 1106FF | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1108 | Construction of slope surface drainage system | 45 days | Wed 18/10/23 | Fri 1/12/23 | 1106 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1109 | Hydroseeding | 22 days | Sat 2/12/23 | Sat 23/12/23 | 1108 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1110 | Chain link fence | 30 days | Fri 24/11/23 | Sat 23/12/23 | 1108FF | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1111 | Thrust boring of additional pipe from S201D to MHT1 | 78 days | Mon 2/10/23 | Mon 18/12/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1112 | Section of Works 8A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 8 of the Works | 365 days | Fri 27/9/24 | Fri 26/9/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1113 | Commencement of Establishment Work for Section 8 | 0 days | Fri 27/9/24 | Fri 27/9/24 | 1114SS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1114 | Establishment Work Duration for Section 8 | 365 days | Fri 27/9/24 | Fri 26/9/25 | 1109 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1115 | Completion of Works in Section 8 | 0 days | Fri 26/9/25 | Fri 26/9/25 | 1114FF | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1116 | Section of Works 9 - Portion 17 | 1310 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 28/2/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1117 | Portion 17 | 1310 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 28/2/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1118 | Provision of site access [212 days after starting date as per Contract] | 0 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Sun 27/2/22 | 162SS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1119 | Deferred possession | 30 days | Sun 27/2/22 | Mon 28/3/22 | 1118 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1120 | Slope inspection & assessment work & Tree Survey | 23 days | Tue 29/3/22 | Wed 20/4/22 | 1119 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1121 | Mobilization, access & Site Clearance | 15 days | Thu 21/4/22 | Thu 5/5/22 | 1120 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1122 | Time Risk Allowance | 14 days | Fri 6/5/22 | Thu 19/5/22 | 1120,1121 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1123 | Access blocked by C1 at hiking trail | 181 days | Mon 3/7/23 | Sat 30/12/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1124 | Demolition and removal of disused water pipe and sprinkler system | 50 days | Fri 20/5/22 | Fri 8/7/22 | 1122 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1125 | Repair of cracks at drainage channel and concrete berm | 777 days | Sat 14/1/23 | Fri 28/2/25 | 1124 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1126 | Reinstatement of joint sealant at drainage channel | 776 days | Sun 15/1/23 | Fri 28/2/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1127 | Installation of display sign for slope registration | 60 days | Tue 31/12/24 | Fri 28/2/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1128 | Reinstatement of eroded soil berm due to inclement weather (PMI 117) | 128 days | Thu 7/9/23 | Fri 12/1/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1129 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-D/C948 (310m) | 352 days | Sun 31/12/23 | Mon 16/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1130 | Construction of concrete berm | 14 days | Thu 25/7/24 | Wed 7/8/24 | 1183 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1131 | Repainting of existing steel maintenance staircase | 7 days | Tue 10/12/24 | Mon 16/12/24 | 1130 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1132 | Construction of wire mesh | 352 days | Sun 31/12/23 | Mon 16/12/24 | 1123 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1133 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-D/C949 (603m) | 1154 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Wed 25/9/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1134 | Construction of concrete berm | 14 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Thu 12/8/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1135 | Installation of hand railings | 7 days | Fri 13/8/21 | Thu 19/8/21 | 1134 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1136 | Construction of wire mesh | 30 days | Tue 27/8/24 | Wed 25/9/24 | 1132,1135 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1137 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-D/C981 (390m) | 1170 days | Fri 13/8/21 | Fri 25/10/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1138 | Construction of concrete berm | 14 days | Fri 13/8/21 | Thu 26/8/21 | 1134 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1139 | Installation of hand railings | 7 days | Fri 27/8/21 | Thu 2/9/21 | 1138 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1140 | Construction of wire mesh | 30 days | Thu 26/9/24 | Fri 25/10/24 | 1136 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1141 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-B/C1013 (340m) | 1186 days | Fri 27/8/21 | Sun 24/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1142 | Construction of wire mesh | 30 days | Sat 26/10/24 | Sun 24/11/24 | 1140 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1143 | Construction of concrete berm | 14 days | Fri 27/8/21 | Thu 9/9/21 | 1138 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1144 | Installation of hand railings | 7 days | Fri 10/9/21 | Thu 16/9/21 | 1143 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1145 | Construction of concrete maintenance staircase with hand railings | 33 days | Mon 19/2/24 | Fri 22/3/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1146 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-B/C902 (360m) | 326 days | Wed 24/1/24 | Sat 14/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1147 | Filling of void with concrete | 20 days | Mon 25/11/24 | Sat 14/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1148 | Construction of concrete berm | 14 days | Wed 24/1/24 | Tue 6/2/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1149 | Installation of hand railings | 7 days | Wed 7/2/24 | Tue 13/2/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1150 | Repainting of existing steel maintenance staircase | 14 days | Thu 28/3/24 | Wed 10/4/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1151 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-B/C224 (40m) | 14 days | Wed 2/10/24 | Tue 15/10/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1152 | Reinstatement of sprayed concrete | 14 days | Wed 16/10/24 | Tue 29/10/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress

| ID | Task Name | Duration | Start | Finish | Predecessors | 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|------|--|
| | | | | | | 1/12 | 8/12 | 15/12 | 22/12 | 29/12 | 5/1 | 12/1 | 19/1 | 26/1 | 2/2 | 9/2 | 16/2 | 23/2 | |
| 1153 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-B/C225 (60m) | 77 days | Wed 30/10/24 | Tue 14/1/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1154 | Reinstatement of sprayed concrete | 14 days | Wed 30/10/24 | Tue 12/11/24 | 1152 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1155 | Reinstatement of damaged granite stone planter wall and granite stone facing | 14 days | Mon 2/12/24 | Sun 15/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1156 | Demolition and removal of existing damaged U-channel | 14 days | Mon 2/12/24 | Sun 15/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1157 | Construction of 225 mm U channel (60m) | 30 days | Mon 16/12/24 | Tue 14/1/25 | 1156 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1158 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-B/C1014 (90m) | 14 days | Wed 13/11/24 | Tue 26/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1159 | Remove water pump & electric box | 14 days | Wed 13/11/24 | Tue 26/11/24 | 1154 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1160 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-B/C901 (290m) | 518 days | Fri 2/6/23 | Thu 31/10/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1161 | Installation of non-biodegradable erosion control mat | 90 days | Fri 2/6/23 | Wed 30/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1162 | Hydroseeding | 30 days | Wed 2/10/24 | Thu 31/10/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1163 | Installation of hand railings | 36 days | Thu 7/9/23 | Thu 12/10/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1164 | Repainting of handrailing | 20 days | Sun 22/10/23 | Fri 10/11/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1165 | Filling of void with concrete | 37 days | Tue 2/1/24 | Wed 7/2/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1166 | Reinstatement of concrete berm | 14 days | Thu 6/6/24 | Wed 19/6/24 | 1165 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1167 | Construction of lockable gate | 7 days | Thu 20/6/24 | Wed 26/6/24 | 1166 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1168 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-B/C900 (335m) | 892 days | Sat 9/7/22 | Mon 16/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1169 | Installation of non-biodegradable erosion control mat | 78 days | Sun 12/2/23 | Sun 30/4/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1170 | Hydroseeding | 30 days | Fri 1/11/24 | Sat 30/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1171 | Installation of hand railings | 60 days | Sat 9/7/22 | Tue 6/9/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1172 | Reinstatement of concrete berm | 7 days | Thu 20/6/24 | Wed 26/6/24 | 1166 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1173 | Repainting of handrailing | 30 days | Wed 10/5/23 | Thu 8/6/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1174 | Construction of Wire mesh | 15 days | Mon 2/12/24 | Mon 16/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1175 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-B/C899 (280m) | 388 days | Mon 19/6/23 | Wed 10/7/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1176 | Filling of voids with concrete | 7 days | Thu 27/6/24 | Wed 3/7/24 | 1172 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1177 | Construction of concrete berm | 7 days | Thu 4/7/24 | Wed 10/7/24 | 1176 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1178 | Installation of hand railings | 60 days | Mon 19/6/23 | Thu 17/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1179 | Repainting of handrailing | 30 days | Thu 6/7/23 | Fri 4/8/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1180 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-D/C872 (250m) | 892 days | Sat 9/7/22 | Mon 16/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1181 | Installation of hand railings | 60 days | Sat 9/7/22 | Tue 6/9/22 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1182 | Repainting of handrailing | 30 days | Sun 2/4/23 | Mon 1/5/23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1183 | Reinstatement of concrete berm | 7 days | Tue 10/12/24 | Mon 16/12/24 | 1184 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1184 | Filling of void with concrete | 7 days | Tue 3/12/24 | Mon 9/12/24 | 1177 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1185 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-C/900 (Stage 2) | 45 days | Thu 2/1/25 | Sat 15/2/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1186 | Installation of non-biodegradable erosion control mat | 45 days | Thu 2/1/25 | Sat 15/2/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1187 | Slope Works at Feature No. 11NE-B/C903 | 30 days | Mon 2/12/24 | Tue 31/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1188 | Installation of non-biodegradable erosion control mat | 30 days | Mon 2/12/24 | Tue 31/12/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1189 | Section of Works 9A - Establishment Works for all Landscape Softworks in Section 9 of the Works | 365 days | Mon 25/11/24 | Mon 24/11/25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1190 | Commencement of Establishment Work for Section 9 | 0 days | Mon 25/11/24 | Mon 25/11/24 | 1191SS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1191 | Establishment Work Duration for Section 9 | 365 days | Mon 25/11/24 | Mon 24/11/25 | 1142 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1192 | Completion of Works in Section 9 | 0 days | Mon 24/11/25 | Mon 24/11/25 | 1191FF | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1193 | Section of Works 10 - All Tree Protection and Preservation Works | 1202 days? | Fri 30/7/21 | Tue 12/11/24 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1194 | Commencement of All Tree Protection and Preservation Work | 0 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Fri 30/7/21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1195 | All Tree Protection and Preservation Work | 1202 days | Fri 30/7/21 | Tue 12/11/24 | 1194 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1196 | Completion of All Tree Protection and Preservation Work | 0 days | Tue 12/11/24 | Tue 12/11/24 | 1195 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Task Critical Task Milestone Summary Progress

Contract 5 (NE/2019/02)

Major Activities in Coming 3 Months

| Activity | Month Dec 24 | | | Jan 25 | | | | Feb 25 | | | | Mar 25 | | | | |
|---|--------------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|-------|---------|---------|--------|-------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Date | 16 - 21 | 23 - 28 | 30 - 4 | 6 - 11 | 13 - 18 | 20 - 25 | 27 - 1 | 3 - 8 | 10 - 15 | 17 - 22 | 24 - 1 | 3 - 8 | 10 - 15 | 17 - 22 | 24 - 29 |
| 1.0 Portion 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1 Lay geo-grid and top soil on slope | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.2 Landscaping Works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.0 Portion 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.1 Re-install the lamp posts and chairs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.2 Paving Works at playground area | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.3 Install playing facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2.4 Landscaping works | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.0 Portion 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E71 Lift Tower | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.1 Backfill the slope & reinstate the retaining wall & drainage system | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.2 Construct the remaining part of staircase | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.3 Remove the steel platform & reinstate the slope | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.4 Reinstall the chain-link fence & footpath | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E7 - Pier 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.5 Re-laid the lighting cable ducts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3.6 Reinstall the drainage system & footpath | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.0 Portion 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E10 Lift Tower | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1 Reinstall the slope & step channel behind the lift tower | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.2 Remove the steel platform & reinstate the slope | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.3 Reinstall the Housing Area & associated drainage system | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.4 Reinstall the chain-link-fence & existing footpath | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E10-F2 & F3 Abutment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.5 Backfill F2 footing & reinstate the slope | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.6 Remove rockfall fence at F2 Footing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.7 Reinstall the slope beside F3 Abutment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.8 Reinstall the fencing at Po Tat Estate Podium | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.0 C2 Remaining Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.1 Remaining Slope Reinstatement | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.2 Equipotential Bonding | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.3 Paint Peel rectification at E3-LT1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.4 Bolts Replacement | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5.5 Refuse Island Reinstatement Work | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Lunar new Year Holiday

Appendix D

Monitoring Locations for Impact Monitoring

Monitoring Locations
for
Contract 1 (NE/2016/01)


DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. CHECK ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE.
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED
© DWE, ARUP &



HVS in AMS-1 for 24-Hour TSP



Printed by : 4/8/2014
Filename : G:\env\project\227724-50\13 Drawing Deliverables\08 EIMA\02 Revised draft\Ar 227724_E_0045_B - Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring (Sheet 1 of 3).dgn



- Legend
- Study Area
 - 500m Assessment Area
 - Dust Monitoring Locations



| | | | |
|-----|--------------|----|-------|
| | | | |
| B | SECOND ISSUE | GL | 03/14 |
| A | FIRST ISSUE | GL | 10/13 |
| Rev | Description | By | Date |

Consultant
ARUP

Contract No. and Title
Agreement No. CE 18/2012(CE)
Development of Anderson Road Quarry - Investigation

Drawing title
Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring (Sheet 1 of 3)


| | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Drawing no. 227724/E/1045 | | Rev. B | |
| Drawn GL | Date 03/14 | Checked TC | Approved ST |
| Scale 1:5000 @A3 | | Status PRELIMINARY | |

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

土木工程拓展署
Civil Engineering and
Development Department

DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. CHECK ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE.
 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
 © THE ARUP & PARTNERS HONG KONG LIMITED.

Printed by : 6/3/2014
 Filename : \\HKGN\S22\acoustic\env\project\227724-50\13 Drawing Deliverables\08 EIM&A\03 Final Noise\227724_E-2400_C - Locations of Noise Monitoring.dgn



- Legend
- Study Area
 - Construction Noise Monitoring Location
 - Construction and Operational Road Traffic Noise Monitoring Location
 - Review Noise monitoring Location

| | | | |
|-----|--------------|----|----------|
| Rev | Description | By | Date |
| C | THIRD ISSUE | | GL 05/14 |
| B | SECOND ISSUE | | GL 03/14 |
| A | FIRST ISSUE | | GL 10/13 |

Consultant
ARUP

Contract No. and Title
 Agreement No. CE 18/2012(CE)
 Development of
 Anderson Road Quarry -
 Investigation

Drawing title
**Locations of Noise
 Monitoring**

| | | | |
|-------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Drawn | Date | Checked | Approved |
| GL | 05/14 | TC | ST |
| Scale | 1:5000 #A3 | Status | PRELIMINARY |


COPYRIGHT RESERVED

土木工程拓展署
 Civil Engineering and
 Development Department



DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. CHECK ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE.
 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
 © DR. ARUP & PARTNERS HONG KONG LIMITED

- Legend
- Study Area
 - 500m Assessment Area
 - Dust Monitoring Locations



HVS in AMS-5 for 24-Hour TSP



HVS in AMS-6 for 24-Hour TSP



| | | | |
|-----|--------------|----|-------|
| Rev | Description | By | Date |
| B | SECOND ISSUE | GL | 03/14 |
| A | FIRST ISSUE | GL | 10/13 |

Consultant
ARUP

Contract No. and Title
Agreement No. CE 18/2012(CE)
Development of Anderson Road Quarry - Investigation


Drawing title
Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring (Sheet 2 of 3)


| | | | |
|-------|------------|---------|-------------|
| Drawn | Date | Checked | Approved |
| GL | 03/14 | TC | ST |
| Scale | 1:5000 @A3 | | Status |
| | | | PRELIMINARY |

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

土木工程發展署
 Civil Engineering and Development Department

Printed by : 4/8/2014
 Filename : G:\env\project\227724-50\13 Drawing Deliverables\08 EM&A\02 Revised draft\Ar\227724_E_1046_B - Locations of Construction Dust Monitoring (Sheet 2 of 3).dgn





- Legend
- Study Area
 - 500m Assessment Area
 - Dust Monitoring Locations
 - Noise Monitoring Location

| | | | |
|-----|--------------|----|-------|
| | | | |
| B | SECOND ISSUE | GL | 03/14 |
| A | FIRST ISSUE | GL | 10/13 |
| Rev | Description | By | Date |

Consultant

Contract No. and Title
Agreement No. CE 18/2012(CE)
Development of Anderson Road Quarry - Investigation

Drawing Title
Locations of Construction Dust and Noise Monitoring

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

Monitoring Locations
for
Contract 3 (NE/2017/03)

DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. CHECK ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE.
 ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
 © THE ARUP & PARTNERS, HONG KONG LIMITED.



- Legend
- Study Area
 - Construction Noise Monitoring Location
 - Construction and Operational Road Traffic Noise Monitoring Location
 - Noise monitoring Location

Printed by : 6/3/2014
 Filename : \\HKGN1522\acoustic\em\project\227724-50\13 Drawing Deliverables\08 EMB\A\03 Final Noise\227724_E-2400_C - Locations of Noise Monitoring.dgn

Building layout is assumed for assessment purpose

Building layout is assumed for assessment purpose


CN3 (ground floor of On Tat House of On Tat Estate)

NMS-3
(Site C2 - R102)

NMS-1
(Site C2 + School 05)

NMS-2
(Site E - School)

Building layout is assumed for assessment purpose



| | | | |
|-----|--------------|----|----------|
| Rev | Description | By | Date |
| C | THIRD ISSUE | | GL 05/14 |
| B | SECOND ISSUE | | GL 03/14 |
| A | FIRST ISSUE | | GL 10/13 |

Consultant
ARUP



Contract No. and Title
 Agreement No. CE 18/2012(CE)
 Development of Anderson Road Quarry - Investigation

Drawing title
 Locations of Noise Monitoring

| | | | |
|-------|------------------------|---------|----------|
| Drawn | Date | Checked | Approved |
| GL | 05/14 | TC | ST |
| Scale | 1:5000 RA3 PRELIMINARY | | |

COPYRIGHT RESERVED

土木工務發展署
 Civil Engineering and Development Department



NOTES:
 1. FOR NOTES AND LEGEND REFER TO DRAWING NO. 60328348/R&P/1001.
 2. THIS DRAWING TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH DRAWING NOS. 60328348/R&P/1001 TO 1008.

AECOM

PROJECT
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - INVESTIGATION, DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

CONTRACT TITLE
 DEVELOPMENT OF ANDERSON ROAD QUARRY SITE - ROAD IMPROVEMENT WORKS AND PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY FACILITIES WORKS PHASE 2A

CLIENT
 土木工程拓展署
 Civil Engineering and Development Department

CONSULTANT
 AECOM Asia Company Ltd.
 www.aecom.com

SUB-CONSULTANTS
 CEDD
 土木工程师学会
 Civil Engineering and Development Department

ISSUE/REVISION

| NO. | DATE | DESCRIPTION | CHK. |
|-----|---------|-----------------------|------|
| A | NOV. 17 | TENDER ADDENDUM NO. 1 | Y/C |
| - | OCT. 17 | TENDER DRAWING | AWYC |

STATUS

SCALE
 A1 : 500 METRES

KEY PLAN
 A1 : 60000

PROJECT NO.
 60328348

CONTRACT NO.
 NE/2017/03

SHEET TITLE
 GENERAL LAYOUT

SHEET NUMBER
 60328348/R&P/1008A

SHEET 6 OF 8

This drawing has been prepared for the use of AECOM's clients. It may not be used, modified, reproduced or made open to the public, without the prior written approval of AECOM. AECOM's responsibility is limited to the design and construction of the works shown on this drawing. AECOM's responsibility is limited to the design and construction of the works shown on this drawing. AECOM's responsibility is limited to the design and construction of the works shown on this drawing.

Appendix E

Calibration Certificate of Monitoring Equipment and HOKLAS-accreditation Certificate of the Testing Laboratory

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Location : Tan Shan Village No. 5 - 6 | Date of Calibration: 28-Oct-24 |
| Location ID : AMS1a | Next Calibration Date: 28-Dec-24 |
| Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Martin |

| CONDITIONS | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1024 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 768 |
| Temperature (°C) | 17.8 | Temperature (K) | 291 |

| CALIBRATION ORIFICE | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10977 |
| Model-> | TE-5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.03782 |
| Serial # -> | 4064 | | |

| CALIBRATION | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
| 18 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 1.661 | 48 | 48.85 | Slope = 47.2495 Intercept = -29.0205 Corr. coeff. = 0.9963 |
| 13 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 10.4 | 1.573 | 46 | 46.81 | |
| 10 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 9 | 1.465 | 39 | 39.69 | |
| 7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 1.219 | 27 | 27.48 | |
| 5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 1.030 | 20 | 20.35 | |

Calculations :

$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H2O(Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)} - b]$

$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/P_{std}}(T_{std}/T_a)]$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$1/m((I)[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Oi Tat House | Date of Calibration: 28-Oct-24 |
| Location ID : AMS 5 | Next Calibration Date: 28-Dec-24 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Martin |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1024 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 768 |
| Temperature (°C) | 17.8 | Temperature (K) | 291 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Make-> TISCH | Qstd Slope -> |
| Model-> TE-5025A | 2.10977 |
| Serial # -> 4064 | Qstd Intercept -> |
| | -0.03782 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION | | |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | | | | | | | Slope = | Intercept = | Corr. coeff. = |
| 18 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 13 | 1.757 | 54 | 54.95 | 49.5126 | -31.7572 | 0.9984 |
| 13 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 10.8 | 1.603 | 48 | 48.85 | | | |
| 10 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 9 | 1.465 | 39 | 39.69 | | | |
| 7 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 1.219 | 28 | 28.49 | | | |
| 5 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 1.006 | 18 | 18.32 | | | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope


b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure

FLOW RATE CHART



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Hau Tat House | Date of Calibration: 28-Oct-24 |
| Location ID : AMS 6 | Next Calibration Date: 28-Dec-24 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Martin |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1024 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 768 |
| Temperature (°C) | 17.8 | Temperature (K) | 291 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| Make-> TISCH | Qstd Slope -> 2.10977 |
| Model-> TE-5025A | Qstd Intercept -> -0.03782 |
| Serial # -> 4064 | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 12.8 | 1.744 | 54 | 54.95 | Slope = 49.4462 Intercept = -32.1122 Corr. coeff. = 0.9989 |
| 13 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 10.8 | 1.603 | 47 | 46.00 | |
| 10 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 1.348 | 34 | 34.60 | |
| 7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 5.6 | 1.159 | 25 | 25.44 | |
| 5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 0.958 | 15 | 15.26 | |

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)) - b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/T_{av})(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Location : Ma Yau Tong Village | Date of Calibration: 28-Oct-24 |
| Location ID : AMS 7 | Next Calibration Date: 28-Dec-24 |
| Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Martin |

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1024 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 768 |
| Temperature (°C) | 17.8 | Temperature (K) | 291 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | |
|------------------|-------------------|
| Make-> TISCH | Qstd Slope -> |
| Model-> TE-5025A | 2.10977 |
| Serial # -> 4064 | Qstd Intercept -> |
| | -0.03782 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 13 | 1.757 | 54 | 54.95 | Slope = 44.8045 Intercept = -24.5542 Corr. coeff. = 0.9988 |
| 13 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 11 | 1.618 | 46 | 46.81 | |
| 10 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 8.6 | 1.432 | 39 | 39.69 | |
| 7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 6 | 1.199 | 29 | 29.51 | |
| 5 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.8 | 0.958 | 18 | 18.32 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Location : Tan Shan Village No. 5 - 6 | Date of Calibration: 28-Dec-24 |
| Location ID : AMS1a | Next Calibration Date: 28-Feb-25 |
| Model:TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Martin |

| CONDITIONS | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1024 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 768 |
| Temperature (°C) | 17.8 | Temperature (K) | 291 |

| CALIBRATION ORIFICE | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.10977 |
| Model-> | TE-5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.03782 |
| Serial # -> | 4064 | | |

| CALIBRATION | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
| 18 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 9.4 | 1.497 | 54 | 54.95 | Slope = 31.4066 Intercept = 7.4040 Corr. coeff. = 0.9984 |
| 13 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 1.348 | 48 | 48.85 | |
| 10 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 5.8 | 1.180 | 44 | 44.78 | |
| 7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 0.933 | 36 | 36.63 | |
| 5 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 0.733 | 30 | 30.53 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Hau Tat House | Date of Calibration: 28-Dec-24 |
| Location ID : AMS 6 | Next Calibration Date: 28-Feb-25 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Martin |

CONDITIONS

| | |
|---|--|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1024 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 768 |
| Temperature (°C) 17.8 | Temperature (K) 291 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | |
|--|--|
| Make-> TISCH | Qstd Slope -> 2.10977 |
| Model-> TE-5025A | Qstd Intercept -> -0.03782 |
| Serial # -> 4064 | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 10.8 | 1.603 | 54 | 54.95 | Slope = 45.4470 Intercept = -17.9335 Corr. coeff. = 0.9995 |
| 13 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 8.4 | 1.416 | 48 | 46.00 | |
| 10 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 1.276 | 40 | 40.70 | |
| 7 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 1.030 | 28 | 28.49 | |
| 5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 0.796 | 18 | 18.32 | |

Calculations :

$$Q_{std} = 1/m[\sqrt{H20(Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)} - b]$$

$$IC = I[\sqrt{Pa/P_{std})(T_{std}/T_a)}]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\sqrt{298/T_{av}}(P_{av}/760)] - b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

| | |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Location : Ma Yau Tong Village | Date of Calibration: 28-Dec-24 |
| Location ID : AMS 7 | Next Calibration Date: 28-Feb-25 |
| Model: TISCH High Volume Air Sampler TE-5170 | Technician: Martin |

CONDITIONS

| | |
|---|--|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) 1024 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) 768 |
| Temperature (°C) 17.8 | Temperature (K) 291 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | |
|--|--|
| Make-> TISCH | Qstd Slope -> 2.10977 |
| Model-> TE-5025A | Qstd Intercept -> -0.03782 |
| Serial # -> 4064 | |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 6.4 | 1.238 | 52 | 52.92 | Slope = 39.4649 Intercept = 5.1537 Corr. coeff. = 0.9924 |
| 13 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 5 | 1.096 | 48 | 48.85 | |
| 10 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 4 | 0.983 | 44 | 44.78 | |
| 7 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.765 | 36 | 36.63 | |
| 5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.628 | 28 | 28.49 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 15, 2023 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 748.5 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4590 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0360 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9260 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8840 | 8.9 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7290 | 12.9 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9907 | 0.6790 | 1.4106 | 0.9957 | 0.6825 | 0.8878 |
| 0.9864 | 0.9522 | 1.9949 | 0.9914 | 0.9570 | 1.2556 |
| 0.9843 | 1.0630 | 2.2304 | 0.9893 | 1.0684 | 1.4037 |
| 0.9831 | 1.1121 | 2.3393 | 0.9881 | 1.1178 | 1.4723 |
| 0.9778 | 1.3413 | 2.8213 | 0.9828 | 1.3481 | 1.7756 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.13163 | QA | m= | 1.33479 |
| | b= | -0.03523 | | b= | -0.02217 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | |
|---|---|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pa)$ |
| Qstd= $Vstd/\Delta Time$ | Qa= $Va/\Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2410654 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 14-MAR-2024 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 21-MAR-2024 |
| PROJECT | : ---- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : ---- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

WORK ORDER : HK2410654
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2410654-001 | S/N: 3Y6502 | AIR | 14-Mar-2024 | S/N: 3Y6502 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 3Y6502
 Equipment Ref: EQ113

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 16 February 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 7 & 8 March 2024

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr01mins | 09:26 ~ 11:27 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 49.9 | 3166 | 26.1 |
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr02mins | 11:34 ~ 13:36 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 41.2 | 2647 | 21.6 |
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr02mins | 13:45 ~ 15:47 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 53.1 | 3057 | 25.0 |
| 8-Mar-24 | 2hr01mins | 10:22 ~ 12:23 | 18.8 | 1018.8 | 34.3 | 2198 | 18.2 |
| 8-Mar-24 | 2hr14mins | 12:44 ~ 14:58 | 18.8 | 1018.8 | 49.1 | 3106 | 23.1 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 655 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 658 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 2.0206 (µg/m³)/CPM


Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9918

Date of Issue 13 March 2024

Remarks:

1. **Strong Correlation (R>0.8)**
2. Factor 2.0206 (µg/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 13 March 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 13 March 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 16-Feb-24
 Location ID : Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260 (HVS 018) Next Calibration Date: 16-May-24

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.25 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.4 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13163 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.03523 |
| Calibration Date-> | 15-Dec-23 | Expiry Date-> | 15-Dec-24 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 1.631 | 54 | 54.57 | Slope = 31.3860 Intercept = 2.3377 Corr. coeff. = 0.9976 |
| 13 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 9.4 | 1.470 | 47 | 47.50 | |
| 10 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 1.289 | 42 | 42.45 | |
| 8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 1.055 | 35 | 35.37 | |
| 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.751 | 26 | 26.28 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 15, 2023 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 748.5 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4590 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0360 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9260 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8840 | 8.9 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7290 | 12.9 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9907 | 0.6790 | 1.4106 | 0.9957 | 0.6825 | 0.8878 |
| 0.9864 | 0.9522 | 1.9949 | 0.9914 | 0.9570 | 1.2556 |
| 0.9843 | 1.0630 | 2.2304 | 0.9893 | 1.0684 | 1.4037 |
| 0.9831 | 1.1121 | 2.3393 | 0.9881 | 1.1178 | 1.4723 |
| 0.9778 | 1.3413 | 2.8213 | 0.9828 | 1.3481 | 1.7756 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.13163 | QA | m= | 1.33479 |
| | b= | -0.03523 | | b= | -0.02217 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | |
|---|---|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pa)$ |
| Qstd= $Vstd/\Delta Time$ | Qa= $Va/\Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2410656 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 14-MAR-2024 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 21-MAR-2024 |
| PROJECT | : ---- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : ---- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
 - Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

WORK ORDER : HK2410656
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2410656-001 | S/N: 456658 | AIR | 14-Mar-2024 | S/N: 456658 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 456658
 Equipment Ref: EQ115

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 16 February 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 7 & 8 March 2024

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr01mins | 09:26 ~ 11:27 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 49.9 | 3121 | 25.8 |
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr02mins | 11:34 ~ 13:36 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 41.2 | 2694 | 22.0 |
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr02mins | 13:45 ~ 15:47 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 53.1 | 3242 | 26.5 |
| 8-Mar-24 | 2hr01mins | 10:22 ~ 12:23 | 18.8 | 1018.8 | 34.3 | 2101 | 17.4 |
| 8-Mar-24 | 2hr14mins | 12:44 ~ 14:58 | 18.8 | 1018.8 | 49.1 | 3151 | 23.4 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 703 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 705 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.9842 (ug/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9962

Date of Issue 13 March 2024



Remarks:

1. **Strong Correlation (R>0.8)**
2. Factor 1.9842 (ug/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 13 March 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 13 March 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 16-Feb-24
 Location ID : Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260 (HVS 018) Next Calibration Date: 16-May-24

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.25 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.4 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13163 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.03523 |
| Calibration Date-> | 15-Dec-23 | Expiry Date-> | 15-Dec-24 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 1.631 | 54 | 54.57 | Slope = 31.3860 Intercept = 2.3377 Corr. coeff. = 0.9976 |
| 13 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 9.4 | 1.470 | 47 | 47.50 | |
| 10 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 1.289 | 42 | 42.45 | |
| 8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 1.055 | 35 | 35.37 | |
| 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.751 | 26 | 26.28 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 15, 2023 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 748.5 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4590 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0360 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9260 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8840 | 8.9 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7290 | 12.9 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9907 | 0.6790 | 1.4106 | 0.9957 | 0.6825 | 0.8878 |
| 0.9864 | 0.9522 | 1.9949 | 0.9914 | 0.9570 | 1.2556 |
| 0.9843 | 1.0630 | 2.2304 | 0.9893 | 1.0684 | 1.4037 |
| 0.9831 | 1.1121 | 2.3393 | 0.9881 | 1.1178 | 1.4723 |
| 0.9778 | 1.3413 | 2.8213 | 0.9828 | 1.3481 | 1.7756 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.13163 | QA | m= | 1.33479 |
| | b= | -0.03523 | | b= | -0.02217 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | |
|---|---|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pa)$ |
| Qstd= $Vstd/\Delta Time$ | Qa= $Va/\Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2410657 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 14-MAR-2024 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 21-MAR-2024 |
| PROJECT | : ---- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : ---- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
 - Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

WORK ORDER : HK2410657
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2410657-001 | S/N: 456659 | AIR | 14-Mar-2024 | S/N: 456659 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 456659
 Equipment Ref: EQ116

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 16 February 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 7 & 8 March 2024

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr01mins | 09:26 ~ 11:27 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 49.9 | 2804 | 23.1 |
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr02mins | 11:34 ~ 13:36 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 41.2 | 2532 | 20.7 |
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr02mins | 13:45 ~ 15:47 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 53.1 | 3342 | 27.3 |
| 8-Mar-24 | 2hr01mins | 10:22 ~ 12:23 | 18.8 | 1018.8 | 34.3 | 1951 | 16.2 |
| 8-Mar-24 | 2hr14mins | 12:44 ~ 14:58 | 18.8 | 1018.8 | 49.1 | 2998 | 22.3 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 725 (CPM)

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 727 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 2.0366 (µg/m³)/CPM


Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9929

Date of Issue 13 March 2024

Remarks:

1. **Strong Correlation (R>0.8)**
2. Factor 2.0366 (µg/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment



Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 13 March 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 13 March 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 16-Feb-24
 Location ID : Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260 (HVS 018) Next Calibration Date: 16-May-24

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.25 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.4 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13163 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.03523 |
| Calibration Date-> | 15-Dec-23 | Expiry Date-> | 15-Dec-24 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 1.631 | 54 | 54.57 | Slope = 31.3860 Intercept = 2.3377 Corr. coeff. = 0.9976 |
| 13 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 9.4 | 1.470 | 47 | 47.50 | |
| 10 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 1.289 | 42 | 42.45 | |
| 8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 1.055 | 35 | 35.37 | |
| 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.751 | 26 | 26.28 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$

$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate

IC = corrected chart responses

I = actual chart response

m = calibrator Qstd slope

b = calibrator Qstd intercept

Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)

Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$


m = sampler slope

b = sampler intercept

I = chart response

Tav = daily average temperature

Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 15, 2023 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 748.5 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4590 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0360 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9260 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8840 | 8.9 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7290 | 12.9 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9907 | 0.6790 | 1.4106 | 0.9957 | 0.6825 | 0.8878 |
| 0.9864 | 0.9522 | 1.9949 | 0.9914 | 0.9570 | 1.2556 |
| 0.9843 | 1.0630 | 2.2304 | 0.9893 | 1.0684 | 1.4037 |
| 0.9831 | 1.1121 | 2.3393 | 0.9881 | 1.1178 | 1.4723 |
| 0.9778 | 1.3413 | 2.8213 | 0.9828 | 1.3481 | 1.7756 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.13163 | QA | m= | 1.33479 |
| | b= | -0.03523 | | b= | -0.02217 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | |
|---|---|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pa)$ |
| Qstd= $Vstd/\Delta Time$ | Qa= $Va/\Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



SUB-CONTRACTING REPORT

| | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|--------------------|
| CONTACT | : MR BEN TAM | WORK ORDER | : HK2410658 |
| CLIENT | : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING | | |
| ADDRESS | : RM A 20/F., GOLD KING IND BLDG, NO. 35-41 TAI LIN PAI ROAD, KWAI CHUNG, N.T. | SUB-BATCH | : 1 |
| | | DATE RECEIVED | : 14-MAR-2024 |
| | | DATE OF ISSUE | : 21-MAR-2024 |
| PROJECT | : ---- | NO. OF SAMPLES | : 1 |
| | | CLIENT ORDER | : ---- |

General Comments

- Sample information (Project name, Sample ID, Sampling date/time, etc.) is provided by client.
 - Result(s) of sample(s) is/are reported on as received basis, unless otherwise specified. The result(s) is/are related only to the item(s) tested.
 - Calibration was subcontracted to and analysed by Action United Environmental Services & Consulting.
 - Sample(s) was/ were submitted by client. Sample(s) arrived laboratory in ambient condition.
-

Signatories

This document has been signed by those names that appear on this report and are the authorised signatories

Signatories

Position

Richard Fung

Managing Director

This report supersedes any previous report(s) with the same work order number.

All pages of this report have been checked and approved for release.

ALS Technichem (HK) Pty Ltd
Part of the **ALS Laboratory Group**

WORK ORDER : HK2410658
SUB-BATCH : 1
CLIENT : ACTION-UNITED ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES & CONSULTING
PROJECT : ----



| ALS Lab ID | Client's Sample ID | Sample Type | Sample Date | External Lab Report No. |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|
| HK2410658-001 | S/N: 456660 | AIR | 14-Mar-2024 | S/N: 456660 |

Equipment Verification Report (TSP)

Equipment Calibrated:

Type: Laser Dust monitor
 Manufacturer: Sibata LD-3B
 Serial No. 456660
 Equipment Ref: EQ117

Standard Equipment:

Standard Equipment: Higher Volume Sampler (TSP)
 Location & Location ID: AUES office (calibration room)
 Equipment Ref: HVS 018
 Last Calibration Date: 16 February 2024

Equipment Verification Results:

Verification Date: 7 & 8 March 2024

| Date | Hour | Time | Mean Temp °C | Mean Pressure (hPa) | Concentration in ug/m ³ (Standard Equipment) | Total Count (Calibrated Equipment) | Count/Minute (Total Count/min) |
|----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr01mins | 09:26 ~ 11:27 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 49.9 | 3161 | 26.1 |
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr02mins | 11:34 ~ 13:36 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 41.2 | 2638 | 21.6 |
| 7-Mar-24 | 2hr02mins | 13:45 ~ 15:47 | 18.7 | 1016.6 | 53.1 | 3266 | 26.7 |
| 8-Mar-24 | 2hr01mins | 10:22 ~ 12:23 | 18.8 | 1018.8 | 34.3 | 1989 | 16.5 |
| 8-Mar-24 | 2hr14mins | 12:44 ~ 14:58 | 18.8 | 1018.8 | 49.1 | 3050 | 22.7 |

Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (Before Calibration) 610 (CPM)


Sensitivity Adjustment Scale Setting (After Calibration) 609 (CPM)

Linear Regression of Y or X

Slope (K-factor): 1.9737 (µg/m³)/CPM

Correlation Coefficient (R) 0.9937

Date of Issue 13 March 2024



Remarks:

1. **Strong Correlation (R>0.8)**
2. Factor 1.9737 (µg/m³)/CPM should be apply for TSP monitoring

*If R<0.5, repair or re-verification is required for the equipment

Operator : Martin Li Signature : [Signature] Date : 13 March 2024

QC Reviewer : Ben Tam Signature : [Signature] Date : 13 March 2024

TSP SAMPLER CALIBRATION CALCULATION SPREADSHEET

Location : Gold King Industrial Building, Kwai Chung Date of Calibration: 16-Feb-24
 Location ID : Calibration Room - TISCH Higher Volume Sampler (Model TE-5170) S/N:1260 (HVS 018) Next Calibration Date: 16-May-24

CONDITIONS

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------|----------------------------|--------|
| Sea Level Pressure (hPa) | 1019 | Corrected Pressure (mm Hg) | 764.25 |
| Temperature (°C) | 20.4 | Temperature (K) | 293 |

CALIBRATION ORIFICE

| | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Make-> | TISCH | Qstd Slope -> | 2.13163 |
| Model-> | 5025A | Qstd Intercept -> | -0.03523 |
| Calibration Date-> | 15-Dec-23 | Expiry Date-> | 15-Dec-24 |

CALIBRATION

| Plate No. | H2O (L) (in) | H2O (R) (in) | H2O (in) | Qstd (m3/min) | I (chart) | IC corrected | LINEAR REGRESSION |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|-----------|--------------|--|
| 18 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 1.631 | 54 | 54.57 | Slope = 31.3860 Intercept = 2.3377 Corr. coeff. = 0.9976 |
| 13 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 9.4 | 1.470 | 47 | 47.50 | |
| 10 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 1.289 | 42 | 42.45 | |
| 8 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 4.8 | 1.055 | 35 | 35.37 | |
| 5 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.751 | 26 | 26.28 | |

Calculations :

$$Qstd = 1/m[\text{Sqrt}(H2O(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta))-b]$$


$$IC = I[\text{Sqrt}(Pa/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)]$$

Qstd = standard flow rate
 IC = corrected chart responses
 I = actual chart response
 m = calibrator Qstd slope
 b = calibrator Qstd intercept
 Ta = actual temperature during calibration (deg K)
 Pstd = actual pressure during calibration (mm Hg)

For subsequent calculation of sampler flow:

$$1/m((I)[\text{Sqrt}(298/Tav)(Pav/760)]-b)$$

m = sampler slope
 b = sampler intercept
 I = chart response
 Tav = daily average temperature
 Pav = daily average pressure



Certificate of Calibration

| Calibration Certification Information | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------|
| Cal. Date: December 15, 2023 | Rootsmeter S/N: 438320 | Ta: 295 | °K |
| Operator: Jim Tisch | | Pa: 748.5 | mm Hg |
| Calibration Model #: TE-5025A | Calibrator S/N: 1941 | | |

| Run | Vol. Init (m3) | Vol. Final (m3) | ΔVol. (m3) | ΔTime (min) | ΔP (mm Hg) | ΔH (in H2O) |
|-----|----------------|-----------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1.4590 | 3.2 | 2.00 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1.0360 | 6.4 | 4.00 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0.9260 | 8.0 | 5.00 |
| 4 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0.8840 | 8.9 | 5.50 |
| 5 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 0.7290 | 12.9 | 8.00 |

| Data Tabulation | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|-----------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Vstd (m3) | Qstd (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)}$ (y-axis) | Va | Qa (x-axis) | $\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)}$ (y-axis) |
| 0.9907 | 0.6790 | 1.4106 | 0.9957 | 0.6825 | 0.8878 |
| 0.9864 | 0.9522 | 1.9949 | 0.9914 | 0.9570 | 1.2556 |
| 0.9843 | 1.0630 | 2.2304 | 0.9893 | 1.0684 | 1.4037 |
| 0.9831 | 1.1121 | 2.3393 | 0.9881 | 1.1178 | 1.4723 |
| 0.9778 | 1.3413 | 2.8213 | 0.9828 | 1.3481 | 1.7756 |
| QSTD | m= | 2.13163 | QA | m= | 1.33479 |
| | b= | -0.03523 | | b= | -0.02217 |
| | r= | 0.99999 | | r= | 0.99999 |

| Calculations | |
|---|---|
| Vstd= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pstd)(Tstd/Ta)$ | Va= $\Delta Vol((Pa-\Delta P)/Pa)$ |
| Qstd= $Vstd/\Delta Time$ | Qa= $Va/\Delta Time$ |
| For subsequent flow rate calculations: | |
| Qstd= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H \left(\frac{Pa}{Pstd} \right) \left(\frac{Tstd}{Ta} \right)} \right) - b \right)$ | Qa= $1/m \left(\left(\sqrt{\Delta H (Ta/Pa)} \right) - b \right)$ |

| Standard Conditions | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tstd: | 298.15 °K |
| Pstd: | 760 mm Hg |
| Key | |
| ΔH: | calibrator manometer reading (in H2O) |
| ΔP: | rootsmeter manometer reading (mm Hg) |
| Ta: | actual absolute temperature (°K) |
| Pa: | actual barometric pressure (mm Hg) |
| b: | intercept |
| m: | slope |

| RECALIBRATION |
|--|
| US EPA recommends annual recalibration per 1998 40 Code of Federal Regulations Part 50 to 51, Appendix B to Part 50, Reference Method for the Determination of Suspended Particulate Matter in the Atmosphere, 9.2.17, page 30 |



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C242244
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC24-0561)

Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 3 April 2024

Description / 儀器名稱 : Integrating Sound Level Meter (EQ006)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Brüel & Kjær
Model No. / 型號 : 2238
Serial No. / 編號 : 2285762
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 2)°C

Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (50 ± 25)%

Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範


Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 20 April 2024

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed specified limits.
These limits refer to manufacturer's published tolerances as requested by the customer.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :
- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Hottinger Brüel & Kjær Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By : 
測試 : H T Wong
Assistant Engineer

Certified By : 
核證 : K C Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue : 22 April 2024
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C242244
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

| Equipment ID | Description | Certificate No. |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| CL280 | 40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator | C240212 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | CDK2302738 |

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

5.1 Sound Pressure Level

5.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 50 - 130 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | ± 0.7 |

5.1.2 Linearity

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | |
| 50 - 130 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 (Ref.) |
| | | | | 104.00 | | 104.0 |
| | | | | 114.00 | | 114.0 |

IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit : ± 0.4 dB per 10 dB step and ± 0.7 dB for overall different.

5.2 Time Weighting

5.2.1 Continuous Signal

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 50 - 130 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 1 | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | L _{ASP} | | S | | | 94.0 | ± 0.1 |
| | L _{AIP} | | I | | | 94.1 | ± 0.1 |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C242244
證書編號

5.2.2 Tone Burst Signal (2 kHz)

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Burst Duration | | |
| 30 - 110 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 106.0 | Continuous | 106.0 | Ref. |
| | L _{AFMax} | | | | 200 ms | 105.0 | -1.0 ± 1.0 |
| | L _{ASP} | S | Continuous | | 106.0 | Ref. | |
| | L _{ASMax} | | 500 ms | | 102.0 | -4.1 ± 1.0 | |

5.3 Frequency Weighting

5.3.1 A-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 50 - 130 | L _{AFP} | A | F | 94.00 | 31.5 Hz | 55.1 | -39.4 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 63 Hz | 68.0 | -26.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 77.9 | -16.1 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 85.3 | -8.6 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 90.8 | -3.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 95.3 | +1.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 95.0 | +1.0 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 92.9 | -1.1 (+1.5 ; -3.0) |
| | | | | | 12.5 kHz | 89.8 | -4.3 (+3.0 ; -6.0) |

5.3.2 C-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 60651 Type 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 50 - 130 | L _{CFP} | C | F | 94.00 | 31.5 Hz | 91.4 | -3.0 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 63 Hz | 93.3 | -0.8 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 93.9 | -0.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 94.0 | 0.0 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 94.0 | 0.0 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 94.0 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 93.8 | -0.2 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 93.2 | -0.8 ± 1.0 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 91.0 | -3.0 (+1.5 ; -3.0) |
| | | | | | 12.5 kHz | 87.8 | -6.2 (+3.0 ; -6.0) |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C242244
證書編號

5.4 Time Averaging

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | | | | UUT | IEC 60804 |
|-------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Range (dB) | Parameter | Frequency Weighting | Integrating Time | Frequency (kHz) | Burst Duration (ms) | Burst Duty Factor | Burst Level (dB) | Equivalent Level (dB) | Reading (dB) | Type 1 Limit (dB) |
| 30 - 110 | L _{Aeq} | A | 10 sec. | 4 | 1 | 1/10 | 110.0 | 100 | 100.0 | ± 0.5 |
| | | | | | | 1/10 ² | | 90 | 90.0 | ± 0.5 |
| | | | 60 sec. | | | 1/10 ³ | | 80 | 79.4 | ± 1.0 |
| | | | 5 min. | | | 1/10 ⁴ | | 70 | 69.3 | ± 1.0 |

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : 4188 & S/N : 2250447

- Mfr's Limit : IEC 60651 Type 1 & IEC 60804 Type 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value :

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| 94 dB : 31.5 Hz - 125 Hz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| 250 Hz - 500 Hz | : ± 0.30 dB |
| 1 kHz | : ± 0.20 dB |
| 2 kHz - 4 kHz | : ± 0.35 dB |
| 8 kHz | : ± 0.45 dB |
| 12.5 kHz | : ± 0.70 dB |
| 104 dB : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| 114 dB : 1 kHz | : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB) |
| Burst equivalent level | : ± 0.2 dB (Ref. 110 dB continuous sound level) |

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C242243
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC24-0561) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 28 March 2024
Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Level Meter (EQ068)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion
Model No. / 型號 : NL-31
Serial No. / 編號 : 00410247
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : (23 ± 2)°C Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : (50 ± 25)%
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範

Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 20 April 2024

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed specified limits.
These limits refer to manufacturer's published tolerances as requested by the customer.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :
- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Hottinger Brüel & Kjær Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By : 
測試 : H T Wong
Assistant Engineer

Certified By : 
核證 : K C Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue : 22 April 2024
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準，局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C242243
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours, and switched on to warm up for over 10 minutes before the commencement of the test.
- Self-calibration was performed before the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

| Equipment ID | Description | Certificate No. |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| CL280 | 40 MHz Arbitrary Waveform Generator | C240212 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | CDK2302738 |

- Test procedure : MA101N.

- Results :

6.1 Sound Pressure Level

6.1.1 Reference Sound Pressure Level

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Mode | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 120 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 93.8 | ± 1.1 |

6.1.2 Linearity

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|
| Range (dB) | Mode | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | |
| 30 - 120 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 93.8 (Ref.) |
| | | | | 104.00 | | 103.8 |
| | | | | 114.00 | | 113.8 |

IEC 61672 Class 1 Limit : ± 0.6 dB per 10 dB step and ± 1.1 dB for overall different.

6.2 Time Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Mode | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. (kHz) | | |
| 30 - 120 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 1 | 93.8 | Ref. |
| | | | Slow | | | 93.8 | ± 0.3 |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C242243
證書編號

6.3 Frequency Weighting

6.3.1 A-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|--------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Mode | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 30 - 120 | L _A | A | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 67.6 | -26.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 77.6 | -16.1 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 85.1 | -8.6 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 90.6 | -3.2 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 93.8 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 95.1 | +1.2 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 95.0 | +1.0 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 92.8 | -1.1 (+2.1 ; -3.1) |
| | | | | | 16 kHz | 87.5 | -6.6 (+3.5 ; -17.0) |

6.3.2 C-Weighting

| UUT Setting | | | | Applied Value | | UUT Reading (dB) | IEC 61672 Class 1 Limit (dB) |
|-------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|--------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Range (dB) | Mode | Frequency Weighting | Time Weighting | Level (dB) | Freq. | | |
| 30 - 120 | L _C | C | Fast | 94.00 | 63 Hz | 93.0 | -0.8 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 125 Hz | 93.6 | -0.2 ± 1.5 |
| | | | | | 250 Hz | 93.8 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 500 Hz | 93.9 | 0.0 ± 1.4 |
| | | | | | 1 kHz | 93.9 | Ref. |
| | | | | | 2 kHz | 93.8 | -0.2 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 4 kHz | 93.2 | -0.8 ± 1.6 |
| | | | | | 8 kHz | 90.9 | -3.0 (+2.1 ; -3.1) |
| | | | | | 16 kHz | 85.5 | -8.5 (+3.5 ; -17.0) |

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C242243
證書編號

Remarks : - UUT Microphone Model No. : UC-53A & S/N : 322738

- Mfr's Limit : IEC 61672 Class 1

- Uncertainties of Applied Value : 94 dB : 63 Hz - 125 Hz : ± 0.35 dB
250 Hz - 500 Hz : ± 0.30 dB
1 kHz : ± 0.20 dB
2 kHz - 4 kHz : ± 0.35 dB
8 kHz : ± 0.45 dB
16 kHz : ± 0.70 dB
104 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)
114 dB : 1 kHz : ± 0.10 dB (Ref. 94 dB)

- The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室所書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



輝創工程有限公司

Sun Creation Engineering Limited

Calibration & Testing Laboratory

Certificate of Calibration 校正證書

Certificate No. : C242239
證書編號

ITEM TESTED / 送檢項目 (Job No. / 序引編號 : IC24-0561) Date of Receipt / 收件日期 : 28 March 2024

Description / 儀器名稱 : Sound Calibrator (EQ089)
Manufacturer / 製造商 : Rion
Model No. / 型號 : NC-75
Serial No. / 編號 : 34680623
Supplied By / 委託者 : Action-United Environmental Services and Consulting
Unit A, 20/F., Gold King Industrial Building,
35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, N.T.

TEST CONDITIONS / 測試條件

Temperature / 溫度 : $(23 \pm 2)^{\circ}\text{C}$ Relative Humidity / 相對濕度 : $(50 \pm 25)\%$
Line Voltage / 電壓 : ---

TEST SPECIFICATIONS / 測試規範


Calibration check

DATE OF TEST / 測試日期 : 20 April 2024

TEST RESULTS / 測試結果

The results apply to the particular unit-under-test only.
The results do not exceed specified limits.
These limits refer to manufacturer's published tolerances as requested by the customer.
The results are detailed in the subsequent page(s).

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to National Standards via :
- The Government of The Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Standard & Calibration Laboratory
- Hottinger Brüel & Kjær Calibration Laboratory, Denmark
- Agilent Technologies / Keysight Technologies
- Fluke Everett Service Center, USA

Tested By : 
測試 : _____
H T Wong
Assistant Engineer

Certified By : 
核證 : _____
K C Lee
Engineer

Date of Issue : 22 April 2024
簽發日期

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited - Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 - 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606

Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986

E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com

Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



Certificate of Calibration

校正證書

Certificate No. : C242239
證書編號

- The unit-under-test (UUT) was allowed to stabilize in the laboratory for over 12 hours before the commencement of the test.
- The results presented are the mean of 3 measurements at each calibration point.
- Test equipment :

| <u>Equipment ID</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Certificate No.</u> |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| CL130 | Universal Counter | C233799 |
| CL281 | Multifunction Acoustic Calibrator | CDK2302738 |
| TST150A | Measuring Amplifier | C241879 |

- Test procedure : MA100N.

- Results :

5.1 Sound Level Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value | Measured Value (dB) | Mfr's Limit (dB) | Uncertainty of Measured Value (dB) |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|
| 94 dB, 1 kHz | 94.05 | ± 0.25 | ± 0.20 |

5.2 Frequency Accuracy

| UUT Nominal Value (kHz) | Measured Value (kHz) | Mfr's Limit | Uncertainty of Measured Value (Hz) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| 1 | 1.000 0 | 1 kHz ± 0.1 % | ± 0.1 |

Remark : The uncertainties are for a confidence probability of not less than 95 %.

Note :

Only the original copy or the laboratory's certified true copy is valid.

The values given in this Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environment changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Sun Creation Engineering Limited shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration is traceable to the National Standards as specified in this certificate. This certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the prior written approval of this laboratory.

本證書所載校正用之測試器材均可溯源至國際標準。局部複印本證書需先獲本實驗室書面批准。

Sun Creation Engineering Limited – Calibration & Testing Laboratory

c/o 4/F, 1 Hing On Lane, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong

輝創工程有限公司 – 校正及檢測實驗室

c/o 香港新界屯門興安里一號四樓

Tel/電話: (852) 2927 2606 Fax/傳真: (852) 2744 8986 E-mail/電郵: callab@suncreation.com Website/網址: www.suncreation.com



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 411106

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : Action-Untod Environmental Services & consulting

Address : Unit A, 20/F, Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong

Order No. : Q44140

Date of receipt : 25-Oct-24

Item Tested

Description : Sound Calibrator

Manufacturer : B&K

Model : Type 4231

I.D. : EQ082

Serial No. : 2713428

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 8-Nov-24

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

The UUT has an indication that it conforms to IEC 60942:2017 Class 1.

Ref. Document/Procedure : F21, Z02, IEC 60942:2017.

Test Results

All results were within the IEC 60942 Class 1 specification.


The results are shown in the attached page(s).

Main Test equipment used:

| Equipment No. | Description | Cert. No. | Traceable to |
|---------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| S240 | Sound Level Calibrator | 405380 | NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR |
| S014 | Spectrum Analyzer | 405219 | NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR |
| S041 | Universal Counter | 402289 | SCL-HKSAR |
| S206 | Sound Level Meter | 405379 | SCL-HKSAR |

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant. The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
Elva Chong

Approved by : 
Kin Wong

Date: 8-Nov-24



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 411106

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

1. Generated Sound Pressure Level

| UUT Nominal Value (dB) | Measured Value (dB) | IEC 60942 Class 1 Spec. |
|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 94.0 | 94.1 | ± 0.4 dB |
| 114.0 | 114.0 | |

Uncertainty : ± 0.2 dB

2. Short-term Level Fluctuation : 0.0 dB

IEC 60942 Class 1 Spec. : ± 0.1 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.05 dB

3. Frequency

| UUT Nominal Value (kHz) | Measured Value (kHz) | IEC 60942 Class 1 Spec. |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | 1.000 | ± 1 % |

Uncertainty : ± 3.6 x 10⁻⁶

4. Total Distortion + Noise : < 0.2 %

IEC 60942 Class 1 Spec. : < 3.0 %

Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of reading

Remark : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Atmospheric Pressure : 1 008 hPa.

----- END -----



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. **411107**

Page 1 of 2 Pages

Customer : Action-Unitod Environmental Services & consulting

Address : Unit A, 20/F, Gold King Industrial Building, 35-41 Tai Lin Pai Road, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong

Order No. : Q44140

Date of receipt : 25-Oct-24

Item Tested

Description : Sound Level Calibrator

Manufacturer : Rion

Model : NC-73

I.D. : EQ085

Serial No. : 10655561

Test Conditions

Date of Test : 8-Nov-24

Supply Voltage : --

Ambient Temperature : (23 ± 3)°C

Relative Humidity : (50 ± 25) %

Test Specifications

Calibration check.

Ref. Document/Procedure : F21, Z02, IEC 60942:2017.

Test Results


The results are shown in the attached page(s).


Main Test equipment used:

| <u>Equipment No.</u> | <u>Description</u> | <u>Cert. No.</u> | <u>Traceable to</u> |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| S014 | Spectrum Analyzer | 405219 | NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR |
| S240 | Sound Level Calibrator | 405380 | NIM-PRC & SCL-HKSAR |
| S041 | Universal Counter | 402289 | SCL-HKSAR |
| S206 | Sound Level Meter | 405379 | SCL-HKSAR |

The values given in this Calibration Certificate only relate to the values measured at the time of the test and any uncertainties quoted will not include allowance for the equipment long term drift, variations with environmental changes, vibration and shock during transportation, overloading, mis-handling, or the capability of any other laboratory to repeat the measurement. Hong Kong Calibration Ltd. shall not be liable for any loss or damage resulting from the use of the equipment.

The test equipment used for calibration are traceable to International System of Units (SI), or by reference to a natural constant. The test results apply to the above Unit-Under-Test only

Calibrated by : 
Elva Chong

Approved by : 
Kin Wong

Date: 8-Nov-24



Calibration Certificate

Certificate No. 411107

Page 2 of 2 Pages

Results :

1. Generated Sound Pressure Level

| UUT Nominal Value (dB) | Measured Value (dB) | Tolerance (Ref: IEC 60942 Class 2 Spec) |
|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 94.0 | 94.1 | ± 0.4 dB |

Uncertainty : ± 0.2 dB

2. Short-term Level Fluctuation : 0.0 dB

Tolerance (Ref: IEC 60942 Class 2 Spec) : ± 0.15 dB

Uncertainty : ± 0.05 dB

3. Frequency

| UUT Nominal Value (kHz) | Measured Value (kHz) | Tolerance (Ref: IEC 60942 Class 2 Spec) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 1 | *0.952 | ± 1.7 % |

Uncertainty : ± 3.6×10^{-6}

4. Total Distortion + Noise : < 0.1 %

Tolerance (Ref: IEC 60942 Class 2 Spec) : < 3.0 %

Uncertainty : ± 2.3 % of reading

Remark : 1. UUT : Unit-Under-Test

2. The uncertainty claimed is for a confidence probability of not less than 95%.

3. Atmospheric Pressure : 1 008 hPa.

4. *Out of Tolerance.

----- END -----



Hong Kong Accreditation Service
香港認可處

Certificate of Accreditation
認可證書

This is to certify that
特此證明

ALS TECHNICHEM (HK) PTY LIMITED

11/F, Chung Shun Knitting Centre, 1-3 Wing Yip Street, Kwai Chung, New Territories, Hong Kong
香港新界葵涌永業街1-3號忠信針織中心11樓

*is accredited by the Hong Kong Accreditation Service (HKAS) to ISO/IEC 17025:2017
for performing specific laboratory activities as listed in the scope of accreditation within the test category of*
獲香港認可處根據ISO/IEC 17025:2017認可
進行載於認可範圍內下述測試類別中的指定實驗所活動

Environmental Testing
環境測試

*This accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025:2017 demonstrates technical competence for a defined scope and
the implementation of a management system relevant to laboratory operation*
(see joint IAF-ILAC-ISO Communiqué).
此項 ISO/IEC 17025:2017 的認可資格證明此實驗所具備指定範疇內所須的技術能力並
實施一套與實驗所運作相關的管理體系
(見國際認可論壇、國際實驗所認可合作組織及國際標準化組織的聯合公報)。

The common seal of HKAS is affixed hereto by the authority of the HKAS Executive
現經香港認可處執行機關授權在此蓋上香港認可處的印章

SHUM Wai-leung, Executive Administrator
執行幹事 沈偉良
Issue Date : 28 February 2020
簽發日期：二零二零年二月二十八日

Registration Number : **HOKLAS 066**
註冊號碼：



Date of First Registration : 15 September 1995
首次註冊日期：一九九五年九月十五日

Appendix F

Event and Action Plan

Event / Action Plan for construction dust

| Event | Action | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action Level exceedance for one sample | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC, ER and Contractor; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; and 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor’s working method; and 3. Review and advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify Contractor. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Rectify any unacceptable practice and implement remedial measures; and 3. Amend working methods agreed with ER if appropriate. |
| Action Level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform IEC, ER and Contractor; 3. Advise the ER and Contractor on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; 4. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 5. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 6. Discuss with IEC, ER and Contractor on remedial actions required; 7. If exceedance continues, arrange meeting with IEC and ER; and 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor’s working method; 3. Discuss with ET and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ET and ER on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; and 5. Supervise Implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; and 3. Supervise and ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; and 4. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit Level exceedance for one sample | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Inform ER, Contractor, IEC and EPD; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm finding; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; and 5. Assess effectiveness of Contractor’s remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor’s working method; 3. Discuss with ET, ER and Contractor on possible remedial measures; 4. Advise the ER and ET on the effectiveness of the proposed remedial measures; and 5. Supervise implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; and 3. Supervise and ensure remedial measures properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within 3 working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; and 5. Amend proposal if appropriate. |
| Limit Level exceedance for two or more consecutive samples | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER, Contractor and EPD; 2. Identify source; 3. Repeat measurement to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency to daily; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor’s working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Arrange meeting with IEC, Contractor and ER to discuss the remedial actions to be taken; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor’s remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; and 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Check monitoring data submitted by ET; 2. Check Contractor’s working method; 3. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 4. Review Contractor’s remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; and 5. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. In consultation with the ET and IEC, agree with the Contractor on the remedial measures to be implemented; 4. Supervise and ensure remedial measures properly implemented; and 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source, investigate the causes of exceedance and propose remedial measures; 2. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 3. Submit proposals for remedial actions to ER with a copy to ET and IEC within 3 working days of notification; 4. Implement the agreed proposals; 5. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and 6. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

Event and Action Plan for Construction Noise

| Event | Action | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| | ET | IEC | ER | Contractor |
| Action Level Exceedance | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Notify IEC, ER and Contractor; 2. Carry out investigation; 3. Report the results of investigation to the IEC, ER and Contractor; 4. Discuss with the Contractor and formulate remedial measures; and 5. Increase monitoring frequency to check mitigation effectiveness. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Review the analysed results submitted by the ET; 2. Review the proposed remedial measures by the Contractor and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; and 4. Ensure remedial measures are properly implemented. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Submit noise mitigation proposals to IEC and ER; and 2. Implement noise mitigation proposals. |
| Limit Level Exceedance | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify source; 2. Inform IEC, ER, EPD and Contractor; 3. Repeat measurements to confirm findings; 4. Increase monitoring frequency; 5. Carry out analysis of Contractor’s working procedures to determine possible mitigation to be implemented; 6. Inform IEC, ER and EPD the causes and actions taken for the exceedances; 7. Assess effectiveness of Contractor’s remedial actions and keep IEC, EPD and ER informed of the results; and 8. If exceedance stops, cease additional monitoring. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss amongst ER, ET, and Contractor on the potential remedial actions; 2. Review Contractors remedial actions whenever necessary to assure their effectiveness and advise the ER accordingly; and 3. Supervise the implementation of remedial measures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirm receipt of notification of failure in writing; 2. Notify Contractor; 3. Require Contractor to propose remedial measures for the analysed noise problem; 4. Ensure remedial measures properly implemented; and 5. If exceedance continues, consider what portion of the work is responsible and instruct the Contractor to stop that portion of work until the exceedance is abated. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Take immediate action to avoid further exceedance; 2. Submit proposals for remedial actions to IEC within 3 working days of notification; 3. Implement the agreed proposals; 4. Resubmit proposals if problem still not under control; and 5. Stop the relevant portion of works as determined by the ER until the exceedance is abated. |

Appendix G

Impact Monitoring Schedule

Impact Monitoring Schedule for the Reporting Period

| Date | | NOISE MONITORING (0700 – 1900) | AIR QUALITY MONITORING | |
|------|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | | | 1-HOUR TSP | 24-HOUR TSP |
| Sun | 1-Dec-24 | | | |
| Mon | 2-Dec-24 | | | ✓ |
| Tue | 3-Dec-24 | | | |
| Wed | 4-Dec-24 | | | |
| Thu | 5-Dec-24 | | | |
| Fri | 6-Dec-24 | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Sat | 7-Dec-24 | | | ✓ |
| Sun | 8-Dec-24 | | | |
| Mon | 9-Dec-24 | | | |
| Tue | 10-Dec-24 | | | |
| Wed | 11-Dec-24 | | | |
| Thu | 12-Dec-24 | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Fri | 13-Dec-24 | | | ✓ |
| Sat | 14-Dec-24 | | | |
| Sun | 15-Dec-24 | | | |
| Mon | 16-Dec-24 | | | |
| Tue | 17-Dec-24 | | | |
| Wed | 18-Dec-24 | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Thu | 19-Dec-24 | | | ✓ |
| Fri | 20-Dec-24 | | | |
| Sat | 21-Dec-24 | | | |
| Sun | 22-Dec-24 | | | |
| Mon | 23-Dec-24 | | | |
| Tue | 24-Dec-24 | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Wed | 25-Dec-24 | | | |
| Thu | 26-Dec-24 | | | |
| Fri | 27-Dec-24 | | | |
| Sat | 28-Dec-24 | | ✓ | |
| Sun | 29-Dec-24 | | | |
| Mon | 30-Dec-24 | | | ✓ |
| Tue | 31-Dec-24 | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| ✓ | Monitoring Day |
| | Sunday or Public Holiday |

Impact Monitoring Schedule for next Reporting Period

| Date | | NOISE MONITORING (0700 – 1900) | AIR QUALITY MONITORING | |
|------|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | | | 1-HOUR TSP | 24-HOUR TSP |
| Wed | 1-Jan-25 | | | |
| Thu | 2-Jan-25 | | | |
| Fri | 3-Jan-25 | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Sat | 4-Jan-25 | | | ✓ |
| Sun | 5-Jan-25 | | | |
| Mon | 6-Jan-25 | | | |
| Tue | 7-Jan-25 | | | |
| Wed | 8-Jan-25 | | | |
| Thu | 9-Jan-25 | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Fri | 10-Jan-25 | | | ✓ |
| Sat | 11-Jan-25 | | | |
| Sun | 12-Jan-25 | | | |
| Mon | 13-Jan-25 | | | |
| Tue | 14-Jan-25 | | | |
| Wed | 15-Jan-25 | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Thu | 16-Jan-25 | | | ✓ |
| Fri | 17-Jan-25 | | | |
| Sat | 18-Jan-25 | | | |
| Sun | 19-Jan-25 | | | |
| Mon | 20-Jan-25 | | | |
| Tue | 21-Jan-25 | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Wed | 22-Jan-25 | | | ✓ |
| Thu | 23-Jan-25 | | | |
| Fri | 24-Jan-25 | | | |
| Sat | 25-Jan-25 | | | |
| Sun | 26-Jan-25 | | | |
| Mon | 27-Jan-25 | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Tue | 28-Jan-25 | | | ✓ |
| Wed | 29-Jan-25 | | | |
| Thu | 30-Jan-25 | | | |
| Fri | 31-Jan-25 | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| ✓ | Monitoring Day |
| | Sunday or Public Holiday |

Appendix H

Database of Monitoring Result

24-HOUR TSP MONITORING RESULT DATABASE

| 24-hour TSP Monitoring Data for AMS1a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|---------------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min) | AIR VOLUME (std m ³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m ³) |
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | |
| 2-Dec-24 | 20766 | 28319.96 | 28343.96 | 1440 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 21 | 1015.1 | 1.49 | 2144 | 2.757 | 2.8104 | 0.0534 | 25 |
| 7-Dec-24 | 20994 | 28343.96 | 28367.96 | 1440 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 23.3 | 1018.2 | 1.49 | 2141 | 2.8048 | 2.8444 | 0.0396 | 18 |
| 13-Dec-24 | 21017 | 28367.96 | 28391.96 | 1440 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 18.5 | 1020.2 | 1.49 | 2152 | 2.8138 | 2.8832 | 0.0694 | 32 |
| 19-Dec-24 | 20764 | 28391.96 | 28415.96 | 1440 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 18.1 | 1022.7 | 1.50 | 2155 | 2.7534 | 2.8232 | 0.0698 | 32 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 21022 | 28415.96 | 28439.96 | 1440 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 19.1 | 1021.2 | 1.49 | 2151 | 2.8077 | 2.8654 | 0.0577 | 27 |
| 30-Dec-24 | 21087 | 28439.96 | 28463.96 | 1440 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 17.7 | 1021.2 | 1.50 | 2154 | 2.8155 | 2.8943 | 0.0788 | 37 |
| 24-hour TSP Monitoring Data for AMS-5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min) | AIR VOLUME (std m ³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m ³) |
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | |
| 2-Dec-24 | 20946 | 16389.03 | 16413.03 | 1440.00 | 39 | 39 | 39.0 | 21 | 1015.1 | 1.44 | 2067 | 2.7889 | 2.8991 | 0.1102 | 53 |
| 7-Dec-24 | 20762 | 16413.03 | 16437.03 | 1440.00 | 39 | 39 | 39.0 | 23.3 | 1018.2 | 1.43 | 2064 | 2.7584 | 2.8762 | 0.1178 | 57 |
| 13-Dec-24 | 21020 | 16437.03 | 16461.03 | 1440.00 | 39 | 39 | 39.0 | 18.1 | 1020.2 | 1.44 | 2075 | 2.8016 | 2.9174 | 0.1158 | 56 |
| 19-Dec-24 | 21023 | 16461.03 | 16485.03 | 1440.00 | 39 | 39 | 39.0 | 18.1 | 1022.7 | 1.44 | 2077 | 2.7994 | 2.9577 | 0.1583 | 76 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 21023 | 16485.03 | 16509.03 | 1440.00 | 39 | 39 | 39.0 | 19.1 | 1021.2 | 1.44 | 2074 | 2.8101 | 2.9666 | 0.1565 | 75 |
| 30-Dec-25 | 21084 | 16509.03 | 16533.03 | 1440.00 | 39 | 39 | 39.0 | 17.7 | 1021.2 | 1.44 | 2076 | 2.8057 | 3.0045 | 0.1988 | 96 |
| 24-hour TSP Monitoring Data for AMS-6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m ³ /min) | AIR VOLUME (std m ³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m ³) |
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | |
| 2-Dec-24 | 20945 | 21456.10 | 21480.10 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 21 | 1015.1 | 1.51 | 2168 | 2.7925 | 2.9160 | 0.1235 | 57 |
| 7-Dec-24 | 20763 | 21480.10 | 21504.10 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 23.3 | 1018.2 | 1.50 | 2165 | 2.7540 | 2.8780 | 0.1240 | 57 |
| 13-Dec-24 | 21021 | 21504.10 | 21528.10 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 18.5 | 1020.2 | 1.51 | 2176 | 2.8095 | 2.8522 | 0.0427 | 20 |
| 19-Dec-24 | 20997 | 21528.10 | 21552.10 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 18.1 | 1022.7 | 1.51 | 2178 | 2.8133 | 2.8754 | 0.0621 | 29 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 21088 | 21552.10 | 21576.10 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 19.1 | 1021.2 | 1.51 | 2175 | 2.8129 | 2.9168 | 0.1039 | 48 |
| 30-Dec-24 | 21019 | 21576.10 | 21600.10 | 1440.00 | 42 | 42 | 42.0 | 17.7 | 1021.2 | 1.51 | 2178 | 2.8038 | 2.8507 | 0.0469 | 22 |

| 24-hour TSP Monitoring Data for AMS-7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|----------|---------|---------------|-----|------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| DATE | SAMPLE NUMBER | ELAPSED TIME | | | CHART READING | | | AVG TEMP (°C) | AVG AIR PRESS (hPa) | STANDARD FLOW RATE (m³/min) | AIR VOLUME (std m³) | FILTER WEIGHT (g) | | DUST WEIGHT COLLECTED (g) | 24-hr TSP (µg/m³) |
| | | INITIAL | FINAL | (min) | MIN | MAX | AVG | | | | | INITIAL | FINAL | | |
| 2-Dec-25 | 20767 | 16259.32 | 16283.32 | 1440.00 | 41 | 41 | 41.0 | 21 | 1015.1 | 1.47 | 2117 | 2.7656 | 2.7975 | 0.0319 | 15 |
| 7-Dec-24 | 20995 | 16283.32 | 16307.32 | 1440.00 | 41 | 41 | 41.0 | 23.3 | 1018.2 | 1.47 | 2114 | 2.8137 | 2.9032 | 0.0895 | 42 |
| 13-Dec-24 | 21018 | 16307.32 | 16331.32 | 1440.00 | 41 | 41 | 41.0 | 18.5 | 1020.2 | 1.48 | 2126 | 2.8009 | 2.8224 | 0.0215 | 10 |
| 19-Dec-24 | 20765 | 16331.32 | 16355.32 | 1440.00 | 41 | 41 | 41.0 | 18.1 | 1022.7 | 1.48 | 2129 | 2.7582 | 2.7961 | 0.0379 | 18 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 20996 | 16355.32 | 16379.32 | 1440.00 | 41 | 41 | 41.0 | 19.1 | 1021.2 | 1.48 | 2125 | 2.8166 | 2.8506 | 0.0340 | 16 |
| 30-Dec-24 | 21086 | 16379.32 | 16403.32 | 1440.00 | 41 | 41 | 41.0 | 17.7 | 1021.2 | 1.48 | 2128 | 2.8058 | 2.8604 | 0.0546 | 26 |

NOISE MONITORING RESULT DATABASE FOR CONTRACT 1

| Noise Measurement Results (dB) of NMS1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq (5min) | | | 2nd Leq (5min) | | | 3rd Leq (5min) | | | 4th Leq (5min) | | | 5th Leq (5min) | | | 6th Leq (5min) | | | Leq30 min, dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| | | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | | |
| 6-Dec-24 | 13:10 | 69.7 | 70.5 | 62.2 | 71.1 | 74.4 | 63.5 | 68.0 | 71.5 | 59.3 | 70.4 | 72.8 | 60.8 | 71.6 | 74.4 | 61.0 | 69.8 | 73.6 | 62.4 | 70 | 70 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 11:30 | 70.8 | 74.4 | 62.8 | 69.3 | 75.2 | 61.4 | 70.8 | 76.9 | 60.3 | 69.4 | 73.7 | 62.4 | 69.3 | 75.2 | 63.3 | 68.6 | 74.5 | 60.4 | 70 | 70 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 9:17 | 70.0 | 65.6 | 59.9 | 65.8 | 71.8 | 60.7 | 70.6 | 74.5 | 65.4 | 70.4 | 76.9 | 62.3 | 69.1 | 75.0 | 62.6 | 72.0 | 77.2 | 66.4 | 70 | 70 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 13:00 | 69.6 | 74.6 | 55.9 | 68.0 | 69.8 | 54.7 | 69.4 | 72.5 | 63.4 | 69.4 | 74.9 | 58.3 | 69.1 | 74.0 | 57.6 | 70.0 | 75.2 | 63.4 | 69 | 70 |

| Noise Measurement Results (dB) of NMS2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq (5min) | | | 2nd Leq (5min) | | | 3rd Leq (5min) | | | 4th Leq (5min) | | | 5th Leq (5min) | | | 6th Leq (5min) | | | Leq30 min, dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| | | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | | |
| 6-Dec-24 | 10:40 | 56.7 | 59.5 | 54.1 | 59.7 | 62.5 | 53.2 | 57.9 | 60.4 | 53.0 | 55.3 | 57.2 | 53.7 | 54.6 | 56.9 | 52.4 | 53.8 | 56.3 | 51.8 | 57 | 70 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 9:00 | 57.8 | 59.4 | 55.7 | 57.1 | 58.5 | 55.1 | 56.3 | 57.8 | 54.3 | 59.6 | 62.1 | 55.4 | 59.3 | 61.1 | 56.7 | 59.5 | 61.8 | 56.0 | 58 | 70 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 13:05 | 67.7 | 69.7 | 66.4 | 67.1 | 69.3 | 64.8 | 67.0 | 68.3 | 65.2 | 66.7 | 68.4 | 64.7 | 67.2 | 68.4 | 64.4 | 68.6 | 69.1 | 65.4 | 67 | 70 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 15:40 | 67.8 | 70.1 | 66.4 | 68.0 | 69.9 | 65.8 | 68.0 | 68.3 | 65.2 | 67.7 | 68.5 | 65.7 | 67.2 | 68.4 | 64.4 | 68.6 | 69.2 | 65.4 | 68 | 70 |

| Noise Measurement Results (dB) of NMS3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq (5min) | | | 2nd Leq (5min) | | | 3rd Leq (5min) | | | 4th Leq (5min) | | | 5th Leq (5min) | | | 6th Leq (5min) | | | Leq30min, dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| | | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | | |
| 6-Dec-24 | 10:15 | 57.9 | 60.2 | 53.9 | 58.6 | 61.3 | 54.3 | 58.9 | 61.6 | 53.7 | 55.6 | 58.1 | 52.6 | 57.2 | 59.9 | 53.6 | 58.1 | 62.3 | 52.6 | 58 | 75 |
| 11-Dec-24 | 10:35 | 57.9 | 60.2 | 53.9 | 59.1 | 62.3 | 55.3 | 60.9 | 64.6 | 63.7 | 60.1 | 62.3 | 62.6 | 63.6 | 61.2 | 62.7 | 62.6 | 60.3 | 63.7 | 61 | 75 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 13:00 | 60.7 | 63.2 | 57.6 | 60.8 | 63.5 | 56.8 | 63.4 | 67.3 | 57.1 | 61.3 | 65.2 | 57.3 | 61.9 | 64.7 | 57.5 | 60.5 | 64.1 | 55.4 | 62 | 75 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 9:50 | 62.4 | 64.5 | 58.7 | 60.7 | 62.5 | 58.4 | 63.8 | 65.5 | 57.8 | 62.5 | 63.8 | 60.4 | 63.9 | 67.6 | 60.0 | 64.8 | 68.2 | 60.9 | 63 | 75 |

| Noise Measurement Results (dB) of NMS4a | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq (5min) | | | 2nd Leq (5min) | | | 3rd Leq (5min) | | | 4th Leq (5min) | | | 5th Leq (5min) | | | 6th Leq (5min) | | | Leq30min, dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| | | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | | |
| 6-Dec-24 | 9:10 | 62.3 | 64.1 | 60.3 | 61.7 | 63.4 | 59.7 | 62.4 | 63.8 | 60.2 | 62.9 | 64.7 | 61.3 | 63.5 | 65.9 | 61.7 | 63.5 | 64.8 | 61.3 | 63 | 75 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 10:15 | 58.3 | 59.6 | 55.5 | 56.9 | 56.3 | 55.0 | 57.0 | 58.7 | 54.6 | 57.5 | 59.1 | 54.6 | 58.3 | 59.6 | 55.6 | 56.6 | 57.9 | 54.5 | 57 | 75 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 10:35 | 61.9 | 64.5 | 57.7 | 65.7 | 68.9 | 58.0 | 62.4 | 63.1 | 55.8 | 59.0 | 61.2 | 55.8 | 60.8 | 62.7 | 56.3 | 66.6 | 69.3 | 56.9 | 64 | 75 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 14:15 | 59.4 | 60.9 | 56.3 | 58.4 | 60.3 | 56.4 | 59.5 | 60.9 | 56.6 | 59.2 | 61.4 | 55.9 | 58.3 | 60.1 | 56.1 | 57.3 | 58.9 | 55.2 | 59 | 75 |

| Noise Measurement Results (dB) of NMS5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq (5min) | | | 2nd Leq (5min) | | | 3rd Leq (5min) | | | 4th Leq (5min) | | | 5th Leq (5min) | | | 6th Leq (5min) | | | Leq30min, dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| | | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | | |
| 6-Dec-24 | 10:00 | 63.9 | 65.7 | 61.2 | 64.8 | 66.6 | 62.5 | 63.6 | 64.2 | 61.7 | 62.9 | 64.4 | 61.4 | 62.6 | 64.1 | 61.2 | 63.6 | 65.9 | 62.4 | 64 | 75 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 9:40 | 60.7 | 62.9 | 58.4 | 61.7 | 64.2 | 58.3 | 61.3 | 63.0 | 58.1 | 62.7 | 67.1 | 57.9 | 61.5 | 63.4 | 58.4 | 65.4 | 69.2 | 59.0 | 63 | 75 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 11:15 | 57.7 | 59.7 | 54.6 | 57.1 | 59.3 | 54.8 | 57.0 | 58.3 | 55.2 | 56.7 | 58.4 | 54.7 | 54.4 | 58.6 | 59.1 | 58.6 | 59.1 | 55.4 | 57 | 75 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 15:00 | 63.1 | 65.1 | 60.8 | 62.5 | 64.4 | 60.3 | 61.3 | 62.8 | 59.1 | 61.7 | 63.5 | 59.6 | 63.0 | 65.2 | 60.0 | 62.9 | 65.5 | 60.1 | 62 | 75 |

| Noise Measurement Results (dB) of NMS6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq (5min) | | | 2nd Leq (5min) | | | 3rd Leq (5min) | | | 4th Leq (5min) | | | 5th Leq (5min) | | | 6th Leq (5min) | | | Leq30min, dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| | | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | | |
| 6-Dec-24 | 9:35 | 59.4 | 60.8 | 54.1 | 60.9 | 62.8 | 54.1 | 56.3 | 57.6 | 53.4 | 56.7 | 57.9 | 53.7 | 56.6 | 58.3 | 53.0 | 56.1 | 57.4 | 51.9 | 58 | 75 |
| 11-Dec-24 | 9:55 | 66.0 | 68.2 | 63.0 | 65.3 | 66.9 | 63.4 | 67.3 | 68.4 | 64.0 | 67.4 | 69.2 | 54.2 | 68.2 | 60.6 | 63.4 | 66.9 | 68.7 | 62.6 | 67 | 75 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 10:30 | 59.7 | 62.3 | 57.7 | 58.9 | 61.5 | 58.0 | 60.7 | 62.9 | 57.8 | 60.1 | 61.5 | 58.1 | 60.6 | 62.8 | 57.3 | 61.2 | 63.6 | 58.7 | 60 | 75 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 9:10 | 64.2 | 66.4 | 60.5 | 62.5 | 64.7 | 59.7 | 66.2 | 67.6 | 61.0 | 67.9 | 71.5 | 60.7 | 66.2 | 67.1 | 59.1 | 62.3 | 64.2 | 58.5 | 65 | 75 |

| Noise Measurement Results (dB) of NMS7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq (5min) | | | 2nd Leq (5min) | | | 3rd Leq (5min) | | | 4th Leq (5min) | | | 5th Leq (5min) | | | 6th Leq (5min) | | | Leq30min, dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| | | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | | |
| 6-Dec-24 | 8:50 | 64.7 | 69.1 | 56.1 | 58.8 | 60.9 | 54.3 | 55.7 | 58.1 | 50.8 | 56.1 | 58.0 | 52.2 | 56.5 | 59.7 | 50.6 | 56.2 | 59.6 | 52.2 | 60 | 75 |
| 11-Dec-24 | 9:15 | 63.8 | 65.6 | 61.7 | 64.9 | 67.1 | 62.0 | 64.6 | 66.6 | 61.3 | 64.5 | 66.6 | 61.8 | 65.8 | 66.1 | 60.9 | 65.4 | 67.8 | 61.1 | 65 | 75 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 9:45 | 64.3 | 66.7 | 61.4 | 63.2 | 65.9 | 60.5 | 63.6 | 65.3 | 60.8 | 62.7 | 64.5 | 60.5 | 64.5 | 67.6 | 59.9 | 63.4 | 66.1 | 60.3 | 64 | 75 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 8:30 | 62.7 | 65.1 | 55.1 | 57.5 | 59.5 | 53.6 | 58.2 | 61.2 | 53.9 | 60.3 | 59.2 | 52.0 | 59.5 | 60.9 | 52.9 | 56.6 | 59.2 | 53.4 | 60 | 75 |

| Noise Measurement Results (dB) of NMS8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq (5min) | | | 2nd Leq (5min) | | | 3rd Leq (5min) | | | 4th Leq (5min) | | | 5th Leq (5min) | | | 6th Leq (5min) | | | Leq30min, dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| | | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | | |
| 6-Dec-24 | 11:15 | 60.4 | 63.5 | 56.4 | 60.2 | 64.2 | 55.0 | 61.5 | 64.1 | 57.0 | 60.7 | 62.6 | 57.2 | 59.8 | 62.0 | 57.0 | 60.2 | 63.1 | 56.3 | 61 | 75 |
| 11-Dec-24 | 11:15 | 60.4 | 64.5 | 57.4 | 60.2 | 64.2 | 55.0 | 62.0 | 64.7 | 57.5 | 61.0 | 62.9 | 57.5 | 62.0 | 63.0 | 59.0 | 61.2 | 64.1 | 57.3 | 61 | 75 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 14:15 | 59.2 | 63.1 | 53.4 | 60.5 | 64.7 | 53.1 | 62.8 | 65.2 | 56.9 | 63.9 | 64.8 | 53.1 | 61.1 | 63.7 | 53.0 | 61.7 | 65.4 | 54.3 | 62 | 75 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 10:50 | 70.0 | 73.9 | 60.3 | 66.6 | 71.1 | 57.8 | 67.0 | 70.7 | 54.4 | 66.5 | 71.1 | 55.3 | 64.9 | 69.0 | 53.9 | 67.4 | 71.5 | 51.9 | 67 | 75 |



NOISE MONITORING RESULT DATABASE FOR CONTRACT 3



| Noise Measurement Results (dB) of CN3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Date | Start Time | 1st Leq (5min) | | | 2nd Leq (5min) | | | 3rd Leq (5min) | | | 4th Leq (5min) | | | 5th Leq (5min) | | | 6th Leq (5min) | | | Leq30min, dB(A) | Limit Level dB(A) |
| | | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | Leq, dB(A) | L10, dB(A) | L90, dB(A) | | |
| 6-Dec-24 | 11:30 | 63.9 | 66.3 | 55.2 | 62.4 | 64.9 | 55.1 | 60.8 | 63.6 | 54.9 | 63.8 | 66.5 | 55.4 | 59.6 | 61.2 | 56.3 | 61.2 | 64.7 | 56.7 | 62 | 75 |
| 12-Dec-24 | 10:50 | 61.6 | 64.4 | 56.2 | 62.5 | 66.0 | 56.9 | 61.5 | 64.7 | 57.0 | 62.8 | 64.9 | 60.2 | 64.5 | 66.9 | 60.8 | 62.4 | 65.1 | 59.1 | 63 | 75 |
| 18-Dec-24 | 9:55 | 60.3 | 63.1 | 55.3 | 61.7 | 64.5 | 56.2 | 63.0 | 64.5 | 56.1 | 61.2 | 63.5 | 58.8 | 61.7 | 64.7 | 56.6 | 62.9 | 66.5 | 57.8 | 62 | 75 |
| 24-Dec-24 | 13:40 | 62.2 | 65.9 | 52.1 | 61.7 | 65.3 | 54.3 | 62.2 | 65.3 | 57.2 | 62.1 | 65.0 | 57.3 | 63.0 | 66.3 | 58.4 | 62.9 | 65.2 | 59.0 | 62 | 75 |



Appendix I


Graphical Plots for Monitoring Result

Air Quality – 1-hour TSP

















Air Quality – 24-hour TSP










Noise











Appendix J

Meteorological Data

| Date | | Weather | Total Rainfall (mm) | Kwun Tong Station | Kai Tak Station | | King's Park Station |
|-----------|-----|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | Mean Air Temp. (°C) | Wind Speed (km/h) | Wind Direction | Mean Relative Humidity (%) |
| 1-Dec-24 | Sun | Light to moderate northeasterly winds | 0 | 19.6 | 10.7 | SE | 65 |
| 2-Dec-24 | Mon | Dry and warm | 0 | 21.1 | 9.5 | SE | 61.2 |
| 3-Dec-24 | Tue | Mainly cloudy | 0 | 22.4 | 10.5 | E/SE | 77.5 |
| 4-Dec-24 | Wed | Mainly fine. Moderate east to northeasterly winds. | 0 | 22.1 | 18.2 | E/SE | 69.5 |
| 5-Dec-24 | Thu | Moderate east to northeasterly winds | 0 | 21.9 | 16.2 | SE | 73.2 |
| 6-Dec-24 | Fri | Mainly fine. | 0 | 22.1 | 8.7 | E | 71.5 |
| 7-Dec-24 | Sat | Warm with sunny periods during the day. | 0 | 20.8 | 9.2 | N/NW | 66 |
| 8-Dec-24 | Sun | Moderate east to northeasterly winds | 0 | 17.6 | 12 | NE | 61.2 |
| 9-Dec-24 | Mon | Warm with sunny periods during the day. | 0 | 17.8 | 8.2 | E/SE | 65.5 |
| 10-Dec-24 | Tue | Mainly fine. | 0 | 20 | 13.7 | E/SE | 69.2 |
| 11-Dec-24 | Wed | Mainly cloudy and dry | 0 | 23.3 | 8.7 | S/SE | 67.7 |
| 12-Dec-24 | Thu | Sunny intervals during the day | 0 | 19.1 | 11.2 | SE | 61.5 |
| 13-Dec-24 | Fri | Mainly cloudy and dry | 0 | 17.8 | 10.5 | N/NW | 54.5 |
| 14-Dec-24 | Sat | Mainly cloudy and dry | 0 | 15.1 | 10.1 | N/NW | 45 |
| 15-Dec-24 | Sun | Sunny intervals during the day | Trace | 14.8 | 10 | N/NW | 30 |
| 16-Dec-24 | Mon | Very dry during the day | 0 | 16.5 | 7.5 | NW | 35 |
| 17-Dec-24 | Tue | Very dry during the day | 0 | 18.4 | 8 | S/SE | 60 |
| 18-Dec-24 | Wed | Moderate north to northeasterly winds. | 0 | 18.4 | 8.2 | NE | 46 |
| 19-Dec-24 | Thu | Very dry during the day | 0 | 15.1 | 10.7 | N | 30.5 |
| 20-Dec-24 | Fri | Fine. Cold in the morning. | 0 | 14.8 | 10 | SE | 36.5 |
| 21-Dec-24 | Sat | Dry with sunny intervals during the day | 0 | 17.2 | 10.2 | N | 37.2 |
| 22-Dec-24 | Sun | Very dry during the day | 0 | 15.5 | 9 | E/SE | 47 |
| 23-Dec-24 | Mon | Moderate to fresh northeasterly winds | 0 | 15 | 10 | NE | 53.5 |
| 24-Dec-24 | Tue | Mainly cloudy. | 0 | 16.6 | 12.2 | NE | 49 |
| 25-Dec-24 | Wed | Fine and dry. | Trace | 18.7 | 8.2 | E/NE | 71.7 |
| 26-Dec-24 | Thu | Moderate to fresh northeasterly winds | 0 | 19.8 | 8 | NE | 71.7 |
| 27-Dec-24 | Fri | Mainly cloudy. | 0 | 18.3 | 11.7 | E/SE | 77 |
| 28-Dec-24 | Sat | Very dry during the day | 0 | 16.6 | 10.5 | E/SE | 68.2 |
| 29-Dec-24 | Sun | Light to moderate east to northeasterly winds. | 0 | 14.5 | 15 | E/SE | 64 |
| 30-Dec-24 | Mon | Fine and dry. | 0 | 17.8 | 6.2 | S/SE | 64 |
| 31-Dec-24 | Tue | Very dry during the day | Trace | 20.9 | 7 | N/NW | 48 |

Appendix K

Waste Flow Table

Contract No.: NE/2017/03

Development of Anderson Road Quarry Site – Road Improvement Works and Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities Works Phase 2A

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2024 (year)

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | |
|--------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock and Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract (see Note 6) | Reused in other Projects (see Note 6) | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 3) | Chemical Waste (see Note 5) | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000kg) | (in '000m ³) |
| Jan | 2.305 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.401 | 1.904 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.030 |
| Feb | 1.356 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.241 | 1.115 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.090 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.024 |
| Mar | 2.656 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.331 | 2.325 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.050 |
| Apr | 2.498 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.425 | 2.073 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.039 |
| May | 1.912 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.912 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.059 |
| June | 1.803 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.090 | 1.712 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.055 |
| Sub-total | 12,530 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.488 | 11.042 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.090 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.258 |
| Jul | 3.297 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.267 | 2.029 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.057 |
| Aug | 1.228 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.029 | 1.199 | 0.000 | 0.0013 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.000 | 0.046 |
| Sep | 0.420 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.420 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.098 |
| Oct | 0.859 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.859 | 0.000 | 0.0039 | 0.031 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.122 |
| Nov | 1.178 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.178 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.082 |
| Dec | 0.479 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.479 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.071 |
| Total | 19,991 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2.784 | 17.207 | 0.000 | 0.006 | 0.130 | 0.009 | 0.000 | 0.733 |

Notes:

- (1) The performance targets are given in PS Clause 1.129 (4).
- (2) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
- (3) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material and waste will be collected by recycler for recycling.
- (4) Use the conversion factor, density of general refuse (1 t/m³) and inert C&D materials (2 t/m³).
- (5) Use the conversion factor for chemical waste (0.88kg/L).
- (6) Assume a dump truck delivers 7.5 m³ material in 1 trip.

Contract No.: ED/2020/02**Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2024**

| Month | Actual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | Actual Quantities of C&D Wastes Generated Monthly | | | | |
|--------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity of Materials Generated | Hard Rock, Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics | Chemical Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 m ³)** | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 m ³)* |
| Jan | 0.765 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.765 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.007 |
| Feb | 0.281 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.281 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.048 |
| Mar | 0.251 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.251 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.041 |
| Apr | 0.539 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.539 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.074 |
| May | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.077 |
| June | 0.676 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.676 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.053 |
| July | 5.044 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 5.044 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.073 |
| Aug | 7.093 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7.093 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.071 |
| Sep | 4.219 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 4.219 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.056 |
| Oct | 8.511 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 8.511 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.065 |
| Nov | 7.643 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7.643 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.089 |
| Dec | 7.176 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 7.176 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.152 |
| Total | 42.197 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 42.198 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.807 |

Notes: * Conversion factor for general refuse, 1 tonne = 2m³** Conversion factor for general fill, 2 tonne = 1m³

Estimation for next month

Name of Department : CEDD

Contract No. : ED/2019/02

Monthly Summary Waste Flow Table for 2024 (year)

| Month | Annual Quantities of Inert C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | | | Annual Quantities of C&D Materials Generated Monthly | | | | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| | Total Quantity Generated | Hard Rock & Large Broken Concrete | Reused in the Contract | Reused in other Projects | Disposed as Public Fill | Imported Fill | Metals | Paper/ cardboard packaging | Plastics (see Note 2) | Chemicals Waste | Others, e.g. general refuse |
| | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 m ³) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 kg) | (in '000 m ³) |
| Jan | 0.076 | 0.074 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.074 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.069 |
| Feb | 0.026 | 0.024 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.084 |
| Mar | 0.028 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0 | 0.026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.073 |
| Apr | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.064 |
| May | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.003 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.066 |
| Jun | 0.082 | 0.081 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.081 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.073 |
| Sub-total | 0.223 | 0.214 | 0.009 | 0 | 0.214 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.429 |
| July | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.048 |
| Aug | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.001 | 0 | 0.024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.057 |
| Sep | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.154 |
| Oct | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 0.005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.080 |
| Nov | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.148 |
| Dec | 0.019 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.123 |
| Total | 0.291 | 0.281 | 0.010 | 0 | 0.281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.039 |

- Notes: (1) The waste flow table shall also include C&D materials that are specified in the Contract to be imported for use at the Site.
(2) Plastics refer to plastic bottles/containers, plastic sheets/foam from packaging material.

Appendix L

Implementation Schedule for
Environmental Mitigation Measures

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| Dust Impact (Contraction Phase) | | | | | | | | | |
| S4.7.2 to S4.7.5 | Mitigation measures in form of regular watering under a good site practice should be adopted. Watering once per hour on exposed worksites and haul road is proposed to achieve dust removal efficiency of 91.7%. While the above watering frequencies are to be followed, the extent of watering may vary depending on actual site conditions but should be sufficient to maintain an equivalent intensity of no less than 1.75 L/m ² to achieve the respective dust removal efficiencies. | Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | All construction sites | V | V | V | V | V |
| S4.7.6 | The Contractor shall follow the procedures and requirements given in the Air Pollution Control (Construction ion Dust) Regulation. | Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | All construction sites | V | V | V | V | V |
| S4.7.6 | Following dust suppression measures should also be incorporated by the Contractor to control the dust nuisance throughout the construction phase: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any excavated or stockpile of dusty material should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or sprayed with water to maintain the entire surface wet and then removed or backfilled or reinstated where practicable within 24 hours of the excavation or unloading; Any dusty materials remaining after a stockpile is removed should be wet ted with water and cleared from the surface of roads; A stockpile of dusty material should not be extend beyond the pedestrian barriers, fencing or traffic cones; The load of dusty materials on a vehicle leaving a construction ion site should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting to ensure that the dusty materials do not leak from the vehicle; Where practicable, vehicle washing facilities with high pressure water jet should be provided at every discernible or designated vehicle exit point. The area where vehicle washing takes place and the road sect ion between the washing facilities and the exit point should be paved with concrete, bituminous materials or hardcores; When there are open excavation and reinstatement | Minimize dust impact at the nearby sensitive receivers | Contractor | All construction sites | @ | @ | @ | @ | @ |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| | <p>works, hoarding of not less than 2.4m high should be provided as far as practicable along the site boundary with provision for public crossing. Good site practice shall also be adopted by the Contractor to ensure the conditions of the hoardings are properly maintained throughout the construction ion period.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The port ion of any road leading only to construction ion site that is within 30m of a vehicle entrance or exit should be kept clear of dusty materials; • Surfaces where any pneumatic or power-driven drilling, cutting, polishing or other mechanical breaking operation takes place should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical continuously; • Any area that involves demolition activities should be sprayed with water or a dust suppression chemical immediately prior to, during and immediately after the activities so as to maintain the entire surface wet ; • Where a scaffolding is erected around the perimeter of a building under construction, effective dust screens, sheeting or netting should be provided to enclose the scaffolding from the ground floor level of the building, or a canopy should be provided from the first floor level up to the highest level of the scaffolding; • Any skip hoist for material transport should be totally enclosed by impervious sheeting; • Every stock of more than 20 bags of cement or dry pulverised fuel ash (PFA) should be covered entirely by impervious sheeting or placed in an area sheltered on the top and the 3 sides; • Cement or dry PFA delivered in bulk should be stored in a closed silo fit ted with an audible high level alarm which is interlocked with the material filling line and no overfilling is allowed; and • Exposed earth should be properly treated by compact ion, turfing, hydroseeding, vegetation planting or sealing with latex, vinyl, bitumen, | | | | | | | | |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 | |
| | shortcrete or other suitable surface stabiliser within six months after the last construction activity on the construction site or part of the construction site where the exposed earth lies. | | | | | | | | | |
| S4.7.7 | Implement regular dust monitoring under EM&A programme during the Construction phase. | Control construction airborne noise | Selected Representative dust monitoring station | All construction sites where practicable | V | N/A | V | N/A | N/A | |
| Noise Impact (Contraction Phase) | | | | | | | | | | |
| S5.6.9 | Implement the following good site management practices: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> only well-maintained plant should be operated on-site and plant should be serviced regularly during the construction ion programme; machines and plant (such as trucks, cranes) that may be in intermittent use should be shut down between work periods or should be throttled down to a minimum; plant known to emit noise strongly in one direct ion, where possible, be orientated so that the noise is directed away from nearby NSRs; silencers or mufflers on construction ion equipment should be properly fit ted and maintained during the construction ion works; mobile plant should be sited as far away from NSRs as possible and practicable; and material stockpiles, mobile container site office and other structures should be effectively utilised, where practicable, to screen noise from on-site construction activities. | Control construction ion airborne noise | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | @ | V | V | @ | @ | |
| S5.6.11 to S5.6.13 | Use of “Quiet” Plant and Working Methods. | Reduce the noise levels of plant items | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | V | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| S5.6.14 | Install temporary site hoarding (approx 2.5m high) located on the site boundaries between noisy construction activities and NSRs. The conditions of the hoardings shall be properly maintained throughout the construction period. | Reduce the construction ion noise levels at low-level zone of NSRs through partial screening. | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | V | V | V | V | V | |
| S5.6.15 to S5.6.18 | Install movable noise barriers, full enclosure and acoustic mat, screen the noisy plants including air compressor and generator. | Screen the noisy plant items to be used at all construction sites | Contractor | All construction ion sites where practicable | V | V | N/A | V | N/A | |
| S5.6.19 | Sequencing operation of construction plants equipment. | Operate sequentially | Contractor | All construction | V | V | N/A | N/A | N/A | |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|-----------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| | | within the same work site to reduce the construction airborne noise | | ion sites where practicable | | | | | |
| S5.6.34 | Implement temporary noise barrier along Road L4. | Further reduce the construction ion airborne noise | Contractor | Road L4 of ARQ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| S5.6.35 | Implement a noise monitoring under EM&A programme. | Monitor the construction noise levels at the selected representative locations | Contractor | Selected Representative Noise monitoring stations | V | N/A | V | N/A | N/A |
| B | | Water Quality Impact (Contraction Phase) | | | | | | | |
| S6.6.3 | <p><u>Construction Runoff</u> In accordance with the Practice Note for Professional Persons on Construction ion Site Drainage, Environmental Protection Department , 1994 (ProPECC PN 1/94), best management practices should be implemented as far as practicable as below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> At the start of site establishment , perimeter cut -off drains to direct off-site water around the site should be constructed with internal drainage works. Channels (both temporary and permanent drainage pipes and culverts), earth bunds or sand bag barriers should be provided on site to direct stormwater to silt removal facilities. Diversion of natural stormwater should be provided as far as possible. The design of temporary on-site drainage should prevent runoff going through site surface, construction machinery and equipment in order to avoid or minimize polluted runoff. Sediment at ion tanks with sufficient capacity, constructed from preformed individual cells of approximately 6 to 8 m³ capacities, are recommended as a general mitigation measure which can be used for set t ling surface runoff prior to disposal. The system capacity shall be flexible and able to handle multiple inputs from a variety of sources and suited to applications where the influent is pumped. | Control construction runoff | Contractor | All construction sites | @ | @ | @ | @ | V |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The dikes or embankments for flood protection should be implemented around the boundaries of earthwork areas. Temporary ditches should be provided to facilitate the runoff discharge into an appropriate watercourse, through a silt /sediment trap. The silt /sediment traps should be incorporated in the permanent drainage channels to enhance deposition rates. The design of efficient silt removal facilities should be based on the guidelines in Appendix A1 of ProPECC PN 1/94. The detailed design of the sand/silt traps should be undertaken by the contractor prior to the commencement of construction. Construction works should be programmed to minimize surface excavation works during the rainy seasons (April to September). All exposed earth areas should be completed and vegetated as soon as possible after earthworks have been completed. If excavation of soil cannot be avoided during the rainy season, or at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, exposed slope surfaces should be covered by tarpaulin or other means. All drainage facilities and erosion and sediment control structures should be regularly inspected and maintained to ensure proper and efficient operation at all times and particularly following rainstorms. Deposited silt and grit should be removed regularly and disposed of by spreading evenly over stable, vegetated areas. Measures should be taken to minimise the ingress of site drainage into excavations. If the excavation of trenches in wet periods is necessary, it should be dug and backfilled in short sections wherever practicable. Water pumped out from trenches or foundation excavations should be discharged into storm drains via silt removal facilities. All open stockpiles of construction materials (for example, aggregates, sand and fill material) should be covered with tarpaulin or similar fabric during rainstorms. Measures should be taken to | | | | | | | | |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|-----------|---|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| | <p>prevent the washing away of construction ion materials, soil, silt or debris into any drainage system.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manholes (including newly constructed ones) should always be adequately covered and temporarily sealed so as to prevent silt, construction ion materials or debris being washed into the drainage system and storm runoff being directed into foul sewers. • Precautions to be taken at any time of year when rainstorms are likely, act ions to be taken when a rainstorm is imminent or forecasted, and act ions to be taken during or after rainstorms are summarized in Appendix A2 of <i>ProPECC PN 1/94</i>. Particular attention should be paid to the control of silty surface runoff during storm events. • All vehicles and plant should be cleaned before leaving a construction ion site to ensure no earth, mud, debris and the like is deposited by them on roads. An adequately designed and sited wheel washing facilities should be provided at every construction ion site exit where practicable. Wash-water should have sand and silt settled out and removed at least on a weekly basis to ensure the continued efficiency of the process. The sect ion of access road leading to, and exiting from, the wheel-wash bay to the public road should be paved with sufficient back all toward the wheel-wash bay to prevent vehicle tracking of soil and silty water to public roads and rains. • Oil interceptors should be provided in the drainage system downstream of any oil/fuel pollution sources. The oil interceptors should be emptied and cleaned regularly to prevent the release of oil and grease into the storm water drainage system after accidental spillage. A bypass should be provided for the oil interceptors to prevent flushing during heavy rain. • Construction ion solid waste, debris and rubbish on site should be collected, handled and disposed of properly to avoid water quality impacts. | | | | | | | | |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All fuel tanks and storage areas should be provided with locks and sited on sealed areas, within bunds of a capacity equal to 110% of the storage capacity of the largest tank to prevent spilled fuel oils from reaching water sensitive receivers nearby. Regular environmental audit on the construction site should be carried out in order to prevent any malpractices. Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the rivers. | | | | | | | | |
| S6.6.6 and 6.6.7 | <p><u>Sewage from Workforce</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Portable chemical toilets should be provided for handling the construction sewage generated by the workforce. Assume that the capacity of the chemical toilets would be 0.4m³ and suck up twice a day under normal practices, around 45 chemical toilets would be required for the whole site at peak hour. And it should be noted that under normal construction periods, less chemical toilets would be needed. In addition, the total number of the chemical toilets would be subject to later detailed design, the capacity of the chemical toilets, and contractor’s site practices. Nevertheless, a licensed contractor should be employed to provide appropriate and adequate portable toilets to cater around 37.5 m³/day sewage and be responsible for appropriate disposal and maintenance. Since portable chemical toilets will be provided, no adverse water quality impact from the workforce sewage is anticipated. Notices should be posted at conspicuous locations to remind the workers not to discharge any sewage or wastewater into the nearby environment during the construction phase of the Project. Regular environmental audit on the construction site should be conducted in order to provide an effective control of any malpractices and achieve continual improvement of environmental performance on site. It is anticipated that sewage generation during the construction phase of the Project would not cause | Handling of site sewage | Contractor | All construction sites | V | V | V | V | V |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| | water quality impact after undertaking all required measure | | | | | | | | |
| S6.6.8 and 6.6.9 | <p><u>Accidental Spillage</u> To prevent accidental spillage of chemicals, proper storage and handling facilities should be provided. All the tanks, containers and storage area should be bunded and the locations should be locked as far as possible from the sensitive watercourse and storm drains. The Contractor is required to register as a chemical waste producer if chemical wastes would be generated from the construction ion activities. Storage of chemical waste arising from the construction ion activities should be well managed with suitable labels and warnings while disposal of those chemical wastes should be comply with the requirement states in Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap 354) as well as Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulations.</p> | Prevention of accidental spillage | Contractor | All construction sites | @ | V | V | V | V |
| S6.6.11- S6.6.14 | <p><u>Groundwater from Contaminated Area</u> The Contractor should apply for a discharge licence under the WPCO through the Regional Office of EPD for groundwater discharge. Prior to the excavation works within these potentially contaminated areas, the groundwater quality should be reviewed during the process of discharge license application. The compliancy to the TM-DSS and the existence of prohibited substance should be confirmed after further SI. If the review results indicated that the groundwater to be generated from the excavation works would be contaminated, the contaminated groundwater should be either properly treated in compliance with TMDSS or properly recharged into the ground.</p> <p>If wastewater treatment is deployed, the wastewater treatment unit shall deploy suitable treatment process (e.g. oil interceptor / activated carbon) to reduce the pollution level to an acceptable standard and remove any prohibited substances (e.g. Petroleum Carbon Ranges (PCRs)). All treated effluent from wastewater treatment plant shall meet the requirements as stated in TM-DSS and should be</p> | Minimize contaminated groundwater impacts | Contractor | All construction sites | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 | |
| | <p>discharged into the foul sewers.</p> <p>If groundwater recharging wells are deployed, recharging wells should be installed as appropriate for recharging the contaminated groundwater back into the ground. The recharging wells should be selected at places where the groundwater quality will not be affected by the recharge operation as indicated in the Section 2.3 of TM-DSS. The baseline groundwater quality shall be determined prior to the selection of the recharge wells, and submit a working plan (including the laboratory analytical results showing the quality of groundwater at the proposed recharge location(s) as well as the pollutant levels of groundwater to be recharged) to EPD for agreement. Pollution levels of groundwater to be recharged shall not be higher than pollutant levels of ambient groundwater at the recharge well. Prior to recharge, any prohibited substances such as PCRs should be removed as necessary by installing the petrol interceptor.</p> | | | | | | | | | |
| Waste Management (Contraction Phase) | | | | | | | | | | |
| S8.5.2 | <p><u>Good Site Practice</u></p> <p>The following good site practices are recommended throughout the construction activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • nomination of an approved personnel, such as a site manager, to be responsible for the implementation of good site practices, arrangements for collection and effective disposal to an appropriate facility, of all wastes generated at the site; • training of site personnel in site cleanliness, appropriate waste management procedures and concepts of waste reduction, reuse and recycling; • provision of sufficient waste disposal points and regular collection for disposal; • appropriate measures to minimize windblown litter and dust during transportation of waste by either covering trucks or by transporting wastes in enclosed containers; • regular cleaning and maintenance programme for drainage systems, sumps and oil interceptors; | Minimize waste generation during construction | Contractor | All construction sites | V | @ | V | @ | V | |
| S8.5.2 (6) | The contractor should submit a Waste Management Plan | Minimize waste | Contractor | All construction | V | V | V | V | V | |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| | (WMP) as part of the Environmental Management Plan (EMP) in accordance with the <i>ETWB TC(W) No. 19/2005</i> for construction ion phase. The EMP should be submit ted to the Engineer for approval. Mitigation measures proposed in the EIA Report and the EM&A Manual should be adopted. | generation during construction | | sites | | | | | |
| S8.5.3 | <p><u>Waste Reduction Measures</u> Waste reduction is best achieved at the planning and design phase, as well as by ensuring the implementation of good site practices. The following recommendations are proposed to achieve reduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • segregate and store different types of waste in different containers, skip or stockpiles to enhance reuse or recycling o materials and their proper disposal; • proper storage and site practices to minimize the potential for damage and contamination of construction ion materials; • plan and stock construction ion materials carefully to minimize amount of waste generated and avoid unnecessary generation of waste; • sort out demolition debris and excavated materials from demolition works to recover reusable/recyclable port ions (i.e. soil, broken concrete, metal etc.); • provide training to workers on the importance of appropriate waste management procedures, including waste reduction, reuse and recycling. | Reduce waste generation | Contractor | All construction sites where practicable | V | V | V | V | V |
| S8.5.5 | <p><u>Storage of Waste</u> The following recommendation should be implemented to minimize the impacts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • waste such as soil should be handled and stored well to ensure secure containment ; • stockpiling area should be provided with covers and water spraying system to prevent materials from wind-blown or being washed away; • different locations should be designated to stockpile each material to enhance reuse; | Minimize waste impacts from storage | Contractor Contractor | All construction sites | V | V | V | V | V |
| S8.5.6 | <p><u>Collection and Transportation of Waste</u> The following recommendation should be implemented to minimize the impacts:</p> | Minimize waste impacts from storage | Contractor | All construction sites | V | @ | V | @ | @ |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|-----------|---|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> remove waste in timely manner; employ the trucks with cover or enclosed containers for waste transportation; obtain relevant waste disposal permits from the appropriate authorities; and disposal of waste should be done at licensed waste disposal facilities. | | | | | | | | |
| S8.5.8 | <p><u>Excavated and C&D Material</u></p> <p>Wherever practicable, C&D materials should be segregated from other wastes to avoid contamination and ensure acceptability at public filling areas or reclamation sites. The following mitigation measures should be implemented in handling the excavated and C&D materials:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> maintain temporary stockpiles and reuse excavated fill material for backfilling; carry out on-site sorting; make provisions in the Contract documents to allow and promote the use of recycled aggregates where appropriate; implement a recording system for the amount of waste generated, recycled and disposed of for checking; <p>The recommended C&D materials handling should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> On-site sorting of C&D materials Reuse of C&D materials Use of Standard Formwork and Planning of Construction Materials purchasing Provision of wheel wash facilities | Minimize waste impacts from excavated and C&D materials | Contractor | All construction sites | V | V | V | V | V |
| S8.5.15 | <p><u>Contaminated Soil</u></p> <p>As a precaution, it is recommended that standard good site practice should be implemented during the construction phase to minimize any potential exposure to contaminated soils or groundwater. The details of mitigation measures to minimize the potential environmental implications arising from the handling of contaminated materials refer to Land Contamination Section.</p> | Remediate contaminated soil | Contractor | All construction sites where applicable | V | V | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| S8.5.17 | <p><u>Chemical Waste</u></p> | Control the chemical | Contractor | All construction | V | V | V | V | V |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If chemical wastes are produced at the construction site, the Contractors should register with EPD as chemical waste producer. Chemical wastes should be stored in appropriate containers and collected by a licensed chemical waste Contractor. Chemical wastes (e.g. spent lubricant oil) should be recycled at an appropriate facility as far as possible, while the chemical waste that cannot be recycled should be disposed of at either the Chemical Waste Treatment Centre, or another licensed facility, in accordance with the Waste Disposal (Chemical Waste) (General) Regulation. | waste and ensure proper storage, handling and disposal. | | sites | | | | | |
| S8.5.18 | <u>General Waste</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> General refuse should be stored in enclosed bins separately from construction and chemical wastes. Recycling bins should also be placed to encourage recycling. Preferably enclosed and covered areas should be provided for general refuse collection and routine cleaning for these areas should also be implemented to keep areas clean. A reputable waste collector should be employed to remove general refuse on a daily basis. | Minimize production of the general refuse and avoid odour, pest and litter impacts | Contractor | All construction sites | @ | V | V | V | @ |
| S8.5.19 | <u>Sewage</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The WMP should document the locations and number of portable chemical toilets depending on the number of workers, land availability, site condition and activities. Regularly collection by licensed collectors should be arranged to minimize potential environmental impacts. | Minimize production of sewage impacts | Contractor | All construction sites | V | V | V | V | V |
| Ecology (Contraction Phase) | | | | | | | | | |
| S. 10.7.2 to 10.7.6 | Re-provision of Wooded Area for ecological function at the future Quarry Park. | Compensate for the loss of three woodland patches of a total area of about 1.13ha. | Contractor/ Detailed Design Consultant (qualified botanist / horticulturist / Certified Arborist to supervise the planting). | Northern part of the proposed Quarry Park. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| .10.7.10 | <p>Construction phase in situ mitigation measures to minimize impacts on hydrological condition and water quality of hillside watercourses include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Temporary sewerage and drainage will be designed and installed to collect wastewater and prevent it from entering nearby watercourses; • Proper locations well away from nearby watercourses will be used for temporary storage of materials (i.e. equipment , fill materials, chemicals and fuel) and temporary stockpile of construction debris and spoil, and these will be identified before commencement of works; • To prevent muddy water entering nearby watercourses, work sites close to nearby watercourses will be isolated, using such items as sandbags or silt curtains with lead edge at bot tom and properly supported props. Other protective measures will also be taken to ensure that no pollution or siltation occurs to the water gathering grounds of the works site; • Stockpiling of construction materials, if necessary, will be properly covered and located away from nearby watercourses; • Erection of temporary geotextile silt fences will be carried out around earth-moving works to trap any sediments and prevent them from entering watercourses; • Construction debris and spoil will be covered and/or properly disposed as soon as possible to avoid being washed into nearby watercourses; • Exposed soil will be covered as quickly as possible following format ion works, followed, where appropriate, by covering with biodegradable geotextile blanket for erosion control purposes; • Where appropriate, earth-bunding will be carried out of areas where soils have been disturbed or where vegetation has been cleared, to ensure that surface runoff will not move soils off-site; • Construction ion effluent, site run-off and sewage will be probably collected and/or treated. Wastewater from any construction ion site will be | Minimize impacts on Hydrological condition and water quality of hillside watercourses. | Contractor | All construction sites | V | N/A | V | V | N/A |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 | |
| | minimised via the following in descending order: reuse, recycling and treatment ; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proper locations for discharge out lets of wastewater treatment facilities well away from sensitive receivers will be identified and used; • Silt traps will be installed at points where drainage from the site enters local watercourses; • Appropriate sanitary facilities for on-site workers will be provided; • The site boundary will be clearly marked and any works beyond the boundary strictly prohibited, and • Regular water monitoring and site audit will be carried out at suitable points. If the monitoring and audit results show that pollution occurs, adequate measures including temporary cessation of works will be considered. | | | | | | | | | |
| S.10.7.11 | Implement an emergency contingency plan during the construction phase and the plan will include, but not be limited to, the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential emergency situations; • Chemicals or hazardous materials used on-site (and their location); • Emergency response team; • Emergency response procedures; • List of emergency telephone hot lines; • Locations and types of emergency response equipment , and • Training plan and testing for effectiveness. | Minimize impacts on Hydrological condition and water quality of hillside watercourses. | Contractor | All construction sites | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Landscape and visual (Contraction Phase) | | | | | | | | | | |
| S11.14.23, Table 11.9, CM1 [4] | All existing trees to be retained shall be carefully protected during construction. | Avoid disturbance and protection of the existing trees | Detailed Design Consultant / | The whole project area where applicable | V | V | @ | V | @ | |
| S11.14.23, Table 11.9, CM2 [3] | Tree Transplantation - Should removal of trees be unavoidable due to construction impacts, trees will be transplanted or felled. Detailed transplanting proposal will be submit ted to relevant government departments for approval in accordance with LAO GN No. 7/2007, ETWB TCW No. 29/2004 and 10/2013 . Final locations of transplanted trees shall be agreed prior to commencement of the work. | Minimize landscape impact and retention of landscape resources | Detailed Design Consultant / | Onsite where possible. Otherwise consider offsite locations | * | N/A | N/A | V | V | |

| EM&A Ref. | Recommended Mitigation Measures | Objectives of the Recommended Measures & Main Concern to Address | Who to implement the measures? | Location of the measure | Implementation Status | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Contract 1 | Contract 2 | Contract 3 | Contract 4 | Contract 5 |
| S11.14.23, Table 11.9, CM3 [4] | Control of operation night -time glare with well-planned lighting operation system to minimize potential glare impact to adjacent VSRs | Minimize glare impact to adjacent VSRs | Contractor/ CEDD | The whole project area where applicable | V | V | @ | V | N/A |
| S11.14.23, Table 11.9, CM [4] | Erection of decorative screen hoarding. | Minimize visual impact | Contractor/ CEDD | The whole project area where applicable | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| S11.14.23, Table 11.9, CM5 [2] | Minimise disturbance and limitation of run-off – temporary structures and construction works should be planned with care to minimize disturbance to adjacent landscape, vegetation, natural stream habitats. | Minimize visual impact | Contractor/ CEDD | The whole project area where applicable | V | V | V | V | N/A |

Legend: V = implemented; x = not implemented; @ = partially implemented; * = pending to be implemented; N/A = not applicable

Appendix M

Complaint Log

Appendix M1 Cumulative Complaint and Summons/ prosecution

| Reporting Month | Number of Complaints in Reporting Month | Number of Summons/ Prosecution in Reporting Month |
|------------------------|--|--|
| March 2017 | 1 | 0 |
| April 2017 | 0 | 0 |
| May 2017 | 0 | 0 |
| June 2017 | 2 | 0 |
| July 2017 | 3 | 0 |
| August 2017 | 3 | 0 |
| September 2017 | 4 | 0 |
| October 2017 | 2 | 0 |
| November 2017 | 3 | 0 |
| December 2017 | 3 | 0 |
| January 2018 | 1 | 0 |
| February 2018 | 4 | 0 |
| March 2018 | 0 | 0 |
| April 2018 | 2 | 0 |
| May 2018 | 1 | 0 |
| June 2018 | 1 | 0 |
| July 2018 | 0 | 0 |
| August 2018 | 1 | 0 |
| September 2018 | 1 | 0 |
| October 2018 | 1 | 0 |
| November 2018 | 3 | 0 |
| December 2018 | 2 | 0 |
| January 2019 | 2 | 0 |
| February 2019 | 3 | 0 |
| March 2019 | 1 | 0 |
| April 2019 | 0 | 0 |
| May 2019 | 0 | 0 |
| June 2019 | 1 | 0 |
| July 2019 | 1 | 0 |
| August 2019 | 1 | 0 |
| September 2019 | 0 | 0 |
| October 2019 | 1 | 0 |
| November 2019 | 4 | 0 |
| December 2019 | 0 | 0 |
| January 2020 | 0 | 0 |
| February 2020 | 0 | 0 |
| March 2020 | 4 | 0 |
| April 2020 | 1 | 0 |
| May 2020 | 1 | 0 |
| June 2020 | 1 | 0 |
| July 2020 | 0 | 0 |
| August 2020 | 0 | 0 |
| September 2020 | 0 | 0 |
| October 2020 | 0 | 0 |
| November 2020 | 1 | 0 |
| December 2020 | 2 | 0 |
| January 2021 | 1 | 0 |
| February 2021 | 0 | 0 |
| March 2021 | 2 | 0 |

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|
| April 2021 | 1 | 0 |
| May 2021 | 0 | 0 |
| June 2021 | 1 | 0 |
| July 2021 | 1 | 0 |
| August 2021 | 0 | 0 |
| September 2021 | 2 | 0 |
| October 2021 | 0 | 0 |
| November 2021 | 0 | 0 |
| December 2021 | 0 | 0 |
| January 2022 | 0 | 0 |
| February 2022 | 0 | 0 |
| March 2022 | 1 | 0 |
| April 2022 | 1 | 0 |
| May 2022 | 3 | 0 |
| June 2022 | 2 | 0 |
| July 2022 | 0 | 0 |
| August 2022 | 2 | 0 |
| September 2022 | 1 | 0 |
| October 2022 | 1 | 0 |
| November 2022 | 0 | 0 |
| December 2022 | 0 | 0 |
| January 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| February 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| March 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| April 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| May 2023 | 1 | 0 |
| June 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| July 2023 | 1 | 0 |
| August 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| September 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| October 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| November 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| December 2023 | 0 | 0 |
| January 2024 | 1 | 0 |
| February 2024 | 0 | 0 |
| March 2024 | 0 | 0 |
| April 2024 | 1 | 0 |
| May 2024 | 2 | 0 |
| June 2024 | 0 | 0 |
| July 2024 | 0 | 0 |
| August 2024 | 0 | 0 |
| September 2024 | 1 | 0 |
| October 2024 | 0 | 0 |
| November 2024 | 0 | 0 |
| December 2024 | 1 | 0 |
| Overall Total | 89 | 0 |

Appendix M2 Complaint Log

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 23-Mar-17 | 8-Jun-17 | On Tat Estate | Resident of On Tat Estate | Construction noise | SPRO hotline | NA | A resident living in On Tat House reported that some night works with noise and flashing caused nuisance to nearby resident after 11:00 pm on 23 March 2017. | According the incident report conducted by the CWSTVJV, demobilization of crawler crane was undertaken on 23 March 2017 11pm and it is TD requirement to carry out demobilization of heavy machine at nighttime. It is considered this complaint was a single incident and would not be happened again in future. | no comment by IEC on 11 Oct 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0087 |
| 2 | 28-Jul-17 | 28-Jul-17 | 38/F of Yin Tat House (賢達樓), On Tat Estate | Resident of On Tat Estate | Construction noise | SPRO hotline | NA | Mr. Hsu received a complaint from a resident living in the flat on 38/F of Yin Tat House (賢達樓), On Tat Estate. The resident complained about the noise level of our works during daytime. | Noise monitoring by Contractor was conducted in Yin Tat House, On Tat Estate, at around 2 pm on 28-Jul-2017. Another noise monitoring was carried out by ET (AUES) and representatives of AECOM and JV in the presence of the complainant in her flat at 10 am on 1-Aug-2017 and was witnessed by Mr. Hsu. No exceedance of noise was recorded. The complainant was satisfied about the monitoring results. | no comment by IEC on 9 Aug 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0060 |
| 3 | 29-Aug-17 | 29-Aug-17 | Shing Tat House 24/F | Resident of On Tat Estate | Construction noise | SPRO hotline | NA | Mr. Hsu Yau Wai (Tel no.9519 5663) reported that he received complaint from a resident (Ms Cheng) living at Shing Tat House 24/F Room 22 about the noise generated from our site this week. The noise heard was mainly rock breaking noise from our site. | Noise monitoring was carried out by ET (AUES) and representatives of AECOM and JV in the presence of the complainant in her flat at 3pm on 30-Aug-2017. No exceedance of noise was recorded. The complainant was satisfied about the monitoring results. | no comment by IEC on 8 Sep 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0081 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 4 | 21-Jun-17 | 29-Aug-17 | Tat Yan House, Po Tat Estate | Resident of Po Tat Estate | Construction noise | EPD | EPD (ref.N08/RE/00019373-17) | day time construction noise of breakers (8am to 6pm) | Since these two complaints were forwarded by CEDD to ET on 31 August 2017 which way after the complaint dates. Investigation would be conducted based on the site information by the Contractor of Contract 1 - NE/2016/01 (CWSTVJV) as well as the observation during weekly site inspection carried out ET during June 2017. In our investigation, CWSTVJV has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident and the working hour 08:00 to 18:00 did not breach any legal requirement. To eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident CWSTVJV was advised to further enhance the noise mitigation measures as appropriately. | no comment by IEC on 3 Nov 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0093 |
| 5 | 22-Jun-17 | 29-Aug-17 | Tat Yan House, Po Tat Estate | Resident of Po Tat Estate | Dust & Construction noise | EPD | EPD (ref. N08/RE/00019428-17) | Day time construction noise of breakers (8AM to 6PM). Requested to delay the operating hour of breakers to 10AM or 11AM | | | TCS00864/16/300/F0093 |
| 6 | 15-Jul-17 | 29-Aug-17 | Tat Yi House, Po Tat Estate | Resident of Po Tat Estate | Construction noise | EPD | EPD (ref.N08/RE/00022479-17) | Construction noise | CWSTVJV has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident and the working hour 08:00 to 18:00 did not breach any legal requirement. To eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident, CWSTVJV was advised to further enhance the noise mitigation measures as appropriately. | no comment by IEC on 3 Nov 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0094 |
| 7 | 28-Jul-17 | 29-Aug-17 | Anderson Road | unknown | Dust | EPD | EPD (ref.N08/RE/00023986-17) | Poor control on dust emission at Anderson Road Construction Site | CWSTVJV has implemented dust mitigation measures to eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident and status of the implementation of dust mitigation measures was considered effective based on the site observation. | no comment by IEC on 15 Nov 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0097 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 8 | 2-Aug-17 | 29-Aug-17 | Chun Tat House, On Tat Estate | Resident of On Tat Estate | Construction noise | EPD | EPD (ref.N08/RE/00024557-17) | Day time construction noise of breakers (8AM to 6PM) | CWSTVJV has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. According to the impact noise monitoring result obtained in August 2017, there were no breaches of EM&A requirement. However, to eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident, CWSTVJV should further enhance the noise mitigation measures as appropriately. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 15 Nov 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0098 |
| 9 | 19-Sep-17 | 19-Sep-17 | Sau Mau Ping Estate Sau Nga House | Resident of Sau Mau Ping Estate | Construction noise | SPRO hotline | NA | The complainant is living at Sau Mau Ping Estate Sau Nga House (秀雅樓) 38/F. He complained about the noise nuisance recently from August to September especially during night time after 12:00 am, even in Saturdays and Sundays. The noise nuisance caused a great disturbance to him. He made a request to conduct investigation about the source of the noise during night time. | ET has conducted an ad-hoc noise measurement for Leq (30min) on the rooftop of 秀雅樓 and 秀義樓 in the afternoon of 22 September 2017. (Photo 1 & 2) During the course of noise measurement, construction activities such as excavation and breaking were conducted in the Quarry Site. The measurement results taken at both 秀雅樓 and 秀義樓 were 63dB(A) which below the Limit Level under the EM&A Programme. | no comment by IEC on 18 Oct 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0088 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 10 | 21-Sep-17 | 13-Oct-17 | Sau Mau Ping Estate Sau Nga House and Sau Yee House | Resident of Sau Mau Ping Estate | Construction noise | EPD | EPD (ref.N08/RE/00031074-17) | On 21 September 2017, the same complaint further reported that the noise can be heard at both Sau Yee House and Sau Nga House even in daytime and he strongly requested the Contractor to follow up the case immediately. | ET has conducted an ad-hoc noise measurement for Leq (30min) on the rooftop of 秀雅樓 and 秀義樓 in the afternoon of 22 September 2017. (Photo 1 & 2) During the course of noise measurement, construction activities such as excavation and breaking were conducted in the Quarry Site. The measurement results taken at both 秀雅樓 and 秀義樓 were 63dB(A) which below the Limit Level under the EM&A Programme. | | TCS00864/16/300/F0088 |
| 11 | 27-Sep-17 | 13-Oct-17 | Chun Tat House, Tat Estate | Resident of On Tat Estate | Construction noise | EPD | EPD (ref.N08/RE/00029489-17) | The complainant questioned why there were 6 to 7 breakers operating in the morning but only 1 operating in the afternoon. He requested to shift the operation of the breakers to afternoon. | CWSTVJV has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. According to the impact noise monitoring result obtained in September and October 2017, there were no breaches of EM&A requirement. However, to eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident, CWSTVJV should properly maintain the noise mitigation measures as appropriate. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 30 Nov 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0106 |
| 12 | 3-Oct-17 | 13-Oct-17 | Chun Tat House, Tat Estate | Resident of On Tat Estate | Construction noise | EPD | EPD (ref. N08/RE/00032407-17) | Day time construction noise, the complainant requested using less breaker at one time, erecting taller noise barrier to cover the equipment. In addition, the complainant would like to know the construction schedule whether there will be more breaking activities in near future | | | TCS00864/16/300/F0106 |
| 13 | 25-Oct-17 | 26-Oct-17 | Tat Kwai House, Po Tat Estate | Resident of Po Tat | Dust | EPD | NA | 投訴安達臣道地盤的泥車落泥，令他達貴樓的住所受到大塵影響，要求跟進 | Investigation revealed that CWSTVJV has implemented dust mitigation measures to eliminate the inconvenience caused to the | no comment by IEC on | TCS00864/16/300/F0100 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | Estate | | | | 及回覆 | nearby resident. Nevertheless, based on the observation during site inspection on 31 October 2017, CWSTVJV was advised to enhance the dust mitigation measures particularly during dry season. | 15 Nov 2017 | |
| 14 | 6-Nov-17 | 7-Nov-17 | Chun Tat House, On Tat Estate | Resident of On Tat Estate | Noise | EPD | NA | 安達邨俊達樓居民投訴石礦場地盤又再於早上07:45 開始傳出機器不停採石的噪音(幾乎每日在08:00-19:00 進行工程),已持續一年,他全家人受到滋擾。 | Ad-hoc noise measurement was conducted by ET at rooftop of Chun Tat House in the morning of 20 November 2017 and measurement result was below the Limit Level under the EM&A Programme. CWSTVJV has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 30 Nov 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F01/09 |
| 15 | 13-Nov-17 | 14-Nov-17 | Chi Tai House, On Tai Estate | Mr. Lam Wai | light pollution and noise | SPRO hotline | NA | 1. 智泰樓面向安達臣地盤方向,有照射燈深夜時分仍然常開,影響居民正常睡眠質素,照成一定的精神壓力。 2. 隔音布未固定,大風吹過發出極大的聲浪 | To ease the concern by the complaint, CWSTVJV has adjusted the lights to the orientation pointing the ground and that to minimise the nuisance. For the maintenance of noise barrier, CWSTVJV has immediately fixed the noise barrier nearest to On Tai Estate and prolonged the cover area of the noise barrier to reduce the noise impact to the public. | no comment by IEC on 24 Nov 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F01/04 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|---------|------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 16 | 1-Nov-17 | 14-Nov-17 | Shing Tat House, On Tat Estate | Resident of Po Tat Estate | Noise | EPD | NA | 居住於安達邨誠達樓高層的投訴人投訴由早上八時半至下午六時聽到搽鐵噪音。 | As advised by the Contractor, the works that most likely induced the iron hammering noise to Shing Tat House shall be the rock breaking works to the hard rock of the Southeastern side of the Underground Stormwater Retention Tank. CWSTVJV had already deployed the acoustic mat as noise barrier at the site boundary near Shing Tat House. To enhance the noise mitigation measures, CWSTVJV deployed an acoustic mat as noise barrier for the breaking work in order to reduce construction noise affecting the upper floor of On Tat Estate. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 13 Dec 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0110 |
| 17 | 25-Aug-17 | 26-Oct-17 | Sau Mau House, Estate | Yee Sau Ping Resident of Sau Mau Ping Estate | Construction Noise | EPD | EPD (ref.N08/RE/00027738-17) | Night time construction noise of hammering (around 12AM) | As advised by CWSTVJV, there was a CNP (GW-RE0763-17) in force for the subject site for operation of generator and electric submersible water pump for the wastewater treatment plant and it is considered that abovementioned PMEs should not generate significant noise. Moreover, it is confirmed by CWSTVJV and checked against the site diary that no construction activities were carried out after 19:00 at the subject site. Therefore, the complaint about noise nuisance during night time should not be related to the Project. | no comment by IEC on 14 Dec 2017 | TCS00864/16/300/F0114 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 18 | 12-Sep-17 | 26-Oct-17 | Chun House, Tat Estate | Resident of On Tat Estate | Construction Noise | EPD | EPD (ref. N08/RE/0002948-9-17) | Day time construction noise of breakers (8AM to 5PM) | Noise mitigation measures were implemented to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. According to the impact noise monitoring result in September 2017, there were no breaches of EM&A requirement. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 10 Jan 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0117 |
| 19 | 15-Dec-17 | 21-Dec-17 | Sau House Yee | Resident of Sau Mau Ping Estate | Construction Noise | EPD | NA | Resident of Sau Yee House complained suspected construction noise from Anderson Construction Site at restricted hour (7pm to 7am). | It is confirmed by CWSTVJV and checked against the site diary that no construction activities were carried out after 19:00 at the subject site. Therefore, the complaint about noise nuisance during night time should not be related to the Project. | no comment by IEC on 10 Jan 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0118 |
| 20 | 20-Dec-17 | 21-Dec-17 | On Estate Tat | Resident of On Tat Estate | Dust | EPD | NA | Resident of On Tat Estate complained that the traffic of construction vehicles generated dust problem and arouse air pollution to On Tat Estate. 投訴安達臣道信和地盤水車已經壞了十多天，一直無灑水，四周非常大塵。投訴人住於安達邨，投訴安達臣道石礦場有大地盤，地盤大車工作時間不停出入揚起沙塵，吹到安達邨，影響空氣環境，要求部門到場視察。 | CWSTVJV has implemented dust mitigation measures to eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident. It is considered that the complaint was an isolated case due to malfunction of water tanker and CWSTVJV has promptly rectified the deficiency. As advised by CWSTVJV, another water tanker will be deployed in mid-January 2018 to enhance the dust suppression measures throughout the construction site. | no comment by IEC on 25 Jan 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0121 |
| 21 | 28-Dec-17 | 10-Jan-18 | Sau House Yee | Resident of Sau | Construction Noise | CE's office | NA | 日間及凌晨均聽到轟隆聲的噪音及震動，懷疑是由 | ET has conducted an ad-hoc noise measurement for Leq (30min) in the | no comment | TCS00864/16/300/F0129 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|--|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | Mau Ping Estate | | | | 附近工程引起* Thomas 先生表示居於秀茂坪邨秀義樓，指附近的安達臣道一個由土木工程拓展署管轄的石礦場不時於非允許時段(即晚上七時後至翌日早上)發出疑似打地基的轟轟聲巨響，最近一次就是今早(28/12)凌晨五時多再次聽到石礦場傳來聲響，將 Thomas 先生吵醒，懷疑有人刻意在無人監管下施工，更表示曾向環保署及土木工程署作出投訴，但環保署表示巡查後無發現在非允許時段有工程進行，而土木工程署則表示晚上七時後不會再進行工程。Thomas 指石礦場經常在晚上八至十二時，或凌晨時份發出巨響，對附近居民已造成很大的滋擾，要求相關部門儘快作出跟進及回覆。 | complainant's flat in the monitoring of 17 January 2018. It was noted that the complainant's flat is not in direct line of sight to the Anderson Road Quarry Site. The measurement noise result was below the Limit Level under the EM&A Programme. Moreover, it is confirmed by CWSTVJV and checked against the site diary that no construction activities were carried out during restricted hour at the subject site. Therefore, the complaint about noise nuisance during restricted hour should not be related to the Project. | by IEC on 8 Feb 2018 | |
| 22 | 15-Jan-18 | 15-Jan-18 | Chun Tat House | Resident of Chun Tat House of On Tat | Construction Noise | SPRO mobile | NA | She is irritated by the construction noise of breaking rock for a long time and strongly requested to know exactly when will be the completion date of the | CWSTVJV has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. According to the impact noise monitoring result obtained in January 2018, there were no breaches of EM&A requirement. However, to eliminate the inconvenience caused to | no comment by IEC on 8 Feb 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0130 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------|----------|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | Estate, 40/F | | | | breaking rock part of works opposite to Chun Tat House. She said we should do more on the mitigation measures because our site is very close to the residents nearby. | the nearby resident, CWSTVJV should properly maintain the noise mitigation measures as appropriate. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | | |
| 23 | 1-Feb-18 | 2-Feb-18 | Chi Tai House of On Tai Estate | Resident of On Tai Estate (referred by Mr. Lam Wai) | Construction Noise | SPRO hotline | NA | "智泰對出，白天噪音過大，可否加裝隔音板？高層受影響" | the Environmental Team has conducted an ad-hoc noise measurement for Leq(30min) at the corridor of 22/F of Chi Tai House on 2 February 2018 facing the construction site. The measurement noise result was 65dB(A) which below the Limit Level under the EM&A Programme. In our investigation, CWSTVJV has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. According to the impact noise monitoring result obtained in January 2018, there were no breaches of EM&A requirement. | no comment by IEC on 22 Feb 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0137 |
| 24 | 1-Feb-18 | 2-Feb-18 | Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate | Resident of Shing Tat House (referred by Mr. Hsu Yau Wai) | Construction Noise | SPRO hotline | NA | Mr. Hsu reported that some disturbing noise was heard after 6:00 pm from the site near Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate. | AECOM has liaised with Mr. Hsu on 2 February 2018 for the complaint matter and he reported to AECOM that the noise was generated until 7:00 pm on 1 February 2018. 3. As advised by Contractor of Contract 1, breaking works at USRT area which opposite to Shing Tat House was only carried out from 8:00 to 18:00. However, rock breaking at System A was extended to 19:00 on 1 February 2018. As noise mitigation measures, noise barriers were erected for the works area. | no comment by IEC on 28 Feb 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0140 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | Further to the complaint case, CWSTVJV would seek for other quiet work method such as using drilling machine to reduce noise level and speed up the rock breaking process, so that to reduce the noise intensity level and the duration of exposure. | | |
| 25 | 28-Feb-18 | 28-Feb-18 | Shing Tat House of On Tat Estate | Resident of Shing Tat House | Construction Noise | EPD | NA | 安達邨誠達樓居民, 投訴人是返夜班, 一年半以來長期受對出地盤日間擦石仔噪音滋擾, 由於單位與地盤太近, 堅持環保署跟進及回覆如何處理及減低噪音, 他亦要求知道何日完工. | Breaking works at Underground Stormwater Retention Tank area which opposite to Shing Tat House was carried out from 8:00 to 18:00. The Contractor has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. It was advised that the rock breaking works shall tentatively be completed by end of April and it is believed that the noise impact should be minimized. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours and noise monitoring noise were within acceptable level, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 19 Mar 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0143 |
| 26 | 11-Apr-18 | 12-Apr-18 | Him Tat House of On Tat Estate | Resident of Him Tat House | Construction Noise | SPRO mobile | NA | Mr. Hui Yau Wai reported that the noise irritation was becoming more severe recently and asked about the completion date of the works close to Him Tat House. The resident suspected that the noise comes from piling works nearby. | In our investigation, since construction noise was generating from other construction site next to Him Tat House, it is considered that the complaint is due to cumulative noise generated by both construction sites. However, CWSTVJV should properly provide the noise mitigation measures at works area in System B to minimize the noise impact to the resident nearby. As advised by CWSTVJV on 20 April 2018, noise barrier | no comment by IEC on 7 May 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0160b |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--|---|--------------------|---------|----------|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | was being erected at works area in System B as noise mitigation measures. According to the site photo, it is considered that the coverage of noise barrier is not sufficient and CWSTVJV should enhance the measure as far as practicable. The implementation of noise mitigation measures will be kept in view in subsequent site inspection. | | |
| 27 | 25-Apr-18 | 7-May-18 | Junction of Hiu Kwong Street and Hiu Ming Street | A school but name of school not disclosed | Construction Noise | EPD | NA | This case is considered as an enquiry and no investigation is required under the EM&A Programme. | | | |
| 28 | 18-May-18 | 24-May-18 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | Construction Noise | EPD | NA | 投訴人指安達臣道石礦場地盤(NE/2016/01)在入夜19:00 後仍見到有長臂喉工程車在運作, 及持續產生大噪音及閃燈, 非常擾民。 | As advised by CWSTVJV and confirmed by RE/AECOM, there were no construction activities carried out after 19:00 and concreting was completed before 19:00. It is concluded that the retracting process is not a general construction work using Powered Mechanical Equipment and complaint was an isolated case due to misunderstanding of the site operation. To prevent similar incidents in future, CWSTVJV has recommended several mitigation measures. | no comment by IEC on 30 July 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0174b |
| 29 | 25-Jun-18 | 19-Jul-18 | Pedestrian Connectively E8 under Contract 3 | Kwun Tong DC member Ms. | Waste Management | CEDD | NA | A public complaint was referred from CEDD on 4 July 2018 regarding accumulation of dead leaves and branches found | CW-CMGC-JV has immediately clear the dead leaves and maintain the site cleanliness. Since the construction work has not yet commenced and the dead leaves and overgrown branches were not | no comment by IEC on 24 Sep 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0189b |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | So Lai-chun | | | | at slope (GLA-TNK 2458) near Hiu Yuk Path on 25 June 2018. The complainant requested the relevant department to clear the leaves and branch asap | related project works, it is considered that the complaint is not valid the project. | | |
| 30 | 22-Aug-18 | 29-Aug-18 | Hong Wah Court | Resident of Hong Wah Court | Construction Noise | 1823 Hotline | NA | 吳先生於 2018 年 8 月 22 日致電 1823 熱線投訴，指馬游塘區堆填區往將軍澳方向行車入口因配合項目需要而進行移除山坡工程，但其鑽地鑿石的噪音嚴重影響藍田康雅苑*居民，要求有關部門跟進。*註：投訴人於 2018 年 8 月 27 日更正指受影響屋苑應為藍田康華苑。 | to reduce the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident, Kwan On should properly maintain the noise mitigation measures as appropriate, such as maintain good site practice including intermittent use of machine and plant and Sequencing operation of construction plant equipment. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 7 Sep 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0196a |
| 31 | 28-Aug-18 | 31-Jul-18 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | Construction Noise | EPD | NA | 安達邨誠達樓後面地盤，2 月 26 日晚，晚上 7 時後，還在落石屎，相片拍攝時間大概晚上 9 時半，一直至晚上十一時五十分還有工程車在地盤行駛。影響居民休息。 | According to the site diary which countersigned by RE, there was no concreting work carried out after 18:00 and the construction activities conducted during restricted hours with valid CNP were completed at 23:00. It is considered that the complaint was not valid to the Project. Nevertheless, CWSTVJV was reminded that in case of any work activities need to be carried out during restricted hours, CWSTVJV should strictly follow the requirements specified in the valid CNP. | no comment by IEC on 10 Oct 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0197a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|------------------|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 32 | 6-Sep-18 | 7-Sep-18 | Tsui Yeung House | Resident of Tsui Yeung House | Construction Noise | Verbal | NA | Mr. CHENG Keung-fung complained that the contractor has conducted the noisy works such as rock excavation beyond the normal hours. | Kwan On has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. As advised by Kwan On, the rock breaking works shall tentatively be completed by end of December 2018 and the mitigation measures will be implemented continuously during slope construction work and the slope construction will be carried out within the working hours at Portion 2. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 22 Oct 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0201 |
| 33 | 24-Oct-18 | 25-Oct-18 | E3 | Kwun Tong DC member Ms. So Lai-chun | Construction Noise | Whatsapp Message | NA | KTDC member, Ms. Ann So, complaining the noise of the breaker at E3 | As advised by the Contractor, the acoustic material wrapped on the breaker was worn-out on 24 October 2018 and replacement of new acoustic materials has been installed on the breaker immediately on 25 October 2018. The rock breaking works shall tentatively be completed to the road level in the middle of November 2018 and the mitigation measures will be implemented continuously during slope construction work and the slope construction will be carried out within the working hours at Portion 2. It is considered the complaint was an isolate case. | no comment by IEC on 23 Nov 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F0209a |
| 34 | 12-Nov-18 | 13-Nov-18 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Resident of Ching Tat House (referred) | Construction Noise | SPRO Hotline | NA | Mr. Hui reported that he received complaint from a resident living in Ching Tat House about noise nuisance recently. Mr. Hui asked if project team can | The SPRO contacted Mr. Hui and explained to him about the purpose and benefits of the tunnel to the residents nearby and the expected date of completion of the tunnel will be earlier than 2020. Moreover, the noise mitigation measures | no comment by IEC on 12 Dec 2018 | TCS00864/16/300/F022a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | by Mr. Hui Yau Wai) | | | | arrange some noise monitoring to check the noise level at the concerned flat or the same level at Ching Tat House. | had implemented to reduce the noise level effectively and the work progress will be closely updated to nearby stakeholders to enhance communication. Mr. Hui satisfied with the reply from SPRO and he agreed that the proposed noise monitoring in Ching Tat House was not needed. Since the works were conducted within approved normal hours with implementation of noise mitigation measures, there were no breaches of legislative requirement. | | |
| 35 | 14-Nov-18 | 14-Nov-18 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | Light and Noise | EPD | NA | 凌晨 1 時，地盤仍有大光燈正射民居和機器移動聲音，影響附近居民睡眠及違反環保條例。 | CWSTVJV immediately adjusted the angle and brightness of the lighting to minimize the nuisance to the resident nearby. In response to the complaint, CWSTVJV immediate carried out remedial action to minimize the nuisance to the public. It was considered that complaint for noise generated by machine moving was an isolated case. CWSTVJV was reminded to closely monitor the plant use and sequence of night work and do not to violate CNP conditions. | no comment by IEC on 3 Jan 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F02 23a |
| 36 | 13-Nov-18 | 14-Nov-18 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | Noise and dust | 1823 | NA | Complainant requested to postpone the starting time of construction work at project site and also to solve the problem of construction noise and dust. | In our investigation, acoustic barrier and site hoarding were in place along the works area. No noticeable noise and dust impact was observed during the site inspection. As advised by CWSTVJV, the normal working hour of the construction site is 8am to 6pm and there were no violation of the relevant regulations. The senior public relation officer contacted the complainant Ms. Ma on 26 November 2018 to explain the site situation and she | no comment by IEC on 18 Feb 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F02 24 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|--------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | was satisfied with the reply. Investigation Report has been completed by ET without comment from IEC. | | |
| 37 | 9-Dec-18 | 12-Dec-18 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | Construction noise | 1823 | 2-4927907305 | 1823 has referred a case to CEDD on 10 December 2018, which the complainant complained that construction noise was generated from project site on Sunday and was affecting the resident at Hau Tat House, On Tat Estate. The complainant requested follow up action from related department as soon as possible. | In our investigation based on the information provided by CWSTVJV, there was no site activities undertaken at site access road as concerned by the complainant. The construction work carried out on Sunday was fully compliance with the CNP requirement. In response to the complaint, CWSTVJV was reminded to closely monitor the plant use and sequence of night work and do not to violate CNP conditions. | no comment by IEC on 10 Jan 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0230a |
| 38 | 19-Dec-18 | 27-Dec-18 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | Construction noise | 1823 | 2-4948074127 | 1823 has referred a case to CEDD on 27 December 2018, which the complainant complained that noise barriers near the round-about at On Sau Road were not enough, and construction noise generated from the project site was affecting the resident at Ming Tai House, On Tai Estate. The complainant requested follow up actions from related department as soon as possible. | Joint site inspection was carried out on 3 January 2019 the status of implemented mitigation measures provided by CWSTVJV was inspected. It was observed that noise mitigation measures including temporary noise barrier, acoustic mat and wrapped by acoustic materials are implemented on site. However, CWSTVJV was advised to extend the coverage of noise barrier as far as practicable and fully enclose the concerned works area which has been completed on 15 January 2019. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 31 Jan 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0237a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 39 | 24-Jan-19 | 29-Jan-19 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | wastewater | Referred from DSD | NA | DSD has referred a case to CEDD on 24 January 2019 regarding suspended illegal discharge of cementitious slurry from construction site of Development of ARQ Site to nearby Public Stormwater Drainage System. | In our investigation, the concerned catchpit and U-channel mainly received the runoff from Po Lam Road as well as the discharge from the Anderson Road Quarry Site. It is suspected that the mud and silt found on the downstream has been accumulated over time particularly by rainstorm as well as routine discharge from construction site. As remedial action, CWSTVJV immediately clean the affected area where accessible. Nevertheless, in order to protection the watercourse at downstream of the construction site, CWSTVJV has some enhancement measures. | no comment by IEC on 29 Mar 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0248a |
| 40 | 30-Jan-19 | 30-Jan-19 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | noise | SPRO hotline | NA | A public complaint was received by SPRO hotline on 30 January 2019 regarding the construction noise near Ma Yau Tong Village and requested to add noise barrier as soon as possible. | In our investigation, CWSTVJV had provided the noise mitigation measures to minimize the noise impact to the resident nearby. The impact monitoring result obtained at Ma Yau Tong Village revealed that the construction noise were within acceptable level. Since the works were conducted within approved normal hours with implementation of noise and dust mitigation measures, there were no breaches of legislative requirement. | no comment by IEC on 15 Mar 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0249a |
| 41 | 15-Feb-19 | 25-Feb-19 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | noise | 1823 | 2-4948074127 | 1823 has referred a case to CEDD on 15 February 2019, which the complainant complained about the construction noise generated from the CEDD site near 法源寺 (Ma Yau Tong Village). The complainant requested | In response to the complainant, CWSTVJV has proposed alternative quiet work method to alleviate the noise impact to the public. They will schedule the noisy activities to be carried out after 10am as far as practicable to minimize the impact to resident nearby, given that not affecting the site progress. Moreover, the coverage of acoustic barriers will be extended in view | no comment by IEC on 29 Mar 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0251a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|---|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | for the details of works and the completion date, the complainant also requested CEDD to use other construction methods in order to re | of the works programme. | | |
| 42 | 21-Feb-19 | 25-Feb-19 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | noise | EPD | NA | The resident from Sau Hong House complained that the noise from the Anderson Road Quarry construction site has gotten worse. In addition, sometimes even after midnight there are noise coming from the site. With the echo produces from the environment, this is not helping at all. Really a big disturbance to the residence in the area. The complainant suspecting the sound proof measure has lessen as time goes. Follow action is requested. | In our investigation, CWSTVJV has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. However, to eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident, CWSTVJV should properly maintain the noise mitigation measures as appropriate, such as intermittent use of machine and plant and Sequencing operation of construction plant equipment. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 28 Mar 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0250 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 43 | 21-Feb-19 | 26-Feb-19 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | noise | received by DEVB and referred to CEDD | NA | A public complaint was received by DEVB and referred to CEDD on 25 February 2019 regarding on the noise generated from the construction works of the Anderson Road Quarry Site affecting a local resident residing at the Anderson Road Squatter Area | Additional acoustic mat has been erected in front of the Squatter Area to minimize the noise impact. Noise mitigation measures such as acoustic barriers erected along the works area and breaker head wrapped with acoustic material were implemented continually. Alternative quiet work method was adopted such as drilling the hard rock before the breaking work to reduce the breaking duration. In our investigation, CWSTVJV had enhanced the noise mitigation measures to ease the complainant's concerns. CWSTVJV will continually implement the noise mitigation measures to reduce to noise impact to the public. | no comment by IEC on 29 Mar 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0252a |
| 44 | 1-Mar-19 | 26-Feb-19 | E3 of Contract 2 | Undisclosed | noise | CEDD | NA | A complaint is forwarded by CEDD which was received by KTDC member Mr CHENG Keung Fung from the residents of Tsui Yeung House(翠楊樓) about the noise nuisance generated and the working time up to 7:00 pm from the rock excavation of E3 lift tower. Follow up action is requested. | The representative of the engineering team explained to Mr. Cheng about the project's details and concerned site was being constructed for the future pedestrian connection facilities. The related stone drilling process is expected to be completed in mid-April to end of April 2019. Mr. Cheng was satisfied with the rapid response from CEDD and the engineering team. In our investigation, Kwan On has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the project did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 6 May 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0264 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 45 | 16-Jun-19 | 18-Jun-19 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | noise | EPD | NA | EPD referred a case to CEDD on 17 June 2019 regarding the construction noise heard at On Tat Estate on Sunday. | The Contractor explained that general cleaning by water jet was carried out in the construction site on the concerned day. Since the work did not involve the use of Powered Mechanical Equipment (PME), it would not violate the noise control ordinance. The Investigation report is underway by ET. | no comment by IEC on 21 August 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F03 01a |
| 46 | 12-Jul-19 | 15-Jul-19 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Undisclosed | dust | EPD | NA | On 12 July 2019, a complaint was received by EPD regarding the dust impact to the residents at Po Tat Estate and On Tat Estate due to the dust emission at Anderson Road Quarry site. | In our investigation, CWSTVJV has implemented dust mitigation measures to eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident and status of implementation of dust mitigation measures was considered effective based on the site observation. Moreover, there was mostly rainy day throughout June and July 2019 in typical rainy season in Hong Kong and the dust impact was considered not significant in addition to the dust mitigation measures implemented provided by the Contractor. Nevertheless, the ET will closely monitor the environmental performance and dust mitigation measures in subsequent site inspection. The IR is under reviewed by IEC. | no comment by IEC on 12 August 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F02 92b |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---------------|------------------|---------|----------|---|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 47 | 6-Aug-19 | 14-Aug-19 | Work Area Portion 2 E3 (Slope of Hiu Ming Street opposite of Tsui Yeung House) | 翠屏(北)邨物業服務辦事處 | Noise | 1823 | NA | A public complaint was received by 1823 on 6 August 2019 relating to the noise generated from construction work at the lift tower site (Slope E3) at Hui Ming Street from the residents of Tsui Yeung House. The complainant expressed that the construction works has been undertaken for 2 years and generated construction noise from 8am every day, which causing serious nuisance to the nearby residents. | In our investigation, Kwan On has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. Nevertheless, since the construction site is close to the residential area, adequate noise mitigation measures shall be provided to reduce to noise nuisance to the public. It is concluded that the complaint was valid to the contract. As the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the contract did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 16 Sep 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0310a |
| 48 | 15-Oct-19 | 18-Oct-19 | Work Area Portion 6 (Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Bus-Bus Interchange Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities E12) | Mr. Ng | Noise | 1823 | NA | A public complaint was received by 1823 on 15 October 2019 relating to the noise generated from construction work at Tseung Kwan O Tunnel Bus to Bus Interchange Pedestrian Connectivity Facilities E12. The complainant expressed that the construction noise was generated from breaking work at 8:20 am without noise mitigation measure, which causing nuisance to the nearby residents. | In our investigation, Kwan On has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. Nevertheless, since the construction site is close to the residential area, adequate noise mitigation measures shall be provided to reduce to noise nuisance to the public. As the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the contract did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. Kwan On was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme. | no comment by IEC on 13 Nov 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0326a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 49 | 5-Nov-19 | 11-Nov-19 | Work Area Portion 2&3 (lift tower construction work at Hiu Kwong Street) | NA | Noise | EPD | NA | A public complaint was received by EPD relating to the noise generated from breaking work of lift tower construction work at Hiu Kwong Street (Portion 2&3). | In our investigation, Kwan On has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. Nevertheless, since the construction site is close to the residential area, adequate noise mitigation measures shall be provided to reduce to noise nuisance to the public. As the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the contract did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. Kwan On was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme. | no comment by IEC on 27 Dec 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0332a |
| 50 | 7-Nov-19 | 11-Nov-19 | Work Area Portion 6 | Mr. Cheng | Noise | EPD | NA | 寶達邨居民鄭先生，表示將軍澳隧道出口工程，日間噪音嚴重，8:30-17:00，幾部幾同時開動，而且無防音欄，之前是有，現要求環保署向對方反映改善 | In our investigation, Kwan On has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. Nevertheless, since the construction site is close to the residential area, adequate noise mitigation measures shall be provided to reduce to noise nuisance to the public. As the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the contract did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. Kwan On was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme. | no comment by IEC on 27 Dec 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0333a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 51 | 10-Nov-19 | 12-Nov-19 | Underpass | Undisclosed | Noise | EPD | NA | <p>On 10 November 2019 投訴人為馬游塘村居民，自本年初寶林路開展掘隧道工程，每天噪音不斷，由 8 至 6，由於欠缺遮擋，聲音直向 4 至 22 號村屋，將來通車，相信噪音不只 8-6，現懇請環保署為本村居民正式評估，並向政府提出村民困擾，考慮盡快設置隔音屏。</p> <p>On 11 November 2019 寶琳路近馬游塘村開掘隧道的工程地盤每日 8am-6pm 發出噪音，欠缺遮擋，聲音影響馬游塘村 4-22 號村屋。希望政府部門</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.調查地盤有否違規 2.實施減音措施以減低對附近居民的滋擾 | <p>In our investigation, CWSTVJV had implemented the noise mitigation measures to reduce to noise impact to the public. Since the works were conducted within approved normal hours with implementation of noise mitigation measures, there were no violation of legislative requirement. For the complainant's concern on the operation noise after commencement of the project, it is out of the scope of the EM&A programme and the relevant department will follow up the concern.</p> | no comment by IEC on 30 Dec 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F0337 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---|--|------------------|---------|--------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 52 | 11-Nov-19 | 20-Nov-19 | Construction site near on Tai Estate Ancillary Facilities Building on On Sau Road | Mr. Wong (resident of Yung Tai House of On Tai Estate) | Noise | 1823 | ref. 2-597630 3183 | 黃先生投訴安秀道安泰邨服務設施大樓附近掘路工程已持續數年還未完成，並投訴其經常發出噪音滋擾，要求部門跟進。 On 22 November 2019, the project hotline received a call from the same complainant reported on the noise nuisance near On Sau Road and On Yan Street. He suggested to speed up the noise making works by intensely concentrate the excavation works during day time. No intermittence is suggested in order to speed up the works and to avoid waste of manpower. | In our investigation, CWSTVJV had implemented the noise mitigation measures to reduce to noise impact to the public. However, in response to the complaint, the Contractor was advised to enhance the performance of the temporary noise barriers such as increase the coverage of the noise barrier. Since the works were conducted within normal working hours with implementation of noise mitigation measures, there were no breaches of legislative requirement. | no comment by IEC on 27 Dec 2019 | TCS00864/16/300/F03 38a |
| 53 | 5-Mar-20 | 6-Mar-20 | Tunnel work of Anderson Road Quarry Site (the Underpass) | Resident of On Tat Estate | Noise | EPD | NA | 本人是安達邨居民，隧道工程在安達臣的工程，施工至今嘈音間中改善，最近又有嘈音出現，仲係重低音，希望能加裝隔音設備，工程不知何時將嘈音減至最低。 1. A public complaint was received by EPD on 5 March 2020 regarding the construction noise generated from the tunnel work of the subject site. The complainant | In our investigation, CWSTVJV had implemented the noise mitigation measures to reduce to noise impact to the public. In response to the complaint, CWSTVJV had immediately installed a layer of acoustic mat at boundary of System A. Since the works were conducted within approved normal hours with implementation of noise mitigation measures, there were no violation of legislative requirement. | no comment by IEC on 1 Apr 2020 | TCS00864/16/300/F03 57a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|--------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | mentioned that the noise from construction was improved before but it became serious recently. | | | |
| 54 | 4-Mar-20 | 17-Mar-20 | Near Hiu Ming Street Playground (E8) | Undisclosed | Noise | 1823 | ref. 3-628323 7171 | <p>投訴人投訴有關秀茂坪邨秀安樓附近有兩個地盤，地盤由星期一至五，每天早上約 9AM-5 PM 持續不斷發出強烈的嘈音，投訴人表示地盤是在曉明街藍球場旁邊的位置(投訴人未能告知確實街號)，因此要求部門盡快回覆及告知有關情況。 A public complaint was received by 1823 on 4 March 2020 regarding the construction noise. The complainant mentioned that there were two construction sites near Hiu Ming Street Playground generated construction noise continuously during 9AM to 5PM on weekdays.</p> | <p>In our investigation, CW-CMGCJV had implemented the noise mitigation measures for the works at upper section of E8 near Hiu Yuk Path and no noise impact was observed and anticipated in Hiu Ming Street based on the site activities and our inspection record. It is considered that the complaint is likely related to another construction site located near Hiu Ming Street Playground and not caused by the works under the Project. Since the works were conducted within approved normal hours with implementation of noise mitigation measures, there were no violation of legislative requirement.</p> | no comment by IEC on 15 Apr 2020 | TCS00864/16/300/F03 59a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|--|---|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 55 | 23-Mar-20 | 23-Mar-20 | Near Lin Tak Road (E11) | Undisclosed | Water Quality | Project hotline | NA | 藍田居民梁先生反映在將軍澳道往連德道天橋的大彎位，其中有一個車輛出入口每日早上八時左右不時有泥水從地盤流出路面，估計泥水是清洗工程車輛所致，令梁先生的車輛每次駛經時被濺濕及弄污，請問有何措施改善問題？ A public complaint was received by project hotline on 23 March 2020 regarding overflow of muddy water from the construction site. The complainant mentioned that muddy water came out from site entrance, which spotted on his car, at 8am every morning. | In our investigation, the wheel washing facilities at site exit of E11 is one of the dust quality mitigation measures conducted by CW-CMGCV and corresponding measure was implemented to prevent overflow of wastewater out of the site. In our recent site inspection, no outflow of muddy water from the site was observed and the condition of concerned Lin Tak Road was satisfactory. It is considered that the complaint was unlikely due to the project. | no comment by IEC on 15 Apr 2020 | TCS00864/16/300/F0360a |
| 56 | 17-Mar-20 | 19-Mar-20 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Resident of Yan Tat House | Noise | Project hotline | NA | 許有為區議員接獲安達邨仁達樓 2613 室居民反映，安達臣道石礦場發展用地工程噪音持續兩年，要求工程團隊下周派員到有關單位視察，並採取可行的噪音緩解措施。許有為區議員要求陪同視察。 A public complaint was received by hotline on 17 March 2020 regarding the construction noise | In our investigation, CW-CMGCV has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact and nuisance to the public. However, to eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby residents, CW-CMGCV was advised to further adopt good practices on mitigating construction noise to reduce the noise impact to the nearby residents. 5. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the contract did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. | no comment by IEC on 11 May 2020 | TCS00864/16/300/F0361a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | generated from the Anderson Road Quarry Site. The complainant mentioned that the construction noise generated from the Anderson Road Quarry Site had been continued for two years. | Nevertheless, as the construction site is close to the residential area, CW-CMGCVJ was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme. | | |
| 57 | 1-Apr-20 | 20-Apr-20 | Work Area Portion 2 | Undisclosed | Noise | 1823 | NA | 觀塘秀茂坪紀念公園傍及曉明街的地盤，共兩個地盤，是地政總署管轄的。投訴人表示已被工程噪音滋擾了兩年多；另外投訴人得知完工時間要到2021年，投訴人不明白為何工程頭尾要3年多時間。要求地政總署直接以電郵回覆工程長的原因及有沒有措施解決地盤發出的噪音。 A public complaint was received by 1823 on 1 April 2020 and subsequently transmitted to Environmental Team (ET) on 20 April 2020, regarding the noise nuisance generated from the construction site in Hui Ming Street. The complainant concerned about the slow progress and implementation of | In our investigation, Kwan On has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. Nevertheless, since the construction site is close to the residential area, adequate noise mitigation measures shall be provided to reduce to noise nuisance to the public. It is concluded that the complaint was valid to the contract. However, as the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the contract did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. Kwan On was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme. | no comment by IEC on 7 May 2020 | TCS00864/16/300/F0366a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | noise mitigation measures to alleviate the noise impact arising from the construction work. | | | |
| 58 | 11-May-20 | 12-May-20 | Work Area Portion 2 | Undisclosed | Noise | Project hotline | NA | 陳先生住於翠楊樓 17 樓，投訴對面鑽石工程產生噪音對母親健康構成影響，現查詢完工日期、噪音監控標準及措施。 A public complaint was received by Project Hotline on 11 May 2020 regarding the noise generated from rock breaking work from a construction site opposite to Tsui Yeung House, which affecting his mother's health. The complainant enquired about the completion date of construction work, construction noise level standard and implementation of noise mitigation measures on site. | In our investigation, Kwan On has enhanced the noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact to the nearby resident. Based on the noise measurement result, the construction noise was reduced to acceptable level after the additional noise mitigation measures in place. Nevertheless, Kwan On was reminded to continually implement the noise mitigation measures as far as practicable in the remaining work. The performance of noise mitigation measures will keep in view by ET in subsequent site inspection | no comment by IEC on 28 May 2020 | TCS00864/16/300/F0370a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 59 | 18-Jun-20 | 23-Jun-20 | Anderson Road Quarry Site, System B | Undisclosed | Noise | EPD | NA | A public complaint was received by EPD on 18 June 2020 regarding the noise generated from rock breaking by machinery before 7pm from construction site near Hau Tat House. The complainant understood that the Contractor could carry out construction works, other than percussive piling, before 7pm under the CNP and hoped that the Contractor could arrange the noisy construction works to be carried out before 6pm. According to the information provided by the complainant, it is suspected complaint location would be Anderson Road Quarry Site, System B. | In our investigation, the Contractor has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact and nuisance to the public. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the contract did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. Nevertheless, as the construction site is close to the residential area, the Contractor was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme | no comment by IEC on 17 July 2020 | TCS00864/16/300/F0391a |
| 59# | 23-Jul-20 | 24-Jul-20 | Anderson Road Quarry Site near On Tat Estate | Undisclosed | Noise | EPD | NA | A public complaint was received by EPD on 23 July 2020 regarding the construction noise generated from the use of PME at Anderson Road Quarry Site near On Tat Estate at 6:30am (restricted hours). He/ she requested | In our investigation, CWSTVJV had restricted the use of PME before 7am. There was no construction work and use of PME during the restricted hours. Since the works were conducted within approved normal hours with implementation of noise mitigation measures, there were no violation of legislative requirement. Nevertheless, as the construction site is | no comment by IEC on 25 August 2020 | TCS00864/16/300/F0401 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--|-------------|------------------|------------|--------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | relevant department to follow up. | close to the residential area, CWSTVJV was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme | | |
| 60 | 14-Nov-20 | 18-Nov-20 | Near Hiu Ming Street Playground (E8) | Undisclosed | Noise | 1823 | NA | A public complaint was received by 1823 on 14 November 2020 regarding the construction noise. The complainant mentioned that there was piling works at Hiu Ming Street Playground, generating huge noise during 9AM to 10AM on 14 November 2020. He/she requested relevant department to follow up | In our investigation, there was no noise impact was observed and anticipated in Hiu Ming Street based on the site activities and our inspection record. Since the works were conducted within approved normal hours with implementation of noise mitigation measures, there were no violation of legislative requirement | no comment by IEC on 4 January 2021 | TCS00864/16/300/F0424 |
| 61 | 4-Dec-20 | 7-Dec-20 | Opposite to On Tai Estate – lower portion of Road L4 | Undisclosed | Dust | EPD | NA | A public complaint was received by EPD on 4 December 2020 regarding the dust impact. The complainant mentioned that the construction site opposite to On Tai Estate had dust emission problem due to lack of water spraying. He/she requested relevant department to follow up | In our investigation, CWSTVJV has implemented dust mitigation measures to eliminate the inconvenience caused to the nearby resident. In view of the potential traffic dust impact and implementation of dust mitigation measures, it is considered that the complaint was not valid to the Project | no comment by IEC on 4 January 2021 | TCS00864/16/300/F0434 |
| 62 | 3-Dec-20 | 7-Dec-20 | Ma Yau Tong Village (East Portal) | Undisclosed | Noise and dust | 1823 & EPD | 3-6574141017 | A public complaint was received by 1823 and EPD on 14 November 2020 | In our investigation, CWSTVJV had provided the dust and noise mitigation measures to minimize the dust and noise | no comment by IEC on | TCS00864/16/300/F0435 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | regarding the construction dust and noise impact arising from the project. There were acoustic mats erected on the slope of East Portal, however, the complainant enquired about effectiveness of the noise barriers with dozens of 15 cm "X"-shaped cuts. Moreover, there was lack of water sprinkling on the site and fugitive dust was blowing to the village | impact to the resident nearby. To response the concern from the complainant, as enhancement noise measure, the Contractor extended the noise barrier to encircle noisy activity. Since the works were conducted within approved normal hours with implementation of noise and dust mitigation measures, there were no breaches of legislative requirement | 4 January 2021 | |
| 63 | 7-Jan-21 | 7-Jan-21 | System B | Resident of Yan Tat House | Noise | Project hotline | NA | A public complaint was referred by district Councillor Mr. HSU Yau-wai and received by project hotline on 7 January 2021 regarding the construction noise. The complainant mentioned that the construction site next to SKH St. John's Tsang Shiu Tim Primary School generated noise problem and she requested relevant department to follow up. | In our investigation, the Contractor has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact and nuisance to the public.6. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the contract did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. Nevertheless, as the construction site is close to the residential area, the Contractor was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme. | no comment by IEC on 19 July 2021 | TCS00864/16/300/F0441 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------|----------|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 64 | 18-Mar-21 | 18-Mar-21 | Anderson Road Quarry Site (between On Tat Estate and On Tai Estate) | Undisclosed | Noise | 1823 & EPD | NA | A public complaint was received by 1823 and referred by EPD on 18 March 2021 regarding the construction noise generated from construction works at Anderson Road Quarry Site between On Tat Estate and On Tai Estate. The complainant expressed that construction works of the site started from 6:45am everyday which causing noise disturbance to the nearby resident and he/ she requested relevant department to follow up | In our investigation, CWSTVJV had restricted the use of PME before 7am. There was no construction work and use of PME during the restricted hours and there should not be any non-compliance of Noise Control Ordinance. Nevertheless, as the construction site is close to the residential area, CWSTVJV was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme | no comment by IEC on 1 April 2021 | TCS00864/16/300/F0454 |
| 65 | 1-Apr-21 | 1-Apr-21 | Construction site near SKH St. John's Tsang Shiu Tim Primary School (System B under Contract 3) | Undisclosed | Noise | EPD | NA | A complaint was received by EPD and referred to CEDD on 1 April 2021 regarding the construction noise. The complainant mentioned that piling work was conducted at construction site near SKH St. John's Tsang Shiu Tim Primary School in recent week which generated noise problem. Moreover, there were no noise mitigation measures provided in the construction site | In our investigation, the Contractor has implemented noise mitigation measures to reduce the noise impact and nuisance to the public. Since the works were carried out within the non-restricted hours, it is considered that the works under the contract did not breach the Noise Control Ordinance. Moreover, the Contractor has adopted noise mitigation measures to minimise noise impact to the public. Since the construction site is close to the residential area, the Contractor was reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme | no comment by IEC on 19 July 2021 | TCS00864/16/300/F0458a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|------------------|---------|--------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 66 | 28-Mar-21 | 30-Mar-21 | Anderson Road Quarry Site (between On Tat Estate and On Tai Estate) | Resident of Tai Fung House of On Tai Estate | Noise | EPD | K13/RE/00007086-21 | A public complaint was received by EPD on 28 March 2021 regarding the construction noise generated from construction works at Anderson Road Quarry Site until 9pm on Monday to Saturday. Moreover, the complaint concerned about the construction noise heard on 28 March 2021 which was a Sunday. | In our investigation, CWSTVJV had followed that CNP for work during restricted hour and there should not be any non-compliance of Noise Control Ordinance. Nevertheless, some site areas had been handed over to other contract and construction noise generated from others is not controlled by the project. As a reminder, CWSTVJV should implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme. | no comment by IEC on 22 April 2021 | TCS00864/16/300/F0459 |
| 67 | 11-Jun-21 | 11-Jun-21 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | Resident of Chi Tat House, On Tai Estate | Noise | EPD | EPD Ref.: 13208-21 | A public complaint was received by EPD on 11 June 2021 and complained about noise nuisance from multiple construction sites on Anderson Road Quarry Site. The complainant stated that there were noise nuisances from different construction sites from 0800 am to 1800 pm from Monday to Saturday without adequate noise mitigation measures. On 17 June 2021, the complainant added that the noise was generated from rock breaking works in front of Chi Tai House (not from the housing sites near the Tai Sheung Tok slope) | 6. In our investigation, CWSTVJV had implemented the noise mitigation measures to reduce to noise impact to the public. In response to the complaint, CWSTVJV had immediately installed a layer of acoustic barrier at boundary of concern works area. Since the works were conducted within approved normal hours with implementation of noise mitigation measures, there were no violation of legislative requirement. | no comment by IEC on 19 July 2021 | TCS00864/16/300/F0478a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|--------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | and no mitigation measure was implemented for the rock breaking works. | | | |
| 68 | 20&21/June/21 | 23-Jul-21 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | EPD | EPD Ref.: 13208-21 | EPD received complaints from DSD on 20 and 21 July 2021 concerning about discharge of muddy water as found on Po Lam Road and at the drainage facility near Tin Hau temple. | In our investigation, CWSTVJV had implemented the water quality mitigation measures to minimise the impact arising from the construction site. In view of the site condition and inclement weather condition on the complaint days, it is considered that the complaints raised by DSD were unlikely due to the C1 Project. Nevertheless, CWSTVJV was advised to closely monitor the discharge quality to avoid non-compliance of water quality happened in the construction site. Moreover, to cope with the adverse weather condition in wet season, CWSTVJV should regularly review the drainage plan as needed. | no comment by IEC on 6 August 2021 | TCS00864/16/300/F0485b |
| 69 | 14&16/Sep/21 | 15-Sep-21 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | EPD | NA | EPD received complaints from DSD on 14 Sep 2021 and 16 Sep 2021 concerning about discharge of muddy water as found at the catchpit SCH4003250 near Po Lam Road and catchpit SSH4001400 near Po Tat Tin Hau Temple. | In our investigation, CWSTVJV had implemented the water quality mitigation measures to minimise the impact arising from the construction site. However, there were incidents of seepage of silty water at Q2 and Q3 and rectified actions were undertaken immediately. Having investigated, the incidents were considered very short term and would not generate large amount of muddy water. In view of the inclement weather condition and there were other major sources, it is considered that the complaints raised by DSD were not fully contributed by C1 Project. Nevertheless, CWSTVJV was advised to | no comment by IEC on 6 October 2021 | |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------|----------|--|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | closely monitor the discharge quality to avoid non-compliance of water quality happened in the construction site. Moreover, to cope with the adverse weather condition in wet season, CWSTVJV should regularly review the drainage plan as needed. | | |
| 70 | 23/Sep/21 | 29-Sep-21 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | CEDD & EPD | Noise | CEDD & EPD | NA | A public complaint was referred by 1823 to both CEDD and EPD on 23 September 2021. The complainant stated that the construction works at Anderson Road Quarry Site started before 7am, which generated construction noise and affecting the upper floor resident of On Tat Estate. EPD have contacted the complainant and clarify that the concerned about construction dust and daytime construction noise after 7am. | Our investigation revealed that there was no construction works under the Project undertaken during the concerned period by the complainant, and there were other concurrent contracts on Anderson Road Quarry Site and the contribution noise may be related to others. Therefore, it is considered that the noise complaint was unlikely to be related to the works under the Project. Nevertheless, CWSTVJV was reminded to properly maintain the noise mitigation measures as far as practicable considering the construction site is relatively close to residential area. | No comment by IEC on 15 November 2021 | |
| 71 | 30/Mar/22 | 12/Apr/22 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | EPD received complaint from DSD on 28 March 2022 concerning about siltation and discharge of muddy water observed at the public drainage system | In our investigation, the Contractor had implemented the water quality mitigation measures to minimise the impact arising from the construction site. Based on the investigation findings, it is considered that the complaint was likely caused by the | No comment by IEC on 19 April 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F0540 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | at catchpit SSH4001400 near Tin Hau Temple and the site discharge points at Po Lam Road on 28 March 2022 | interfacing contractors under rainy days and not due to the works under the Project. | | |
| 72 | 14/Apr/22 | 25/Apr/22 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | DSD carried out site inspection at site discharge point at Po Lam Road on 12 April 2022 and observed discharge of muddy water at public drainage system. The case was then referred to CEDD and EPD to investigate the source of the muddy water discharge. | In our investigation, the Contractor had implemented the water quality mitigation measures to minimise the impact arising from the construction site. Based on the investigation findings, it is considered that the complaint was likely caused by the interfacing contractors and not due to the works under the Project. | No comment by IEC on 16 May 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F0541 |
| 73 | 11/May/2022 | 25/May/2022 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | EPD received complaint from DSD on 11 May 2022 concerning about muddy water observed entering Tsui Ping River, with similar situation observed at Tin Hau Temple and Po Lam Road. | Based on the above findings and successive heavy rainstorm on 11 to 13 May 2022, it is considered the muddy water found in the concerned catchpit SSH4001400 near Tin Hau Temple and Po Lam Road on 11 to 13 May 2022 were likely caused by impact of rainstorm and partially contributed by the interfacing contractors at Sites R2-9 & R2-10. | No comment by IEC on 13 June 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F559 |
| 74 | 17/May/2022 | 30/May/2022 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | EPD received complaint from DSD on 14 and 16 May 2022 concerning about muddy water observed entering Tsui Ping River. | Heavy rain led to large amount of storm runoff from roads and landscape into the public drainage system, which deteriorated the water quality in the drainage system. Besides, there were several construction sites at upstream of Tsui Ping River. It is considered that complaint mainly related to the interfacing contractor(s) and unlikely to | No comment by IEC on 13 June 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F562a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | have been caused by the project. | | |
| 75 | 27/May/2022 | 9/Jun/2022 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | EPD received complaint from DSD on 27 May 2022 concerning about muddy water observed entering Tsui Ping River, with similar situation observed at Tin Hau Temple and Po Lam Road. | Heavy rain led to large amount of storm runoff from roads and landscape into the public drainage system, which deteriorated the water quality in the drainage system. Besides, there were several construction sites at upstream of Tsui Ping River. It is considered that complaint mainly related to the interfacing contractor(s) and unlikely to have been caused by the project. | No comment by IEC on 13 June 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F563 |
| 76 | 6, 7, 8/June/2022 | 7, 8, 9/June/2022 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | On 6 June 2022, DSD informed that dirty water with bad odour was observed entering Tsui Ping River this morning at the upstream near junction of Kai Lim Road and Tsui Ping Road. The situation has persisted over 50 mins. Furthermore, muddy water was observed entering Tsui Ping River, with similar situation at Tin Hau Temple and Po Lam Road (山渠) on 6, 7 and 8 June 2022. | As a matter of fact, heavy rain led to large amount of storm runoff from roads and landscape into the public drainage system, which deteriorated the water quality in the drainage system. Besides, there were several construction sites at upstream of Tsui Ping River. It is considered that complaint mainly related to the interfacing contractor(s) and unlikely to have been caused by the project. | Sent to EPD on 21 June 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F565 |
| 77 | 14/Jun/2022 | 15/Jun/2022 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | DSD concerning muddy water discharge found at Tin Hau Temple and Po Lam Road on 14 June pm. | As a matter of fact, heavy rain led to large amount of storm runoff from roads and landscape into the public drainage system, which deteriorated the water quality in the drainage system. Besides, there were several construction sites at upstream of Tsui Ping River. It is considered that | Sent to EPD on 29 June 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F566 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|---|--|--|----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | complaint mainly related to the interfacing contractor(s) and unlikely to have been caused by the project. | | |
| 78 | 8/Aug/2022 | 8/Aug/2022 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | DSD advised EPD that muddy water was observed entering Tsui Ping River in the morning of 8 August 2022, with similar situation at Tin Hau Temple and Po Lam Road | As a matter of fact, heavy rain led to large amount of storm runoff from roads and landscape into the public drainage system, which deteriorated the water quality in the drainage system. No muddy water discharge was evident in the morning or afternoon of 8 August 2022. It is therefore considered that the muddy water discharge observed by DSD in the morning of 8 August 2022 was unlikely to have been caused by the ARQ contracts of C1 or C4. | No comment by IEC on 19 September 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F580 |
| 79 | 12/Aug/2022 | 12/Aug/2022 | Anderson Road Quarry Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | DSD advised EPD that muddy water was observed entering Tsui Ping River in the morning of 12 August 2022, with similar situation at Tin Hau Temple and Po Lam Road (山渠). | As a matter of fact, heavy rain led to large amount of storm runoff from roads and landscape into the public drainage system, which deteriorated the water quality in the drainage system. No muddy water discharge was evident in the morning of 12 August 2022. It is therefore considered that the muddy water discharge observed by DSD in the morning of 12 August 2022 was unlikely to have been caused by the ARQ contracts of C1 or C4. | No comment by IEC on 19 September 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F581 |
| 80 | 29&30/Sep/2022 | 29/Sep/2022 & 3 Oct 2022 | Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | DSD's complaint was made to EPD who requested CEDD in the same respective mornings to handle and investigate in | As a matter of fact, heavy rain led to large amount of storm runoff from roads and landscape into the public drainage system, which deteriorated the water quality in the drainage system. No muddy water | Sent to EPD on 18 October 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F593 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------------|----------|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | accordance with the procedure in EM&A Manual. | discharge from ARQ Site was evident in the morning of 29 and 30 September 2022. It is therefore considered that the muddy water discharge observed by DSD in the morning of 29 and 30 September was unlikely to have been caused by the ARQ contracts of C1 or C4. During wet season, the Contractor was strongly reminded to implement adequate water quality mitigation measures to minimise the impact arising from the construction site. The Contractor should closely monitor the discharge quality from the Site to avoid non-compliance. The ET will pay special attention on water quality mitigation measures implementation on site through regular site inspection, and give advice on remedial action when necessary. Incidentally, it is noted that Site R2-9 has kept discharging muddy water to downstream manhole D310. Record photos of the manhole dated 6, 7 and 8 October 2022 are enclosed for reference. | | |
| 81 | 18/Oct/2022 | 20/Oct/2022 | Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site | DSD | Dust Quality | Referred by 1823 to EPD | NA | A public complaint was referred by 1823 to EPD on 18 October 2022, regarding the dust problem generated from the construction site in Anderson Road near On Tai Estate due to typhoon signal no. 3. EPD | In our investigation, both the Contractors had implemented dust mitigation measures to reduce to potential impact to the public. However, in particular during dry season, Contract 4 was reminded to enhance the dust suppressive measures as far as practicable. As there were no air monitoring results exceeding the limit level, it is considered that the dust | Sent to EPD on 3 November 2022 | TCS00864/16/300/F596 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | contacted the complainant who was a resident of Shing Tai House, On Tai Estate. The complainant expressed concern about the construction dust generated from Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) site and requested the site to step up dust suppression measures. | mitigation measures implemented were effective in suppressing the fugitive dust. Nevertheless, as the construction site is close to the residential area, both the Contractors were reminded to implement the mitigation measures as far as practicable as recommended in the EM&A Programme. | | |
| 82 | 17/May/2023 | 19/May/2023 | Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | <p>EPD received complaint from DSD concerning muddy water was observed entering Tsui Ping River from the upstream in the afternoon of 17th May 2023, with similar situation at Po Lam Road (山渠)。</p> <p>The case was then referred from EPD to CEDD for follow-up. Environmental Team (ET) initiated the handing procedure in accordance with the Environmental Monitoring & Audit Manual to investigate whether it is related to the Project of Development of Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site.</p> | <p>As a matter of fact, the heavy rains led to large amount of storm runoff from roads and landscape into the public drainage system, which deteriorated the water quality in the drainage system. There was no evident muddy water discharge from ARQ Site in the afternoon of 17th May 2023. Therefore, it is considered unlikely that the muddy water discharge observed by DSD in the afternoon of 17 May 2023 was caused by the ARQ contracts of Contract 1 or Contract 4.</p> <p>During the wet season, the Contractor was strongly reminded to implement adequate water quality mitigation measures to minimise the impact arising from the construction site. The Contractor should closely monitor the quality if the discharge from the Site to avoid non-compliance. The ET will pay special attention to the implementation of water quality mitigation measures on site through regular site</p> | Sent to EPD on 29 May 2023 | TCS00864/16/300/F643 |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | inspections, and provide advice on remedial action when necessary. | | |
| 83 | 4 July 2023 | 4 July 2023 | Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site | DSD | Water Quality | DSD | NA | <p>EPD received complaint from DSD concerning muddy water was observed entering Tsui Ping River from the upstream in the morning of 4 July 2023, with similar situation at Po Lam Road (山渠).</p> | <p>As a matter of fact, the heavy rains led to large amount of storm runoff from roads and landscape into the public drainage system, which deteriorated the water quality in the drainage system. There was no evident muddy water discharge from ARQ Site in the morning of 4 July 2023. Therefore, it is considered unlikely that the muddy water discharge observed by DSD in the morning of 4 July 2023 was caused by the ARQ contracts of Contract 1 or Contract 4.</p> <p>During the wet season, the Contractor was strongly reminded to implement adequate water quality mitigation measures to minimise the impact arising from the construction site. The Contractor should closely monitor the quality of the discharge from the Site to avoid non-compliance. The ET will pay special attention to the implementation of water quality mitigation measures on site through regular site inspections, and provide advice on remedial action when necessary.</p> | Sent to EPD on 18 July 2023 | TCS00864/16/300/F653 |
| 84 | 19 Jan 2024 | 23 Jan 2024 | On Kin Road, Anderson Road Quarry | KTDC member Mr. Hsu Yau-wai | Noise Quality | EPD | NA | <p>A public complaint was received by EPD Regional Office (East) on 19 January 2024 regarding the construction noise generated from construction works at On</p> | <p>As advised by the RE of Contract 4, under CEDD Contract No. ED/2020/02, the Contractor was required to lift 9 precast beams of an elevated walkway. The works was carried out over for four consecutive nights starting from 16 January 2024 and has already completed. The Contractor</p> | Sent to EPD on 29 January 2024 | TCS00864/16/300/F684a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|---|---------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | Kin Road, Anderson Road Quarry (CEDD Contract No. ED/2020/02) at night from 10pm to 6am. | <p>possessed a valid Construction Noise Permit (CNP) (GW-RE0030-24) from 15 to 24 January 2024.</p> <p>The Contractor also confirmed that lift beams work was undertaken on On Kin Road between 16 to 20 January 2024. These works were conducted from 23:00 to 02:00 and involve the use of a crane as the only PEM, which complied with the relevant CNP (GW-RE0030-24). To mitigation noise impact on the public during nighttime, a series of acoustic mats were erected around the work area.</p> | | |
| 85 | 23 and 26 Apr 2024 | 23 and 26 Apr 2024 | Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site | DSD | Water Quality | EPD | NA | <p>EPD received complaint from DSD concerning muddy water was observed entering Tsui Ping River from the upstream on 23 and 26 April 2024, with similar situation at the catchpit at Tin Hau Temple.</p> | <p>Joint site inspection among the RSS, Contractor of Contract 4 and ET was carried out on weekly basis to audit the environmental performance. The implementation of mitigation measures were summarized below:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) The wastewater treatment facilities were implemented and properly functioned. (b) To minimize the generation of muddy water, the exposed areas were covered either with an impervious sheet or through hydroseeding. (c) Sump pits were constructed at the lowest point of the work area to store continuous rainfall, which helps prevent overload of wastewater treatment facilities were and ensures wastewater was properly treated | Sent to EPD on 6 May 2024 | TCS00864/16/300/F69 8a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|---|----------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | before discharge to the designated discharge points. | | |
| 86 | 6 May 2024 | 6 May 2024 | Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site | DSD | Water Quality | EPD | NA | <p>EPD received complaint from DSD concerning muddy water was observed entering Tsui Ping River from the upstream on 6 May 2024, with similar situation at the catchpit at Tin Hau Temple.</p> | <p>Joint site inspection among the RSS, Contractor of Contract 4 and ET was carried out on weekly basis to audit the environmental performance. The implementation of mitigation measures were summarized below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The wastewater treatment facilities were implemented and properly functioned. - To minimize the generation of muddy water, the exposed areas were covered either with an impervious sheet or through hydroseeding. - Sump pits were constructed at the lowest point of the work area to store continuous rainfall, which helps prevent overload of wastewater treatment facilities were and ensures wastewater was properly treated before discharge to the designated discharge points. | Sent to EPD on 20 May 2024 | TCS00864/16/300/F701a |
| 87 | 20 May 2024 | 20 May 2024 | Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site | DSD | Water Quality | EPD | NA | <p>EPD received complaint from DSD concerning muddy water was observed discharge from upstream of Tsui Ping River and at Tin Hau Temple in the morning of 20 May 2024.</p> | <p>Joint site inspection among the RSS, Contractor of Contract 4 and ET was carried out on weekly basis to audit the environmental performance. The implementation of mitigation measures were summarized below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The wastewater treatment facilities were implemented and properly | Sent to EPD on 30 May 2024 | TCS00864/16/300/F0702a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | functioned. - To minimize the generation of muddy water, the exposed areas were covered either with an impervious sheet or through hydroseeding. - Sump pits were constructed at the lowest point of the work area to store continuous rainfall, which helps prevent overload of wastewater treatment facilities were and ensures wastewater was properly treated before discharge to the designated discharge points. | | |
| 88 | 9 September 2024 | 10 September 2024 | Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site | DSD | Water Quality | EPD | NA | EPD received complaint from DSD concerning muddy water was observed entering Tsui Ping River (TPR) from the upstream at Tin Hau Temple in the morning of 9 September 2024. | Joint site inspection among the RSS, Contractor of Contract 4 and ET was carried out on weekly basis to audit the environmental performance. The implementation of mitigation measures were summarized below:- (a) The wastewater treatment facilities were implemented and properly functioned. (b) To minimize the generation of muddy water, the exposed areas were covered either with an impervious sheet or through hydroseeding. (c) Sump pits were constructed at the lowest point of the work area to store continuous rainfall, which helps prevent overload of | Sent to EPD on 23 September 2024 | TCS00864/16/300/F0718a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------|----------|--|--|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | wastewater treatment facilities and ensures wastewater was properly treated before discharge to the designated discharge points. | | |
| 89 | 15 and 18 December 2024 | 20 December 2024 | Anderson Road Quarry (ARQ) Site | Public | Dust and Muddy Water | EPD | NA | <p>成條街道沙塵滾滾和大量泥水流到地盤，直接流到外面雨水渠。大型地盤車輛，泥頭車無洗車設施離開地盤，成條街道沙塵，經常吹到成條街沙塵滾滾建築物沒有掩蓋，經常吹到成條街沙塵滾滾，掘挖機操作時未有做好防塵措施，導致塵土飛揚。地盤工人沖刷泥頭車灰塵及泥土到雨水渠。</p> <p>A public complaint was referred by EPD on 19 December 2024, regarding the dust and muddy water arising from the project. The complainant mentioned that the muddy water runoff from site and discharge of muddy water observed at the public drainage system. Moreover, sandy stockpile was not covered properly and lack of dust mitigation measures when the</p> | <p>As confirmed by the Contractor of Contract 3 – NE/2017/03, no major construction activities was carried out in Site E3, but transportation of stockpiles and materials for storage in Site E3. Site inspection was carried out by the Contractor, the observation during site inspection on 15 and 18 December 2024 are summarised as follow.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) As dust mitigation measures, sandy stockpile was covered and water spraying was provided to reduce dust impact. (b) Vehicular access roads under Contract 3 were hard paved on haul road at exit point and sprayed continuously by water bowser to minimize generation of fugitive dust. (c) Vehicle wheel and body washing was provided before leaving site and facilities were constructed to collect wastewater from wheel washing to prevent muddy water runoff from site. (d) Mechanical cover for dump truck used to reduce dust impact. | Sent to EPD on 30 December 2024 | TCS00864/16/300/F0730a |

| Log ref. | Date of Complaint | Date of Received by ET | Complaint Location | Complainant | Complaint nature | Channel | Ref. no. | Complaint details | Follow up action | Log ref. | Date of Complaint |
|----------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|----------|--|------------------|----------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | | | excavator was operation and fugitive dust was blowing to the street. | | | |

Appendix N

Implementation Status for
Water Quality Mitigation Measures

Water Quality Mitigation Measure



Q1. Wastewater treatment facility 30 cu.m Sedimentation Tank + AquaSed of 15 cu.m per hour + WETSEP



Q1. Wastewater treatment facility 30 cu.m Sedimentation Tank + AquaSed of 15 cu.m per hour + WETSEP